

PMP IWG – BRAKE PARTICLE EMISSIONS

DEVELOPMENT OF A COMMONLY ACCEPTED METHOD FOR MEASURING BRAKE PARTICLE EMISSIONS

27th TF2 MEETING BRIEF OVERVIEW

Theo Grigoratos
08 April 2021



The PMP Procedure at a glance



Understanding Phase

Study of existing brake dyno configurations for brake PM & PN sampling and measurement

COMPLETED

Testing Phase

Application of the reuirements in an Interlaboratory study. Decisions on technical specifications

DEADLINE - DEC 2021

Reporting Phase

Publication of the PMP-Brake Protocol

DEADLINE - JUN 2022

Preparation Phase

Literature review & decision on test method approach

COMPLETED

Development Phase

Definition of minimum requirements for sampling and measurement of brake PM and PN emisssions

ON-GOING DEADLINE – JUN 2021

Assessment Phase

Assessment of the RR results. Refinements and finalization of the method specifications

DEADLINE - FEB 2022



Task Force 2 – Development phase

The aim of this phase is to define a set of minimum requirements for brake particle emissions measurement. The following parameters have been identified:

Parameter	1 Parameter 2	Parameter 3	Parameter 4	Parameter 5	Parameter 6	Parameter 7	Parameter 8	Parameter 9
Cycle Definition	Background Concentration	Dynamometer Climatics	Temperature Measurement	Bedding-in Procedure	Enclosure Design	PM Measurement	PN Measurement	Other Topics
S	Ø	⊗	S	S	S	8	8	8



Task Force 2 – Defined Cycle (WLTP-Brake Cycle)

Decision is to run the time based WLTP-Brake Cycle profile. The aim is to adjust the cooling air flowrate for the different dynos following the specifications of the PMP cooling methodology in GRPE 81-12

- O IBT of 40°C shall be applied for every trip of the cycle when performing emission measurements. Trip #1 shall commence at ambient temperature. This will allow for a significant reduction of the soak time during testing. IBT of exactly 40°C shall be applied when performing the cooling air flowrate adjustment by means of trip #10 of the WLTP-Brake Cycle.
- More details regarding the application of the WLTP-Brake Cycle (including the adjustment of the cooling air flowrate) are included to the GRPE 81-12 protocol "Part 1: Inertia Dynamometer Protocol to Measure and Characterise Brake Emissions Using the WLTP-Brake Cycle".

The topic is considered closed with only pending topic being the finalization of the PMP cooling methodology



Task Force 2 – Background Concentration

Each laboratory shall follow the recommendations described below regarding the background concentration check:

- The cooling air entering the brake enclosure during a brake particle emission test shall pass through a medium capable of reducing particles of the most penetrating particle size in the filter material by at least 99.95%, or through a filter of at least class H13 as specified in EN 1822;
- It is encouraged that the cooling air entering the brake enclosure during an emission test passes through a charcoal (or activated carbon) filter with the aim of removing volatile organic species.
- The background concentration shall be defined on a PN basis. Each laboratory shall report their background concentration which shall not exceed the maximum allowed value of X particles/cm³;
- o It is recommended to perform the BG check at two levels. The first level foresees the system installation (or when there are indications of malfunction), while the second level foresees regular background checks before and after the execution of a brake emissions test.

The topic is considered closed – the values will be defined after the end of the interlaboratory study

Task Force 2 – Dyno Climatics

Volume flow should be constant during the test. Brake/caliper orientation relative to incoming cooling air plays a major role (see Brake Enclosure Design).

- Volume flow as well as its measurement point(s) need to be reported in order to avoid wrong comparisons.
- Cooling air temperature and Relative Humidity shall be adjusted to 20±2°C and 50±5%, respectively. Labs need to make sure they stay as close to the target values as possible (20°C and 50% RH). The same values apply for the adjustment of the cooling air flowrate during trip #10. 20±5°C and 50±10% RH are allowed for no longer than the 10% duration of the cycle.

The topic is considered closed



Task Force 2 – Temperature Measurement

A common protocol describing the temperature measurement is required to ensure repeatable and comparable measurements.

- Only embedded thermocouples shall be used for recording brake temperature regimes. Sliding thermocouples might also be used in addition but shall not be considered for lab-to-lab comparison purposes.
- The disc thermocouple should be located in the outboard plate rubbing surface, radially positioned 10 mm outwards of the center of the friction path, and recessed ½ mm deep into the face of the disc. On vented discs the thermocouple should be centered between two fins of the disc plate.
- More details regarding the measurement method have been included to the protocol "Part 1: Inertia
 Dynamometer Protocol to Measure and Characterise Brake Emissions Using the WLTP-Brake
 Cycle"

The topic is considered closed



Task Force 2 – Bedding-in procedure

Bedding shall be long enough to ensure the *stabilization of the friction couple behavior*. However, there needs to be a compromise in terms of the stabilization in order to reach a *reasonable testing time*.

- It is recommended to apply 5 WLTP-Brake Cycles for bedding disc/pad couples and drum brakes. The number of cycles required might be revised after the RR exercise.
- o It is recommended that the 5 WLTP-based novel cycles run consecutively without any interruption. **Soak times shall not apply between the individual trips during the bedding procedure.** However, each repetition shall commence with IBT of 40°C (1st repetition at ambient temperature).

Additional Elements

JRC invites the labs to run a campaign with the aim of comparing the bedding of a brake couple with the application of 5 WLTP-Brake Cycles as described above against the application of ten repetitions of trip #10 of the WLTP-Brake Cycle

The topic is considered closed; however, based on the outcome of the interlaboratory study the bedding procedure might be revised

Task Force 2 – Brake Enclosure Design (1/2)

Design requirements for the enclosure are defined with the aim of achieving maximum transport efficiency, maximum particle distribution/uniformity and minimum residence time.

- The brake enclosure shall incorporate good aerosol sampling practice that includes the **avoidance of sharp bends and abrupt changes in cross-section** and the use of smooth internal surfaces (i.e. curved edges) to reduce flow recirculation zones. **Gradual changes in the cross-section are permitted**; however, it is recommended to apply smooth transition angles to overcome these cross-section changes and **avoid application of 90 degrees and larger bends**;
- The brake enclosure shall come in dimensions which allow for measurements of all common sizes of LDV brake assemblies. However, it is strongly recommended to avoid oversized enclosures due to higher residence times and increased particle losses. Maximum dimensions might be specified in the future when more data become available



Task Force 2 – Brake Enclosure Design (2/2)

- The use of electropolished surfaces (i.e. stainless steel), or other electrically conductive material to avoid particle losses by electrostatic deposition, is recommended;
- The enclosure shall be designed in such a way that a maximum particle residence time of X sec is ensured (value to be agreed after the experimental RR phase). Every lab shall report the maximum residence time for their setup based on their specific design for a given cooling air flow rate.
- The caliper shall be positioned in a way to minimize a potential interference with the incoming cooling air. Depending on the orientation of the duct works (horizontal or vertical), it is recommended to install the caliper at the upper part of the disc in a position between 1 and 2 o´clock or 10 and 11 o´clock considering the direction of evacuation;
- The brake disc shall rotate in the direction of the evacuation (CCW) independently of the orientation
 of the duct works (horizontal or vertical).

The topic is considered closed – the values will be defined after the end of the interlaboratory study

Task Force 2 – PM Measurement

PM (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) should be measured gravimetrically. Great care needs to be taken to ensure isokinetic sampling. TF2 members have been requested to carefully study the corresponding chapter drafted by Brembo-Dekati-JRC and come back with suggestions.

Topics for consideration

- Sampling system layout with possible differences in $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} measurement, sampling points and devices (i.e. impactors or cyclones)
- ✓ Detailed specifications for the impactors/cyclones, the filter media + efficiency, the weighting method (including filter conditioning and related standards or regulations) and others are required
- ✓ Recommendations for minimizing coarse particles losses and ensuring accurate and reproducible PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ measurement
- ✓ Other topics (?)



Task Force 2 – PN Measurement

PN down to 10 nm should be measured directly preferably by means of a full-flow CPC or OPC technique. Indirect measurements, conversions, and corrections shall be avoided. Specifications for measurement of both total PN and solid only PN shall be prepared and provided.

Topics for consideration

- ✓ How should one deal with volatile background? How does it affect PN measurement in both cases
 (Solid vs. Total)
- ✓ The calibration procedure has not been discussed yet. Are there any preliminary proposals for both cases (Solid vs. Total)?
- ✓ Other topics (?)



Task Force 2 – Other Topics

- Minumum parameters to be registered and commonly acceptable format. A first proposal for some of the parameters have been included to the protocol "Part 1: Inertia Dynamometer Protocol to Measure and Characterise Brake Emissions Using the WLTP-Brake Cycle"
- Cleaning procedure of the test bench after testing. How should this be done? How often? Any other considerations?
- System size and spacing considerations. Some partners have expressed concerns regarding the overall required space for the brake dyno and the necessary equipment
- Discussion on what happens if a test is interrupted between the different cycle repetitions or within the same cycle between the different trips
- Other topics (?)



Thank you



© European Union 2020

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

