

**Note: Agenda Items in Italic.**

**Friday afternoon Bureau meeting:**

*Item 5:*

*(b) Major trends characterizing land administration development*

*- The Bureau member of Greece will introduce the proposal to organize a joint WPLA-FIG workshop on the topic: "Informal Development: Legalization Progress-Property and Housing Aspects" to be held end of 2012 or beginning of 2013.*

*- Survey on Benchmarking of Land Administration Systems: The delegate from Ireland (or alternatively the Chair in case of the delegate's absence) will discuss the possibility of converting the questionnaire into an user-friendly online-survey.*

*- The Bureau is invited to approve the proposed event in Greece as well as to express their views or remarks, and to discuss and approve of the use of online survey for the questionnaire on benchmarking. The Bureau is further invited to decide on the final schedules for distribution of the questionnaires as well as to agree on 'work modus' and timeline of Task Force for the preparation of the study based on the results of the survey.*

*(c) Land Administration Reviews*

*The Bureau will be informed about the status of the country profile studies on the housing sector of Ukraine and Moldova including a chapter on land administration.*

*The Bureau may wish to note of information provided and express their views or remarks, if any.*

**Discussions on questionnaires and CP studies as in Agenda Item 5 (b) Major trends characterizing land administration development and (c) Land Administration Reviews:**

-Need for a benchmarking study.

Damir: How could it be organised? Pia, Peter, Thomas, me → we should fill the questionnaire for our countries with examples(as examples for other countries).

**Yellow tie with the laptop:**

Get the approval..

Before sending the letter we should invite all the member states to fill-up. When we will have examples from these 4 countries we can meet and discuss it. We can do it in next January?

There is an idea to organise the Bureau meeting in January in Geneva(in addition to Zagreb)

Silke: It would be productive to meet in that period since the new secretariat will replace Sergii.

Pia: We shouldn't lose many working days.

Damir: Bureau will look at the examples and then do the final approval. The questionnaire then should be sent with the examples identifying the people who will reply to the questionnaire. Contacting requires time.

Damir: Austria: 2<sup>nd</sup> half(is proposed). I propose → End of January.

Rik(on the study): Is there a description of the study?

Damir: The questionnaires serve for:

- 1- Benchmarking itself
- 2- Renewal of the land administration system in Europe and America(!). Last issue was in 2005. we want to have the benchmarking under the renewed version.

Silke: Official document for the 7<sup>th</sup> session(you can refer to that). We don't have the project description.

Rik: To have the project description on people involved, aim of the country would be useful.

Damir: In Geneva we can speak about the project description.

**On time frame** → Till January we need to finish the examples XX(Thomas?) will send the email with the link

Yellow(chief of the task force): Online questionnaire gives the opportunity to work more on it.

Damir: Please make people do it. Ireland proposed to help in the preparation. I propose for the Land Administration Review of Moldova to have 3 land administration experts. We will contact Jarkin Thomas(?). He has no problem with financing. I would like to have him on the land administration review. He will be valuable. Somebody else from your organisation can join him/them. Just send an email to Silke. No special format, just CV. Bureau members used to participate in the Land Administration Reviews. So if you wish so...

Rik: He is willing to participate(Rik or whom? not sure..).

Silke: Mr. Lucas' CV, I have received it.

### **On logo:**

Committee on Housing and Land Management and REM had their logo. Then why don't we(WPLA) have our own logo as well? What is your opinion on that?

Silke: I think it is possible, we can start thinking about how we can present ourselves as WPLA with a logo. CHLM has a logo which is suitable. I will have to raise this topic within the HQs. If there is interest I can investigate what we have to comply with and what is the procedure.(I haven't yet had the chance to investigate).

Damir: We are an inter-governmental group, but REM is not. My opinion, **we should have our own logo to be more visible. Silke will inform us about the UN regulation. We can put this on our report.**

### **On proposed workshops:**

Damir: we were discussing at the ....Collegio de Registriodes.. there was a lady from the 7<sup>th</sup> session, there was an initiative to have a **workshop in Spain**(one of the next workshops). Combined with the findings of Moldova and Ukraine... I couldn't find the person to speak from the Delegation to Ukraine(!). We can not be sure for Ukraine. It is good to have this initiative from Spain.

### **On cooperation with other international organizations as in Agenda Item 6:**

#### **Cooperation with other international organization:**

*(Item 6:*

*The Secretariat will update the Bureau of potential joint activities with other international organization (subject to confirmation) and will introduce a suggested online format to be used for development of a comprehensive list of partners and propose 'work modus' for the compilation of contact data.*

*The Chair will discuss the possibility of hosting joint platform informing about all LA related events.*

*The Bureau may wish to take note of information provided and discuss proposals accordingly.*

*Bureau members will be further invited to identify core subjects which could encourage joint activities with other international organizations and contribute to the programme of work of the WPLA and. The Bureau will be invited to identify focal points to take up the work/ coordination for future cooperation as was suggested at the seventh session).*

Damir: in the 7<sup>th</sup> Session we had representatives from the IOs on (Eurogeographic: David ) I discussed during this conference the possible cooperation with Wim(?). I am going to General Assembly in Belfast. There was a roundtable to discuss on possible ways of cooperation in the future. We agreed that **secretariat will prepare the comprehensive list of IOs dealing with land administration issues. This is in the report of our session.**

**Silke:** Gentle proposal on the decision to have a comprehensive list of IOs, partners, civil societies etc. Preparing a database→

Pia: 1-trying to speak in a common voice to the ECE.

2- to get the info in common, what influence has the things happened in daily life?

**Silke: We would like to propose to have an online platform to fill a comprehensive list of all organisations** (can go till down to local level).

In Statistics Department we used a open source

Software for migration(!). Then we can start thinking about the categories. Ask them to point out areas for collaboration. They provided us links links etc. for more info. It was categorised as IOs, local civil society, NGOs, academia.

Elshad: We can use the sample of EuroGeographics.

Damir: We have to know that we are not competitors to anybody. Pia etc, they want to be strong voice in front of the EC. This is not our task. We are covering a wider area(!). we have a lot of UN organisations dealing with Land administration. For example, we don't know well what GeoUN is doing. These days we have several regional co operations. For example, South-East Europe and the Nordic Cooperation. Former Soviet States and Baltics also have conferences.

Rik: Do we have some sort of project fish(to know what kind of concrete actions we have)? How do we do it? Who takes the lead? How do we organise ourselves in the Bureau? Let's numerate 1 o2 to work on it?

Silke: In the last Bureau meeting I reported that I worked on the field for 10 years and I know the organisations. And we meet to see what can be for the joint interest. WPLA needs to propose concrete topics on cooperation, to propose as joint areas.

It should make sense and have a purpose. We need to identify core topics for cooperation.

Rik: Zagreb meeting is good for these discussions. If we divide a bit the tasks, we can be more effective. And when we prepare the agenda with 1 or 2 we can be more prepared before sessions. Then we can help to make this goal(!). We have 4-5 organisations well defined, we don't need 25. Top 5 is in our interest. We need to start small, then we can set the priorities.

(Unclear part):

Damir: EO, FIG...(?)

Silke:...(?)

Rik: we still can have the comprehensive list.

Damir: FAO Guidelines on Land Tenure. They will invite Maja and me.

Maja: this FAO meetings, if you talking with 5 people you talk the **same** things.(??)

.....

Austria:...?

Damir: I would like to have them(whom?) around the table. We need to suggest cooperation with them. We have to cooperate with UNGeog?

Rik: how can we be supplementary to them, we need to find that.

## **Discussions on the presentations of the Workshop as in Item 7: Other business**

### **Comments on the presentation by Marianna Posfai:**

Elshad: Russia will supplement the English version(??). (mentioning the problems about the translation as well)

Marianna's presentation was not appropriate. Kyrgyzstan and Russian delegates thought the same.

Rik: We should find out how we can prevent these kind of approach.

Damir: We had the same situation in Rome from her side. We need to take care next time. How can we do that? Maybe by sending a message?

Silke: Not to invite her is the only way to prevent. Delivering a message is difficult and does not ensure the prevention.

Damir: Maybe we can send apologies to the Russian Delegation about the translation.

Rik: I will prepare a letter to Elena.