

Counterproposal for text to be considered on emergency vehicles and detectable collisions

1. Emergency and Enforcement vehicles

As was pointed out by the UK during the workshop a few weeks ago we need to make sure the definition of an unplanned event aligns with our understanding of an appropriate reaction.

We understand the list of scenarios in the definition to be examples that could be considered an unplanned event if the system design required to give a transition demand in these scenarios. As this might be misunderstood that all the listed situations require a transition demand, which we don't think was really the intention of that list, we propose to clarify this by moving them to an additional sentence.

2.5. "Unplanned event" is a situation which is unknown in advance, but assumed as very likely in happening, ~~e.g. road construction, inclement weather, approaching emergency vehicles, missing lane marking, load falling from truck (collision)~~ and which requires a transition demand.

This may include road construction, inclement weather, approaching emergency vehicles/**enforcement vehicles**, missing lane marking, load falling from truck (collision).

5.1.2. The activated system shall comply with traffic rules relating to the DDT in the country of operation **including responding to emergency/enforcement vehicles.**

2. Detectable collision

We could add "while ALKS is active" in that sentence just to clarify that this is a reaction applicable during ALKS operation and not to the general operation of the vehicle.

5.1.1. ...

When the vehicle is involved in a detectable **significant** collision **with another road user while ALKS is active**, the vehicle **control strategy** shall be brought to **bring the vehicle to a standstill.**