



AEBS-HDV IWG

LPB and LPS

AEBS-HDV-03 March 22, 23, 25 - 2021

Content

- AEBS complexity (reminder)
- LCVs LPB vs LPS
- HCVs
 - SS ISO 14791
 - Avoidance strategies
 - Data LPB vs LPS (trucks and tractors)
- Considerations about brake performance
- How to split performance requirements

AEBS complexity (reminder from AEBS-HDV-SP-02-04)



M2

M3



LCVs

Avoidance manoeuver w/o ESC intervention (normal evasive manoeuver)

Ideal braking performance delivered by the driver

From 65 km/h on it is a better decision to steer around an obstacle and avoid an impact, than collide with only a reduced speed.



Based on tests in Jeversen (D) Reference: AEBS-LDWS-18-03

Realistic braking performance delivered by an AEBS and steering in real life conditions

At 80 km/h the LPS is 13 m later than the LPB. So if a system brakes at LPB the driver would still be able to avoid the impact by steering 0,6 s later.



HCVs - N3

• LPS

- Extract from SS ISO 14791: "Since the number of variants of heavy trucks (and trailers) is tremendously large, each truck combination is unique. So the measured result is valid only for the tested vehicle or combination and the transition of the results to obviously similar combinations is not possible."
- However, some interesting results with regard to LPS can be analysed based on this standard. See next slides.

• LPB

• With regard to braking, a simple calculation based of deceleration and brake force build-up time can be used in first place.





Simulated emergency avoidance manoeuver On the physical limits (w/o considering ESC intervention)

HCVs - N3

SS ISO 14791





3 different strategies

<u>Truck</u>		Empty		Fully laden				
			0			□ _0		
				Low	v COG	Hig	gh COG	
80kph Ll	PS	1.2s 26m	(18.6m)	1.4s	30.5m (17.2m)	1.6s	34.5m	(17m)
LI	PB	2s 44.6m (-6,5)		2.1s (-6)	47.7m	2.3s <i>(-5.5)</i>	51.5m	
40kph Li	PS	1.2s 13m	(-0,3m)	1.3s	14m (-0,5m)	1.4s	15.5m	(-1m)
LI	PB	1.1s 12.7m (-6,5)		1.2s (-6)	13.5m	1.3s <i>(-5.5)</i>	14.5m	Ļ

Tractor

(examples to explain the influence of the vehicle architecture on the performance, on short wheel bases)

T2

T1





Considerations about brake performance

- The best deceleration is obtained with 4x2 solo tractors (or chassis-cab trucks)
- This "reference" deceleration is impacted by several factors:
 - Vehicle architecture
 - More axles
 - Trailer(s)
 - Drums vs discs
 - Suspensions
 - Construction Tyres vs road tyres
- The deceleration could vary <u>between 5.5 and 7.5 m/s²</u>

UN R131 – split of requirements

Row 1	M3 (except hydraulic braking)
	N2 > 8 t
	N3

M2 and N2 \leq 8 t with pneumatic braking



M3 with hydraulic braking



<u>M2 M3</u>

