#### Minutes

GRRF IG R 55 Task Force Agricultural Couplings (TFAC) 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting 2013-09-25/26 Bologna

#### Participants: see TFAC 03-12

#### Agreed dates:

4<sup>th</sup> meeting

2014-01-22/23, Bologna

Agreed activities:	Date	Responsible
Common proposal based on TFAC 03-05	2014-01-08	Afflerbach, Conrads, Duffy, Inverardi, Stokreef
Review 6.1 of TFAC 03-10	2014-01-08	Wetphäling
Add requirement for the mounting height of couplings on tractors to chapter 5 (already done, see TFAC 03-14)	2014-01-08	Schauer
Review TFAC 03-13 for discussion and decision at the next meeting	2014-01-22	All
Review present R 55.01 and the proposals for amendment	2014-01-22	All

#### Agenda

- 1. Opening
- 2. Roll call of the participants
- 3. Continuation of the Review of the Document
  - 3.1 Proposal for Class q and r (CUNA Couplings)
  - 3.2 Proposal for Class I
  - 3.3 Proposal to transfer Class j to Class a and Class k to Class b
  - 3.4 Proposal for the definition of tractor drawbars and their hitch rings in an own Class
  - 3.5 Comparison of proposals Va and V
  - 3.6 Vehicle approval according to Chapter 5 possible?
  - 3.7 IT-Proposals for Annex 4
  - 3.8 Proposal for Chapter 6 of Annex 5
  - 3.9 Annex 6, Chapter 3.6.2 proposals for amendment necessary?
  - 3.10 arguments to keep current EU legislation (static test)
- 4. Further steps
- 5. Any other business

## 1. Opening

Mr Schauer welcomed the participants and thanked Mr Rehm and John Deere for the invitation and the hosting of the meeting. He opened the meeting at 11:00 hrs.

## 2. Roll call of the participants

The participants introduced themselves (see also Document TFAC 03-12).

## 3. Continuation of the Review of the Document

## 3.1 Proposal for Class q and r (CUNA Couplings) (Doc. TFAC 03-04)

The slightly modified proposal was accepted. Modifications are indicated in red in document TFAC 03-04 Rev 1. The allocation tractor coupling/trailer coupling should be inserted in Annex 2.

There is a common agreement to reduce the number of different coupling devices at a later stage.

## 3.2 Proposal for Class I (Doc. TFAC 03-08 and TFAC 03-07)

The slightly modified proposal was accepted. Modifications are indicated in red in document TFAC 03-08 Rev 1.

#### 3.3 Proposal to transfer Class j to Class a and Class k to Class b (Doc. TFAC 03-10)

The proposal was accepted.

# 3.4 Proposal for the definition of tractor drawbars and their hitch rings in an own Class (Doc. TFAC 03-08 and TFAC 03-07)

See 3.2.

#### 3.5 Comparison of proposals Va and V (Doc. TFAC 02-08 and TFAC 03-06)

The majority of the participants are in favor to keep the current formulas for D and  $D_c$  values.

It was agreed to establish a small group (Mr. Inverardi, Mr. Afflerbach, Mr. Conrads, Mr. Duffy and Mr. Stokreff) to make a common proposal based on document TFAC 03-06 for the next meeting.

#### 3.6 Vehicle approval according to Chapter 5 possible?

A vehicle approval for category T vehicle is possible. As R and S categories are not yet defined in R.E.3, vehicle approvals are currently not possible. As soon as those definitions are adopted, the scope of R 55 shall be extended accordingly. Mr Schauer will add the

requirement for the mounting height of couplings on tractors to chapter 5 (already done, see TFAC 03-14).

#### 3.7 IT-Proposals for Annex 4 (Doc. TFAC 03-05)

The slightly modified proposal was accepted. Modifications are indicated in red in document TFAC 03-05 Rev 1.

#### 3.8 Proposal for Chapter 6 of Annex 5 (Doc. TFAC 03-10)

The slightly modified proposal was accepted. Modifications are indicated in red in document TFAC 03-10 Rev 1. Mr Westphäling will review 6.1 and will make a proposal for the next meeting.

#### 3.9 Annex 6, Chapter 3.6.2 proposals for amendment necessary?

This topic will be addressed in the small group (see 3.5).

#### 3.10 arguments to keep current EU legislation (static test) (Doc. TFAC 03-03)

The group could not reach a consensus on this topic. It was voted on the following four options:

- 1. Static test optional only for Classes i and q and a maximum speed not exceeding 40 km/h: 1 in favor.
- 2. No inclusion of the static test but allow to grant approvals based on static tests in a transitional period up to 01.01.20XY (tbd): 2 in favor.
- 3. Static test optional for all Classes and a maximum speed not exceeding 40 km/h: 10 in favor.
- 4. Static test optional only for "simple constructions" but without speed limit: 5 in favor.

It was agreed to report this result in GRRF and leave the decision up to GRRF.

#### 4. Further steps

The activities mentioned on the top of the minutes were agreed.

The participants are asked to review present R 55.01 and the proposals for amendment from IG R 55.

#### 5. Any other business

#### 5.1 Swedish proposal (TFAC 03-13)

Mr Gunneriusson explained the proposal and the background. It was agreed that the participants shall review TFAC 03-13 for discussion and decision at the next meeting.

# 5.2 Next meeting

The date of the 4<sup>th</sup> (2014-01-22/23, Bologna) meeting was agreed.

At the end of the meeting, Mr Schauer thanked Mr Rehm again for hosting the meeting and providing meeting location for the 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of TFAC.

Mr Schauer closed the meeting at approx. 13:00 hrs.