Email from Mr Stéphane Blanc (FR-UTAC) received on 16th of December 2021

Dear  Mr Prigent and Mr Tsakiridis,

After analyse of the proposal of Terms of Reference (doc TF-EMC-25-03e) of the IWG on EMC , we propose the comments as follow:

* Point 6.a) we consider that the goal of UNECE R10 is to ensure the electromagnetic compatibility but not traffic safety and consequently we propose to suppress this point or the reference of traffic safety
* Point 6.c) What do you means by reasonable level ? Generally the limits in emissions test are fixed by the standards considering the electromagnetic environment
* Point 6.g) what do you means by reconsideration of limits ? What limits do you want to reconsider ? In principle we have the limits in line with the standards (ie CISPR 12)
* Point 6.b) “Reduce the administrative burden … “ I not sur what do you means, Would you like to simplify the clause 13? or to simplify the annexes 2A, 2B, 3A and 3B ? or other?
* Point 7)  this point is too blurry for us and we are not sure is in line with the scope of R10
* Point 8.c) we think is not the role and not an objective of IWG EMC to review the other regulation without having been solicited
* Point 13) we propose in second paragraph to replace “The Group **may** postpone discussion…” by “The Group **shall** postpone discussion…”

More generally, we consider that the objectives are very ambitious in view of the time granted (April 2022) that it will be reasonable to wait for the formal validation of the IWG at the next WP29 in June 2022 before continuing our discussions at the risk of all postponing involved by participants who would register after the formalization of the IWG.