

India comments on Amendment to GTR

6th EPPR (12-14 Feb 2014)

Reference documents: EPPR-05-04

General (1/2)

- “ Priority agreed was to cover class 3-3 in first phase.
- “ EPPR-05-04 covers all category vehicles.
- “ EPPR-05-04 covers the technical requirements for 3-3 quite well.
- “ In order to cover first 3-3 and subsequently the other category/ies it necessary to restructure and clean up
- “ Which involves:
 - . Scope reference to be simplified by stating the SR1 category instead of referring the table B-1.1.
 - . Clauses specific to class 3-3 to be identified so {e. g. the sub-classification (clause 3 of section B-1)}.
 - . Deletion of the clauses related to other categories.
 - . Other editorial corrections such as use of word National Authority of Contracting Party instead of National Authority etc.

General (2/2)

- “ The structure about ECE regulation whether:
 - . Each category has to be covered in different regulations
 - . Or can be clubbed
- “ Is yet to be finalized.
- “ India prefers that ECER and GTR to be synchronized.
- “ The cleaning up work of the document can be started only after the above decision.
- “ Request a decision may be taken in the 6th EPPR on this issue.

Durability procedures

- “ This may be converted into another document as per India's proposal.
- “ There are three possible methods prescribed.
 1. Mileage accumulation as per Standard Road Cycle (SRC-LeCV) for light vehicles
 2. USA EPA Approved Mileage Accumulation cycle (AMA)
 3. Fixed DF
- “ If all the above are not considered to be equivalent, India prefers %Fixed DF+to be retained as alternative to one of the mileage accumulation procedures.