## GRSP TF on the transposition of GTR 13 Phase 2 to UN-R 134 (7)

Meeting Date: 24/11/2022 09:30 - 11:30 (CET)

Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

## Participants:

- ☑ Alessia Bolla (Iveco/OICA)
- ✓ Anais Garo (Utac, France)
- ✓ Annett Schuessling (Lifte H2)
- ✓ Anton Weiler (IAV)
- ☑ Gerhard Gissibl (BMW/OICA)
- ☑ Hiroaki Tamura (Jari, Japan)
- ☑ Ikuya Yamashita (Honda/OICA)
- ☑ Johan Broeders (DAF/OICA)
- ☑ Kai Ito (METI, Japan)
- ☑ Karine Pelletier (Volvo/OICA)
- ☑ Kawashima Tomoko
- ☑ Klaus Keck (Daimler Truck/OICA)
- ☑ Lukasz Rozanski (EU)
- ☑ Manoj Desai (India)
- ☑ Marc Antoine Marcellin
- ✓ Masaaki Iwasaki (Toyota/OICA)
- ✓ Matthias Kuntz (Bosch)
- ☑ Mike Levet (DfT, UK)
- ☑ Nick Hart (ITM Power)
- ☑ Ohgami Nobuyuki (Toyota/OICA)
- ☑ Patrick Breuer (Hexagon Purus)
- ☑ Paul Dijkhof (Kiwa)
- ☑ Richard Trott (Forvia/CLEPA)
- ☑ Salim Abdennadher (Renault/OICA)
- ☑ Sekiya (KHK, Japan)
- ✓ Seonghoon Kim (Hyundai/OICA)
- ☑ Shinohara (KHK)
- ☑ Shinya Yamamura (MLIT, Japan)
- ☑ Shougo Suda (Toyota/OICA)
- ☑ Tatsumi Takehana (KHK, Japan)
- ☑ Tohru Nakanishi (METI, Japan)
- ✓ Volker Rothe (Stellantis/OICA)
- ☑ Wataru Okuyama (MLIT, Japan)
- ☑ Ylva Castenhag Blomström (Scania /OICA)
- ✓ Yoshinori Tanaka (NTSEL, Japan)
- ☑ Yusuke Ito (KHK, Japan)

- ☐ Alexandra Mulot (Utac, France)
- ☐ Amy Ryan (Toyota/OICA)
- ☐ Andres Fernandez Duran (Iveco/OICA)
- ☐ Ansgar Pott (Hyundai/OICA)
- ☐ Ayako Sugita (Toyota/OICA)
- ☐ Emi Miyake (Tokushima University)
- ☐ Hans Lammers (RDW, Netherlands)
- ☐ Harald Beck (MAN/OICA)
- ☐ Hisamoto (KHK, Japan)
- ☐ Hyungki Kim (Hyundai/ OICA)
- ☐ Junichi Tsukada (JASIC, Japan)
- ☐ Kazumi Watanabe (JASIC, Japan)
- ☐ Keobo Ku (Hyundai)
- ☐ Marco Aimo-Boot (Iveco/OICA)
- ☐ Marta Angles (IDIADA, Spain)
- ☐ Martin Koubek (NHTSA, USA)☐ Myrna Cashatt (Linamar)
- ☐ Romain Ladret-Piciorus (EU Commission)
- □ Saya Tanaka
- ☐ Toshinori Narumiya (KHK, Japan)
- ☐ Yves van der Straaten (OICA)

## Agenda

- 1. Welcome & Roll call
- 2. Review of comments document
  - a) Pending items

- b) Agreements from subgroups
- c) Identify CP who will submit this proposal to GRSP
- 3. Other
- 4. Next meeting

## Minutes

- 2. Review of comments document:
  - a)/b) Pending items/ agreements from sub-groups:
  - ☐ New proposal from OICA:
    - o §5.2b (following discussion with METI and KHK)
      - Qualification of additional TPRDs, justification for proposal of amendment will include the justification
    - §6.3.1 material compatibility alternative test options
      - Primary closures which have undergone the cycling test (6.1 (a) and 6.2 (c)) with hydrogen do not need to undergo material embrittlement test according to Annex 8 Part 1.
      - Japan: needs to discuss this matter with national experts
    - §7.2 (editorial clarification + addition of new paragraph to allow full crash tests for vehicle derived from M1 or N1 vehicle categories)
      - Discussion needs to continue
      - Item put in square brackets
    - §7.2.4.3 related to the application of alternative side impact test for CHSS installation at a height equal or less than 800 mm above the ground.
      - Due to time constraints a detailed description of a test using a pendulum could not be provided and thus two options were introduced.
      - Option 1 allow alternative impact test using mobile deformable barrier from UN-R 95 and pendulum from UN-R 29 for installations >800mm
      - Option 2 allow alternative impact test using mobile deformable barrier from UN-R 95 and define alternative testing with a pendulum for installations > 800mm at a later point
      - Japan favours option 2
    - o §9 COP requirements
      - Added justification regarding the material compatibility proposal
      - Proposal to change batch testing to sample testing to lessen the burden for the manufacturers
      - Relaxation provisions need to be redefined
      - Discussion needs to be continued

c)	Identif	y CP who will submit this proposal to GRSP
	No CP	volunteered to submit the draft
	0	Secretariat will submit the document and discuss the presentation time with the secretariat of the GRSP

2	Other	-
`		

☐ Annex 8 Part 2 – HGSCC test

- o Presentation by Luxfer
  - Very unlikely that many if any structural engineering application can pass this test
  - Proposed test should be amended to make it more practical
  - Currently the test is inappropriate and not representative
  - Discussion on the further development should be continued in phase 3 of IWG GTR 13
  - Until then this test should not be included in UN-R 134
  - Japan will have a meeting with national experts and will provide feedback at a next meeting
- □ Unique Identifier:
  - o Comment by Forvia:
    - Should a unique identifier (UI) be included in UN-R 134? UI replaces the approval mark and potential other lable requirements to reduce the number of markings. All approval marks would be saved in a database instead.
    - More information will be circulated.
- 4. Next meeting

January 12<sup>th</sup> 2023, 9.30 am to 11.30 am (CET) 5.30 pm to 7.30 pm (JST/KST)