

# **UNECE EVE-IWG**

In-Vehicle Battery Durability

## OICA comments on GTR 22 EVE-IWG #61 25.-26.04.2023



#### **Background:**

- UNECE GTR 22 states, that the MPR for Category 2 vehicles shall be for monitoring in phase 1
- The Euro 7 draft was published with an MPR for N1 (Category 2) as below

Table 2: Euro 7 Minimum performance requirements (MPR) for battery durability for N1 vehicles				
Battery energy based MPR	Start of life to 5 years or 100 000 km whichever comes first	Vehicles more than 5 years or 100 000 km, and up to whichever comes first of 8 years or 160 000 km	Vehicles up to additional lifetime*	
OVC-HEV	75%	65%		
PEV	75%	65%		

- > EVE-IWG agreed, that LCV have a different usage of the Battery than passenger cars that has to be reflected in the MPR
  - Wide variation of usecases
  - Continous use in a stationary state
  - Higher loads during operation that are different from WLTP

OICA proposed in prior meetings the metric of Energy Throughput (Wh) as appropriate to assessment for the extended usage of the Battery in Category 2 vehicles



Ра

### GTR 22 \_ MPR for Category 2 vehicles

- Light commercial vehicles are work tools chosen to meet specific operational needs addressing a broad range of use cases. Different from Category 1 vehicles
- No / limited data available for commercial vehicles preliminary simulations show up  $\geq$ to 5-10% additional degradation over 8 years compared to passenger cars
- Battery ageing significantly influenced by **battery cycling** (mileage, high payload, &  $\geq$ external loads - worst case external load whilst driving) and fast charging
- **Energy Throughput (Wh) concept** developed to define performance targets against  $\triangleright$ mileage, age and battery throughput (Wh)

Low-med aux load for tablet/power tool chargers.



Sample Customer	Profile Examples	Load situation	Static /	Covered
	High up-time with long daily shifts		Dynamic	by V2X
Ambulance	Low mileage	Stationary V2G, V2H, V2L	Static	YES
	High aux load Multiple daily DCFC	Drive with max payload	Dynamic	NO
		Cooling / refigeration demands	Dynamic	NO
Rural delivery	<ul> <li>Daily AC charge with multiple daily DC top-ups</li> <li>Food delivery including refrigeration – high V2L</li> </ul>	Towing / Trailer	Dynamic	NO
	Medium-high up time - med mileage, lower av. speed than non-city	Heating demands	Dynamic	NO
	<ul> <li>Daily AC charging, with DCFC top-ups when required</li> <li>Food delivery including refrigeration – high V2L</li> </ul>	On-board power delivery	Dynamic	NO
Local trades	Low driving time - commuting mileage High aux load V2L			
	Daily AC charge at home - No DCFC cases /	In case of an uncompl		
rk at hama utility aparatar	Medium up time scenarios	usage is completely u	nknown for the	e OEM
ark-at-home utility operator (no home charger access)	DC only charging possible 3			
(ine menne entanger accord)	Low mode out lood for tablet/newer tool shoreore			



Possible Scenarios including the impact on the V2X definition for discusion The existing V2X concept has not the purpose to cover the different usage of a Category 2 vehicle

<u>Scenario 1</u> Euro 7 proposal as it stands	Scenario 2 Euro 7 proposal + Energy Throughput
<ul> <li>V2X Definition</li> <li>Major modifications, that cover Battery discharge for other purpose than vehicle propulsion, will be required in order to cover the different loads from LCV.</li> <li>Different V2X definitions for Cat. 1 and Cat. 2 could be required</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>V2X Definition         <ul> <li>Only minor modifications could be required. (Details will be presented separately)</li> <li>Different loads from LCV are covered by Energy Throughput.</li> <li>Same V2X definitions for Cat. 1 and Cat. 2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Definetly not monitoring as agreed in GTR 22</li> <li>Vehicles with high additional energy discharge will not comply with the MPR</li> <li>Additional Capacity reserve for all is needed to ensure compliance of a certain number of vehicles</li> <li>No data available to anticipate the additional loads in detail</li> <li>No experience how many vehicles are affected</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thresholds for the Energy Throughput need to be defined</li> <li>Synergies from HD requirements could be used</li> </ul>

Scenario 1 is not acceptable for OICA and provides no benefit for the environment
 Scenario 2 would be a proper solution for a correct assessment of the extended Battery usage



### GTR 22 \_ MPR for Category 2 vehicles

Capacity Retention Up to 5 years or 100,000 km Up to 8 years or 160,000 km	M1 PHEV / BEV Minimum Performance (EU7) 80% 70%	Ne bisch soce (%) Wh <sub>actual</sub>
Capacity Retention	N1 PHEV / BEV Minimum Performance (EU7)	5 year maximum discharge energy (Wh) = <b>X</b> Wh
Up to 5 years or 100,000 km <mark>or x Wh</mark>	Monitoring 75 %	8 year maximum discharge energy (Wh) = ¥ Wh
Up to 8 years or 160,000 km <mark>or x Wh</mark>	Monitoring 65 %	Vehicles exceeding maximum discharge energy excluded from MPR assessment
		$Wh_{5 year nominal WLTP} = TMH \ Energy \ consumption_{WLTP} \left(\frac{Wh}{km}\right) x \ 100 \ 000 \ km$
The GTR 22 HD concept is currently under development		$Wh_{8 year nominal WLTP} = TMH Energy consumption_{WLTP} \left(\frac{Wh}{km}\right) x \ 160 \ 000 \ km$
The use of external loads is even more significant as for the Category 2 vehicles Possible synergies should be identified if possible		Possible Solution: Worst Case WLTP (TMH Energy Consumption Wh/km)



GTR 22 \_ MPR for Category 2 vehicles

# Backup



#### Japan Proposal: Revision of additional text and the verification process of on board V2X

#### **Revision of additional text**

#### Original;

or on-board power delivery when the vehicle is stationary for Category 2 vehicles.

#### Proposal

or on-board power delivery **which is used for purposes(\*) other than vehicle traction** for Category 2 vehicles. \*note: Electric refrigerator truck , luxury small Electric bus , Electric cement mixer truck, Electric aerial ladder truck, etc...



Some equipment, such as electric cement mixer, will always use large amounts of power from batteries, therefore there is no reason to limit V2X addition when the vehicle is stationary.

#### Verification process of on board V2X

The accuracy of the amount of discharge energy\* for V2X purposes must be ensured.
\*The amount of V2X energy used in the calculation of virtual mileages is calculated on-board, but the validation procedure for the on-board values is not described.
=>

- 1. Add requirement for verification of V2X power supply vs. on-board V2X to Part A (threshold: [5]%)
- 2. Provide an Exemption/Waiver for the verification of V2X for OEM/authority to reduce burden.