

Discussion items of the 9th R48-09 SIG

○ In order to reach an agreement in the next GRE, Japan organise some items which have not yet been agreed since the 8th SIG.

1. Luminous intensity, size, colour, flash conditions of Energy indicator
2. Provision on ECL combination lighting
3. Provision for the operating conditions of the Lamp test mode
4. Others

1. Luminous intensity, size, colour, flash condition of Energy indicator (6.28.9.2., 6.28.9.4., 6.28.2., 6.28.4.)

- Several CPs, including Japan, are concerned about the luminous intensity, size and flash condition.
- Japan believes that the brightness of the energy indicator should be as bright as the immobilizer.
- The colour of the proposed energy indicator may be acceptable if the luminous intensity, size, flashing, etc. of the lamps are suitably limited.
- Japan insists that flashing should be regulated in any colour because the function should not cause distraction and it does not need to flash sharply.
- (Japan would like to check with OICA whether it can agree on the square bracket part of 6.28.2 and 6.28.4.)

2. Provision on ECL combination lighting (6.24.9.3., 6.24.9.4.)

- As for Japan, the operation of other lamps as ECL is acceptable if the requirement of 6.24.9.4. is met.

3. Provision for the operating conditions of the Lamp test mode (6.29.9.1., 6.29.9.3)

- As for Japan, the operating conditions (OICA's proposal in 6.29.9.1.) may be acceptable.
- Some experts insisted at the last SIG meeting that the operating time (180s) was too long.

4. Others (9.31.3.1., 5.15. and TP)

- Japan does not understand how to describe at 9.31.3.1..
- Japan does not understand the need for the clause in "The colour of energy indicator may be different according to (or 'following') the conditions." of in 5.15..
- Confirms the direction of the TP. (Ref: TP of R53-04 proposal in Devise transition is 2028).