Category(ies) of vehicle : L

# (IMMA) STUDY BY IMPACT ASSESSMENT INSTITUTE (IAI) – ACUSTICA AND T.U. GRAZ ON L-CATEGORY NOISE LEVEL LIMITS

IVIEDSUREMENTS & Tests SOUND LIMITS NORESS & MANIPULATION ASEP

## MAIN MESSAGES FROM THE PRESENTATION(S)

- 1) Scrutiny study on EU commission's 2017 Cost benefit analysis on Euro 5 sound level limits for Lcategory (see references below):
  - High uncertainty inherent to cost benefit calculations
  - Reviewed and updated cost benefit calculation show lower benefit/cost ratios from reduced sound level limits
  - Single events difficult to fit in a cost benefit calculation
- 2) Noise Source Ranking study:
  - -2dB sound level limit reductions technically challenging, while -5dB reductions are unfeasible.

#### SUMMARY

Expert review of EU Commissions 2017 cost benefit analysis study on Euro 5 sound level limits for Lcategory by IAI (Impact Assessment institute) and Acustica, Supported by a Noise Source Ranking study performed by T.U.Graz

- 1) Noise Source ranking:
  - Performed on 8 motorcycles, on intake noise, engine noise (mechanical), exhaust noise, overall noise.
  - Challenging technical interventions necessary to meet -2dB reductions,
    - Robust and accurate cost estimations are difficult to achieve due to:
      - Multiple vehicle systems need to be re-designed at the same time to achieve notable reductions,
      - Different vehicle types require intervention on different combinations of vehicle systems.
  - -5dB reductions unfeasible for smaller motorcycles and very challenging or potentially unfeasible for larger motorcycles
    - Not possible without extensive intervention into vehicle and engine concept
  - Due to different characteristics, the main noise sources vary model by model (e.g. smaller scooters' main contributor is usually the driveline, while for bigger motorcycles the exhaust has a much higher contribution to the overall sound emissions)
- 2) EU Commissions 2017 cost-benefit study scrutiny
  - 2017 results have a high level of uncertainty due to inconsistencies in input parameters and unsubstantiated assumptions (absence of sources).
  - After reviewing and updating the 2017 cost benefit's assumptions, data and calculations, the benefit/cost ratio (2dB reduction, 25% illegal exhausts scenario) reduced from 2,18 (2017 study) to 0,82 (2021 updated study), though still subject to high uncertainty
  - No validation of the 2017 study claims that impact of larger limit reductions is stronger for single events. The 2017 Single event analysis is incoherent.

### ADDITIONAL POINTS FROM DISCUSSIONS IN THE UN TF-VS

• When considering future limit values also:

- UN Regulation No.41-04 ASEP (Additional Sound Emission Provisions) and No.41-05 RD-ASEP (Real Driving-ASEP),
- o the type and category of vehicle, and
- anti-manipulation and driving behaviour measures need to be considered.
- RD-ASEP step 2 is being considered

## REFERENCES

- <u>TFVS-07-09Rev1</u> (IMMA) IAI-Acustica-TUG study\_motorcycles
- <u>TFVS-07-10</u> (IMMA) TUGraz\_-\_Experimental\_Noise\_Source\_Ranking
- <u>TFVS-07-12</u> (IMMA) IAI\_and\_Acustica\_-\_CBA\_study\_on\_Euro\_5\_sound\_limits\_for\_Lcategory\_vehicles
- TFVS-08-07 (IMMA) 220404 IAI-Acustica Presentation for TF-VS final
- <u>TFVS-08-09</u> (IMMA) Report FVT-044-21 ACEM NSR \_April\_4th\_Meeting
- Link to the official report: Technical support for the impact assessment on Euro 5 step of L-category sound emissions level limits published on June 03, 2022