EU-Commission JRC Contribution to EVE IWG: In-vehicle battery durability e-HDVs breakout C-rate

Elena Paffumi, Gian-Luca Patrone Web Meeting, November 16, 2023



e-HDVs tests: C-rate discussion

Open points to be discussed

- C-rate, constant or variable, depending on the test method
- C-rate calculation method
- Accuracy, tolerance, boundary conditions, deviation of the UBE measured across the testing methods
- End of discharge criterion, safety provision for on-road tests, requirements
- C-rate calculation based on the nominal battery energy
- C-rate charging , RTE calculation, ...

• ...



e-HDVs tests: C-rate discussion

- Three testing methods: Method 1a, Method 1b, Method 2
 - ➤ C-rate to be defined → suggestion to define a reference speed instead of a C-rate
- Constant C-rate vs variable C-rate constant C-rate seems favourable for simplicity of the test procedure to be applied;
 Different proposals on the C-rate calculation or testing methods:
 - a C-rate representative of a range of driving power values from different mission profiles or driving cycle
 - with a constant C-rate defined as the median C-rate of the cumulative frequency of the C-rate profile equivalent to WHVC
 - a constant C-rate corresponding to the most representative operational driving speed for vehicle category and mission profile
 - a constant speed corresponding to the most representative operational driving speed for vehicle category and mission profile
 - a C-rate in the range of [C/6 or less, C/2]

 - Variable C-rate but limits could be set →Still to be discussed

- reproduce C-rate profile representing the WHVC with constant C-rate phase in the middle and in the end of discharge pattern to avoid the unstable SOC at the end of measurement

- a constant C-rate equal or less than C/5 or C/2.3 (0.2C or 0.43C) and with the highest normal charging power available [≤150kW] as defined in paragraph 6.1.1 of this GTR

- a power derived from the most representative operational driving speed for vehicle category and mission profile



Method

1b

<u>1</u>2

Method

2

Method

e-HDVs tests: C-rate discussion

• Three testing methods: Method 1a, Method 1b, Method 2

Additional questions

- Same C-rate calculation procedure for all the test methods (1a,1b,2) ? 1a and 2 correlated
- Deviation of the UBE measured across methods? Accuracy? Equivalence of testing method 1a, 1b, 2? 1a and 2 correlated
- End of discharge criterion ?
 - ➤ The end of discharge criterion is reached when the cut-off voltage as defined by the manufacturer is reached. →Method 1a,1b, 2. Suggestion to define a new provision for Method 2
 - System cannot maintain power any longer \rightarrow Method 1a,1b,2
 - > Break –off criterion \rightarrow Method 1a,1b
 - > Japan*: an indication on a standard on-board instrument panel to stop the vehicle \rightarrow Method 2
 - Test track and on-road test: safety provision + on-board discharge? Accuracy? Deviation in respect to Method 2 needed? Still to be discussed
- C-rate calculation based on nominal battery capacity. C-rate = power/nominal battery energy ok
- RTE calculation for all methods: charge C-rate equal to discharge C-rate →Still to be discussed
 - > Method 1a: ? Or to long charging time so different provision?
 - Method 1b: ? Or to long charging time so different provision?
 - Method 2: a C-rate equal or less than C/5 or C/2.3 (0.2C or 0.43C) and with the highest normal charging power available [≤150kW] as defined in paragraph 6.1.1 of this GTR
 - Constant power [kW] from kW on speed limits or constant C-rate from speed limits



e-HDVs tests: Method 1a

- Outcome from breakout group
 - > Test in Method 1a with <u>characteristic speed</u> (to be specified: regional speed etc.)
 - different speed around regions
 - but same methodology and test procedure
 - Regional specific speed and payload in agreement with authorities (GVW, GCW)
 - With a C-rate in the range of [C/6 or less, C/2], as check, not to have unwanted battery behaviour
 - Family definition: to consider adding a provision in Part A family to account for different vehicle configurations and battery size
 - > Considering defining a guideline for the harmonisation of the characteristic speed
 - Boundary conditions or information for speed definition
 - Urban, extra-urban, highway, country road, ...
 - Suggested speed range, values to avoid ...
 - To consider more than one constant speed for Method 1a



e-HDVs tests: Method 1b

Still to be discussed

- Test in Method 1b with characteristic speed (to be specified what is referring to: regional speed etc.)
 - different speed around regions
 - but same methodology and test procedure

In the discussed table:

- Variable speed but limits could be set
- Tolerances of the average speed to be discussed
- Setting tolerance on the average speed of the test?
- On-road driving might help to verify/suggest C-rate and speed tolerance setting?
- Japan* suggests Method1b as optional or delete it



e-HDVs tests: Method 2

Outcome from breakout group

- Test in Method 2 with a <u>constant power or constant C-rate</u> derived from the most representative operational driving speed for vehicle category and mission profile
 - different around regions
 - but same methodology and test procedure
 - > Regional specific speed and payload in agreement with authorities (GVW, GCW)
 - With a C-rate in the range of [C/6 or less, C/2], as check, not to have unwanted battery behaviour
 - > Family definition: to consider adding a provision in Part A family to account for different vehicle configurations and battery size
 - > Considering defining a guideline for the harmonisation of the characteristic speed

Other options:

- constant C-rate seems to be the favourable option
- ➤ a C-rate equal or less than C/5 or C/2.3 (0.2C or 0.43C) and with the highest normal charging power available [≤150kW] as defined in paragraph 6.1.1 of this GTR
- Same C-rate as Method 1a?



e-HDVs tests: End of discharge criterion

- ➤ The end of discharge criterion is reached when the cut-off voltage as defined by the manufacturer is reached. →Method 1a,1b, 2. Suggestion to define a new provision for Method 2
- > System cannot maintain power any longer \rightarrow Method 1a,1b,2
- > Break-off criterion \rightarrow Method 1a, 1b
- > An indication on a standard on-board instrument panel to stop the vehicle \rightarrow Method 2
- Fest track and on-road test: safety provision + on-board discharge?
- Accuracy? Deviation in respect to Method 2 needed? Still to be discussed



e-HDVs tests: open questions

- More than one characteristic speed: i.e. a given vehicle with a given energy capacity with more than one possible characteristic speeds, urban, extra-urban and highway. Shall it be the most used speed to be selected? The worst case? More than one speed value?
- Fest track or road grading ?
- Breaks for the driver?
- > HD-OVC-HEVs:
 - CD test? vehicle's test operation mode?
 - Break-off criterion for Method 1a and Method 1b?
 - Energy change correction procedure after break-off ?



e-HDVs tests: discussion table to be filled in

Type of vehicle	Test procedure	C-rate constant Constant representative speed		Constant C-rate	Constant representative power	C-rate variable	Calculation method Speed or power definition			End of discharge criterion, safety	Charging C-rate/power		Deviation across the testing methods	C-rate based on nominal battery energy	
		Single/constant C-rate -speed (different for categories)	Multiple /variable C-rate s peed (different for category)	C-rate equal or less than C/5 and with the highest normal charging power available [≤150kW]	Single/constant power (different for categories)	C-rate profile representing the WHVC	WHVC (median, weighted average)	Different mission profiles or driving cyclo (median, weighted average)	Characteristic speed for vehicle category and mission profile	C-rate in the range of [C/5, C/3]	provision, etc.	Equal to discharge C- rate	Normal [≤ 150kW] or ultra-fast [> 150kW]		
HDV without bidirectional charging	Method 1a	Breakout group: With characteristic speed: different speed for regions but same methodology and test procedure JAMA/OICA: constant vehicle speed[km/h] at each GVW/GCW vehicle weight condition based on speed limits It's difficult or impossible for buses or many kind of body application trucks to set up the test vehicle weight with GVW. JAMA-HD thinks unrealistic measuring UBE/UBC in constant speed - Method1a-discharge on ISC. These buses and body application trucks can have a measured UBE/UBE by only the maximum normal charging power - Method2. Japan*: to delete this	To consider more than one constant speed for Method 1a Japan*:please refer sheet "discharge pattern' final vehicle speed is lowest one	-	-				Breakout group: Regional specific speed and payload in agreement with authorities (GVW, GCW) With a C-rate in the range of [C/6 or less, C/2], as check Family definition Part A : to consider adding a provision in Part A family to account for different vehicle configurations and battery size Guideline for the harmonisation of characteristic speed Japan*: Regional specific speed and payload in agreement with authorities (GVW, GCW) With a C-rate in the range of E/6 or less, C/2], as check Family definition Part A Guideline for the harmonisation of sharacteristic speed		Breakout group: Cut-off voltage Break –off criterion System cannot maintain power any longer Japan*: Cut-off voltage Break –off criterion (exceeds the tolerance for 4 consecutive seconds or more) System cannot maintain power any longer	JAMA/OICA: constant power[kW] from KW on speed limits or constant C-rate from speed limits Japan*: to delete this	Normal [≤ 150kW] Japan*: normal		
	Method 1b		Breakout group: Regional specific speed and payload in agreement with authorities (GVW, GCW) Variable speed but limits could be set Tolerances of the average speed to be discussed Japan* suggests Method1b as optional/to be deleted	-	-				Breakout group: Variable speed but limits could be set Tolerances of the average speed to be discussed Japan* suggests Method1b as optional/to be deleted		Breakout group: Cut-off voltage System cannot maintain power any longer Break –off criterion Safety provision + on-board discharge Japan* suggests Method1b as optional/to be deleted				
HDV with bidirectional charging	Method 2	-	Japan*: allow to apply as a manufacture option if c-rate @ maximum speed is less than V2X upper limit (duplicate at least minimum and maximum speed)	Breakout group: C-rate equal or less than C/5 and with the highest normal charging power available [<150kW] Japan*: to delete this	Breakout group: With a constant power derived from the most representative operational driving speed for vehicle category and mission profile as method 1a: different around regions but same methodology and test procedure JAMA/OICA: constant power[kW] from KW on speed limits or constant C-rate from speed limits Japan*: to delete this				Breakout group: The power shall be derived from the most representative operational driving speed for vehicle category and mission profile as defined in Method 1a JAMA/OICA: constant power[KW] from KW on speed limits or constant C-rate from speed limits Japan*: to delete this		Breakout group: Cut-off voltage Percentage voltage drop System cannot maintain power any longer Suggestion to define a new provision for Method 2 Japan*-Cut-off voltage Percentage-voltage drop System cannot maintain power-any lenger Suggestion-to-define a new provision-to-define a new provision-for-Method 2 an indication on a standard on- board instrument panel to stop the vehicle	JAMA/OICA: constant power[kW] from KW on speed limits or constant C-rate from speed limits Japan*: why is the recharge needed?	Japan*: why is the recharge needed?		



Thank you

Contacts Info:

EC DG JRC DIR-C EMC Sustainable, Smart and Safe Mobility Unit elena.paffumi@ec.europa.eu, gian-luca.patrone@ec.europa.eu



© European Union 2023

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.



e-HDVs tests: Test procedures table

Methods for Checking Battery Durability Monitor for HDV									
	HDV with no bidire	HDV with bidirectional charging							
	Method 1a	Method 1b	Method 2						
Description	Discharge by standard average speed with tolerances on test track	Discharge by driving on the road with average speed with higher tolerances	Virtual Round Trip Efficiency (VRTE) test						
	And charge	And charge	Charging and discharging in a column						
Repeatable	Yes	Partly, if tolerances are set	Yes						
C-rate	Constant (different for categories)	Variable but limits could be set	Constant						
RTE	YES	YES	YES						
UBE	Yes	Yes, but it depends on the driving	Yes						
UBC	Yes	Yes	Yes						
comment	tolerances of the average speed to be discussed Proposal from Japan on different constant speeds in the test	tolerances of the average speed to be discussed							
C-rate calculation method									
Reference section (charge/discharge)	Comment from OICA test data: flexible due to measurement results charge/discharge (RTE to be discussed RTE with tolerance in addition)	Comment from OICA test data: due to measurement results charge event as reference (RTE to be discussed RTE with tolerance in addition)	Comment from OICA: reference charge or discharge and RTE with tolerance in addition						
Alternative Method	HDV Dyno testing with similar driving characteristics								
Feasibility	voltage sensor, voltage measurement, under discussion								
discharge vs charge UBE vs UBC	discharge and charge RTE								

