# UTAC'

#### **Tyre Abrasion Study for ACEA** Maëlle Dodu – Tyre Expert

GRBP TF TA Session 19







#### CONTENTS



- Tyre Abrasion Study Overview
- WP3 Real Life Testing
- WP4 Statistical Analysis
- Conclusions & Next Steps



### TYRE ABRASION STUDY OVERVIEW



- Scope:
  - Theoretical and experimental study of influencing factors on tyre wear / abrasion.
- Objectives:
  - Review GRBP TF TA tyre abrasion requirements proposal: test method, interdependency evaluations, etc,
  - Quantify differences in tyre wear / abrasion in relation to vehicle type (ICE vs BEV),
  - Quantify possible differences between OE and Aftermarket tyres by testing tyres with different label values.
- Work Packages & Timing:

Work Packages		Updated Timing		
WP1	Literature Review	Jun-23 (completed)		
WP2	EPREL Tyre Database Analysis	Aug-23 (completed)		
WP3	Real Life Testing	Aug-23 (completed)		
WP4	Test Results Analysis	Initial Analysis: Oct-23 (completed) Analysis update following additional testing: Jan-24		
WP5	Presentations to GRBP/GRPE:	Interim report: GRBP 78 <sup>th</sup> session (completed) Final report: GRPE 90 <sup>th</sup> session / GRBP 79 <sup>th</sup> session		



- Objectives:
  - Quantify differences in tyre wear / abrasion in relation to:
    - Vehicle type: ICE vs BEV,
    - Tyre type: OE vs aftermarket tyres with different label values.
- Vehicles selection:
  - Scope: BEV & ICE vehicles from same model platform,
  - Vehicles: 1 x BMW iX1 xDrive (BEV) vs 5 x BMW X1 (ICE).
- Tyres selection:
  - Scope: C1 summer tyres,
  - Tyre size: 245/45R19 102 Y,
  - Tyre labels (rolling resistance / wet grip):
    - AA (aftermarket, best label combination available, eco tyre for EV),
    - AB (OE homologated, eco tyre),
    - BA (OE homologated, comfort tyre),
    - CA (aftermarket, best-selling based on analysis of French tyre distributors websites, High Performance tyre),
    - DB (aftermarket, worst label combination available, High Performance tyre),
  - Tyres tested before tyre wear test to check wet grip and rolling noise label values.
  - Start of Production: between 23/20 and 29/22
  - DOT: between 20/22 and 19/23

#### • Circuit:

- Specifications as close as possible to TADG-ORV Test Method proposal,
- Open road circuit around UTAC Mortefontaine site (Northern France),
- Compatible with BEV range & charging constraints.
- Note: acceleration levels being checked vs calculation method in TADG-ORV Test Method proposal.
- Test Method:
  - Test procedure as close as possible to TA DG-ORV Test Method proposal,
  - Main differences with TADG-ORV Test Method proposal:
    - 1 double convoy: 3 + 3 vehicles mixing ICE and BEV to limit test time & cost,
    - Reference (REF): BMW X1 (ICE) fitted with AB OE homologated Tyre,
    - Total running distance: 15,000km (8 weeks),
    - Measurement parameters: tyre tread depth and mass loss.
  - Intermediate measurements every 2,000km.
- Timing: July August 2023
- Note: RL tyre on REF replaced after 6,000km due puncture.

Circuit characteristics					
Length (km)	390				
City (km / %)	59 km / 15 %				
Road (km / %)	195 km / 50 %				
Highway (km / %)	137 km / 35 %				
Average speed (km/h)	93,13				
Standard deviation speed	32				
Standard deviation longi accel (m/s <sup>2</sup>	0,68				
Standard deviation lat accel (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	0,87				







• Average weight loss rate per vehicle normalised by vehicle load:



- REF AB OE: RR tyre counted twice due to RL tyre replacement during testing,
- Vehicle weight influence on weight loss rate observed → Change in test results when normalised by vehicle load,
- Similar weight loss rate between ICE and BEV when tested in same convoy and results normalised by vehicle weight.

• Average tread depth loss rate per vehicle normalised by vehicle load 15,000km:



- Vehicle weight influence on tread depth loss rate observed → Change in test results when normalised by vehicle load,
- Longer test distance required to get stabilized tread depth loss rate compared to weight loss rate.

UTAC



#### • Tyre Labels Value and Tyre Test Results:

Tyre	RR Label	WG Label	Noise Label	WG Index <sup>(1)</sup>	Sound Level (dB(A)) <sup>(2)</sup>	Weight Loss Rate (mg/km/ton) <sup>(3)</sup>	Tread Depth Loss Rate (mm/1000km/ton) <sup>(4)</sup>
AA - AM	А	А	A (69dB)	1,56	70,25	69,321	0,047
REF AB – OE <sup>(5)</sup>	А	В	A (69dB)	1,48	71,20 (B)	88,620	0,055
BEV AB – OE <sup>(6)</sup>	А	В	A (69dB)	1,48	71,20 (B)	87,110	0,053
BA – OE	В	А	B (70dB)	1,70	72,52	66,974	0,049
CA – AM	С	А	B (72dB)	1,56	73,82	80,161	0,063
DB – AM	D	В	B (70dB)	1,58 (A)	72,11	58,704	0,056

#### • Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> Wet Grip Index in new state as per Annex 5 to UNR117.

<sup>(2)</sup> Sound Level only after temperature correction according to §4.3 of Annex 3 to UNR117.

<sup>(3)</sup> Average Weight Loss Rate per vehicle normalised by vehicle load after 15,000km.

<sup>(4)</sup> Average Tread Depth Loss rate (centre tread and shoulders) per vehicle normalised by vehicle load after 15,000km.

<sup>(5)</sup> AB – OE tyre fitted to reference Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) vehicle for tyre abrasion / wear testing.

<sup>(6)</sup> AB – OE tyre fitted to Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV) for tyre abrasion / wear testing.





Groove Depth Loss Rate (mm/1000km/ton)

- Higher wear rates on Front than on Rear tyres.
- Irregular wear profile on Front tyres with higher wear in the centre tread.
- Front / Rear inflation pressure: 2,9b, as per TADG-ORV Test Method proposal.
- Static tyre load within 67% ± 7% of total tyre load capacity as per TADG-ORV Test Method proposal.
- ICE vehicle: FWD / BEV: AWD.
- Wheel alignment set up according to vehicle manufacturer nominal specifications to avoid vehicle instability.
- Vehicle manufacturer wheel alignment spec for FWD ICE vehicles and AWD BEV within TADG-ORV Test Method proposal for FWD candidate vehicle.

#### Tyre Weight Loss Rate Evolution – Box Plots: Normalised Weight Loss Rate - Front vs Rear (mg/km/ton) Normalized Weight Loss Rate per Vehicle (mg/km/ton) 100 70 Rear tyres rates more stable with 60 less dispersion 90 $\Diamond$ between tyres $\Diamond$ 50 w\_loss\_rate\_m 80 w\_loss\_rate $\bigcirc$ $\Diamond$ 40 $\diamond$ $\diamond$ Front Evolution 2k to 15k km Rear Evolution 2k to 15k km 70 30 Front tyres rates $\Diamond$ $\diamond$ $\diamond$ $\diamond$ $\diamond$ $\diamond$ $\diamond$ 60 20 stable after 8000km Global tyre Evolution 2k to 15k km 10 50 N 1055 ATE 104 W 1055 PARE FILM N 1055 (ATR ROAT 4 1055 Pare 104 W 1055 W loss W 1055 ARE × 1055 (BHR 100) N 1055 TARE COOM \* loss take Add ~ 1055 Rife ROOM ~ 1055 (AR P.74 ~ 1055 1316 P.T.OF ~ 1055 ~ 734 ~ 734 ~1055 (3Re) Nog. \* (3#@ P7 × 13% ~ 734 \* ARE ADA 12 measures per box (2 tyres – 6 vehicles) 24 measures per box (4 tyres – 6 vehicles)

#### Global Tyre Performance – Radar Chart: Label RR 10: Defined by the best tyre of the sample Normalised Wet Grip Index Tread Depth Loss Rate 15k **1** : Defined by the worst tyre of the sample Normalised Sound Level Weight Loss Rate 15k BEV AB OE DB AM - AA AM REFABOE - BA OE CA AM Eco Eco Comfort High perf Eco High perf

- Observations aligned with WP1
  Literature Review Findings:
  - Literature Review Findings:
    - No clear correlation highlighted with weight loss rate or tread depth loss rate.
    - Good tyre in RR can be good for tread depth loss rate.
    - Good tyre in Noise can be good for tread depth loss rate.
    - Good tyre in Wet Grip can be good for tread depth loss rate and weight loss rate.
    - Weight loss rate and tread depth loss rate not correlated.
- No clear picture to be drawn between:
  - OE vs AM tyres.
  - Eco vs Comfort vs High Performance tyres.
- Tyres cornering stiffness to be measured to confirm tyres type and influence of handling performance on global tyre performance.

#### WP4 – Statistical Analysis – Correlation Analysis



- Significant Relationship between variables:
  - Correlation between 2 characteristics if Pearson correlation coefficient is significant (probability value, p-value < 0,05).</li>
- Variables considered:
  - RR label,
  - Wet Grip label,
  - Noise label,
  - RR / Wet Grip / Noise label,
  - Wet Grip Index,
  - Sound Level,
  - Normalised Weight Loss Rate:
    - per Vehicle / Front / Rear,
    - after 2k / 4k / 6k / 8k / 10k / 12k / 15k km,
  - Normalised Tread Depth Loss Rate:
    - per Vehicle / Front / Rear,
    - after 2k / 4k / 6k / 8k / 10k / 12k / 15k km,
    - Centre tread grooves (3 & 4) / Intermediate tread grooves (2 & 5) / shoulders (1 & 6).

		1.5 1.7	,	60 90	) 0.	.0300 0.042	25 0.060 0.0	185
- - -	Label_R R	• • •	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·		- 4.0 - 2.5 - 1.0
1.7 - 1.6 - 1.5 -	• •	WG	° ° °	° ° ° °	° °°°	° ° ° °		° ° –
-	• • •	• • •	Noise	° °	° °	0 0 0 0		° – 74 ° – 72 ° – 70
90 - 60 -	° °	0 0 0 0 0	° ° °	w_loss_i ate_15k	r °	0 0 0 0		° –
-	° ° °	° °	° ° °	° ° °	dep_loss _rate_F _15k	0 0 0 0		° - 0.085 ° ° ° - 0.065
0.0425 - 0.0300 -	• • • •	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	dep_loss _rate_R _15k	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-	θ ο ο	0 0 8 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0 0 0 0	dep_loss _rate_F1 5k_sho	° 0.060 ° ° ° ° − 0.045
0.085 - 0.060 -	8 °	8 ° °	8 °	° ° °	°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	0 0 0 0	dep_los	<b>SS</b> <b>-1</b> 
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	° °		°°		°		° dep_loss <sub>- 0.11</sub> _rate_F1 _5k_cen <sup>- 0.09</sup>
	1 4	, 1	70 72 74		0.065 0.085		0.045 0.060	0.09 0.11

#### Scatterplot matrix for tire data

#### WP4 – Statistical Analysis – Correlation Analysis



	Variable 1	Variable 2	Pearson Correlation coefficient example	P-value example
1	Noise	Tread depth loss rate (2k/4k/6k/8k/10k/12k, Front 15k shoulder)	Front 15k shoulder: 0.95	Front 15k shoulder: 0.003
2	Label (RR + WG + Noise) (AAA:3, AAB =4,)	Tread depth loss rate (2k / 8k, Rear 15k shoulder)	Rear 15k shoulder: 0.93	Rear 15k shoulder: 0.008
3	Label Noise (A=1, B=2)	Weight loss rate (Rear 2k / 6k)	Rear 2k: -0.89	Rear 2k: 0.017
4	Label Noise (A=1, B=2)	Tread depth loss rate (2k / 4k / 6k / 8k / 10k, Rear 15k shoulder)	6k: 0.85	6k: 0.031
5	Label RR (A=1, B=2)	Weight loss rate (2k, Rear 2k / 6k)	Rear 2k: -0.87	Rear 2k: 0.026
6	Label RR (A=1, B=2)	Tread depth loss rate (2k, Rear 15k shoulder)	2k: 0.87	2k: 0.026

- Correlation between RR label and weight loss rate to be confirmed with RR measurements as per R117.
- No correlation found between Noise measurement and weight loss rate.
- No correlation found between Wet Grip and weight loss rate or tread depth loss rate.

## WP4 – Statistical Analysis – Principal Component Analysis

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA):
  - Mathematical procedure used to convert a set of possibly correlated variables into a smaller set of uncorrelated variables called principal components.
  - PCA used here to reduce a set of 20 characteristics (label, RR, Wet Grip, Noise, Tread Depth Loss Rate (after 2k / 4k / 6k / 8k / 10k / 12k / 15k km), Weight Loss Rate (after 2k / 4k / 6k / 8k / 10k / 12k / 15k km) to 2 variables.
- PCA results:
  - Inertia of the first dimensions:
    - Shows if strong relationships between variables,
    - Suggests the number of dimensions to be studied.
  - First 2 components of PCA express 88% of the total dataset inertia
     → 1<sup>st</sup> plane well represents data variability.



- PCA Results:
  - Circle of correlations: projection of the cloud of variables on the level of the main components.
  - The variables close to the circle are well represented, those close to the origin are poorly represented.



Part of inertia	56%	31%	9%
	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3
Depth loss rate (2-10k)	~ 0.85	~ 0.46	~ -0.08
Depth loss rate (12-15k)	~ 0.44	~ 0.85	~ -0.08
Weight loss rate (2-15k)	~ -0.86	~ 0.43	~ 0.20
label3	0.88502	0.17721	-0.41404
Label RR	0.94854	0.11917	-0.27238
Label WG	-0.25291	0.16245	-0.90412
Label Noise	0.95283	0.14110	0.18091
WG	0.64342	-0.39953	0.55614
Noise	0.71800	0.62501	0.27369



## WP4 – Statistical Analysis – Principal Component Analysis

- PCA Visualisation and Explanation:
  - Trend between Rolling Noise and Tread Depth Loss Rate,
  - Opposition trend between Rolling Noise and Weigh Loss Rate,
  - Opposition trend between Weight Loss Rate and Tread Depth Loss Rate,
  - Different Tread Depth Loss Rate evolution for some tyres after 10,000km.
- Comments on PCA Results Representativeness:
  - PCA can be considered as descriptive method: it summarises the information but does not explain it,
  - Recommended to have a relatively large sample to ensure an optimal statistical power of the analysis: at least a ratio of 10 subjects per variable.
  - With a sample of 6 tyres, trends shown maybe valid for this sample but necessary to remain cautious regarding generalization of interpretations given the representativeness of the tyres' population.



### CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS



- Conclusions:
  - Correlation found between Rolling Noise and Tread Depth Loss Rate after up to 10,000km confirmed by trend shown by PCA,
  - Trends shown by PCA:
    - Quieter Tyre ↔ Higher Weight Loss Rate,
    - Higher Rolling Resistance Label ↔ Higher Weight Loss Rate,
    - Higher Weight Loss Rate ↔ Lower Tread Depth Loss Rate after up to 10,000km.
  - No correlation found between Wet Grip and Weight Loss Rate or Tread Depth Loss Rate.
  - Different tyre tread depth loss rate evolution for some tyres after 10,000km.
  - Analysis based on label values only not conclusive → Need for tyre performance measurements for robust tyre performances interdependency analysis.
  - No clear picture between OE and AM tyres in terms of tyre performances interdependency.
- Next Steps:
  - PCA to be applied to larger set of data available to confirm trends from sample of 6 tyres: Jan-24,
  - Tyres Rolling Resistance to be measured as per R117 to confirm correlation found with RR label: Jan-24,
  - Tyre Cornering Stiffness to be measured to confirm tyre types and influence of handling performance: Jan-24,
  - Statistical Analysis update: Jan-24 (to be confirmed vs additional tyre measurements timing),
  - Conclusions to be included in study final report presentation to GRPE 90<sup>th</sup> session / GRBP 79<sup>th</sup> session.



www.utac.com

### ANNEX - WP1 – LITERATURE REVIEW - FINDINGS

- Tyre performances interdependency:
  - Tyre wear / abrasion vs rolling resistance: good level can be achieved for both performances, depending on:
    - Strategy chosen during tyre development,
    - Type of tyre considered (ie: eco vs high performance / sport).
  - Tyre wear / abrasion vs rolling noise: good level can be achieved for both performances, depending on:
    - Strategy chosen during tyre development,
    - Type of tyre considered (ie: eco vs high performance / sport).
  - Tyre wear / abrasion vs safety: challenging to achieve good level for both performances:
    - Investments required in development and implementation of innovative technical solutions.



(UTAC, TA-03-04 OICA GRBP-75-19-Rev.1)

#### ANNEX – CORRELATION ANALYSIS



#### • P-Value:

- The p-value or probability value is, for a given statistical model, the probability that, when the null hypothesis is true, the statistical summary would be greater than or equal to the actual observed results.
- In the present case, the null hypothesis is: "there is no correlation between characteristics".
- In other words, if **p-value is low then the null hypothesis is false** and **it can be concluded that there is a correlation**. The admitted threshold value is: 5%.



A **p-value** (shaded green area) is the probability of an observed (or more extreme) result assuming that the null hypothesis is true.

### ANNEX – PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

- Data:
  - n individuals observed on p quantitative variables
  - Individual: element of R<sup>p</sup>
  - Variable: element of R<sup>n</sup>



- Cloud of individual representation:
  - To each individual noted e<sub>i</sub>, a point can be associated in R<sup>p</sup>
  - Each variable in table X is associated with an axis of R<sup>p</sup>.

### ANNEX – PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

- Cloud of individual representation:
  - Looking for a representation of the n individuals, in a subspace  $F_k$  of  $R^p$  of dimension k

 $\rightarrow$  Trying to define k new variables linear combinations of the p initial variables that will cause as little information loss as possible.

- As little information loss as possible:
  - F<sub>k</sub> will have to be "adjusted" as best as possible to the cloud of individuals: the sum of the squares of the distances from individuals to F<sub>k</sub> must be minimal.
  - F<sub>k</sub> is the subspace such that the projected cloud has a maximum inertia (dispersion).

 $\rightarrow$  Based on notions of distance and orthogonal projection.



axe 2