

## **GRE Task Force on Substitutes / Retrofits (TF S/R)**

**21<sup>st</sup> meeting**

**26 January 2024, 13:00 – 14:00 CET**

### **ONLINE MEETING / TEAMS**

[LINK: Click here to join](#)

Besprechungs-ID: 377 113 106 076

Passcode: YSamMj

### **Telephone (only Audio)**

+49 69 667737009,,659290467# Germany, Frankfurt am Main

Konferenz-ID: 659 290 467#

## **REPORT**

		Documents
1	Welcome and opening remarks	
	The chair opened the meeting and welcomed the participants.	
2	Organisational issues	
	A screen-sharing via TEAMS was set up.	
2.1	Introduction of participants	
	<p>The participants were noted by the secretary:</p> <p>Ph. Bailey, chair, UK Ph. Plathner, secretary, IEC B. Terburg, GTB / SAE Th. Bauckhage, CLEPA W. Schlager, IEC B. Böttcher, FIA Th. Targosinski, Poland M. Fischer, DE R. Krautscheid, DE R. Bertram, IEC J-M Prigent, OICA S. Sudrie, OICA W. Halbritter, IEC</p> <p>Apologies:</p> <p>D. Rovers, NL W. van Laarhoven, GTB</p>	

3	Adoption of the agenda	<b>TFSR-21-01</b>
	The agenda was adopted without changes.	
4	Report of 20 <sup>th</sup> meeting	<b>TFSR-20-03</b>
	The report was reviewed together on the screen and confirmed	
5	Review of GRE89	
	No discussion on agenda item 5.	
5.1	Review of the report, paragraph 13. In addition, report paragraph 44 and 45 (ITC, CO2 benefits)	Report GRE-89
5.2	Informal documents at GRE89	GRE-89-05 GRE-89-06 GRE-89-22
6	New equivalence approach for high-flux LEDr categories	
	No discussion on agenda item 6.	
6.0	Basic technical considerations for bi-direction designs Including lab demo	TFSR-16-02 TFSR-17-05
7	Document Submission to GRE90	
7.1	H11 category sheet changes	TFSR-17-03 TFSR-18-02 TFSR-19-03 GRE-89-05 <b>TFSR-20-02rev1</b> <b>TFSR-20-04</b>
	<p>Mr. Schlager introduced document TSFR-20-02rev1, the draft formal document for GRE90 and also document TFSR-20-04, the draft informal support document.</p> <p>It was reminded that the technical details had already been discussed in detail in the previous meeting.</p> <p>It was also reminded that the structure of the proposal (a formal proposal without track changes supported by an informal document with highlighted changes) had been agreed the previous meeting.</p> <p>Therefore, in particular the justification text was reviewed together, which had been drafted by Mr. Schlager and Mr. Plathner.</p> <p>Mr. Sudrie asked about the relationship of the category sheet proposal with the requirements for the national approval.</p> <p>Mr. Schlager replied that the proposed light source requirements in the category sheet were stricter than those required at national level.</p> <p>After some further review of the text, it was agreed that no changes to the justification were necessary.</p> <p>The two documents were approved for submission to GRE90.</p> <p>It was agreed for the secretary to send them to the GRE secretariat, and to ask for early publication of the informal document.</p>	

	<p>Mr. Prigent asked about a possible status report at GRE90, and if the TF would be continued.</p> <p>There followed some discussion.</p> <p>Mr. Terburg offered to check with the GTB officers if GTB would be willing / able to work on new LEDr category sheets.</p> <p>Mr. Prigent was of the opinion that the TF was not needed any more since the NGOs could submit the proposals directly to GRE.</p> <p>It was agreed that the questions about the future way-of-working for new category sheets, either via the TF S/R or via NGO input should be included in the status report and raised at GRE90.</p> <p>The chair and secretary of the TF offered to prepare the status report accordingly.</p>	
8	Next meeting(s)	
	No next meeting was scheduled, awaiting guidance on the future way-of-working from GRE90.	
9	Closure	
	<p>As “Any Other Business” Mr. Böttcher briefly reported about a recent ADAC / FIA survey on glare and he announced that he was targeting also a discussion at GRE90 on this study.</p> <p>The Chair thanked the participants and closed the meeting.</p>	

*P. Plathner*