

S. Korea's opinion on the CLEPA's proposal

Topic	Opinion	Comment
Declared unit	Agree	We agree with the proposal, by the way there is a need for a detailed way to clarify the two concepts (functional unit & declared unit) so that we are not misused as functional units.
PDS	Need to discuss further	The purpose of this concept was explained at the last meeting, by the way we did not clearly understand, and we did not accurately understand how to verify it when evaluated with this concept. So these two issues regarding PDS should be explained in detail. Furthermore, a method should be considered for cases where the value is lowered in the case of decarbonization, as in the slide made at the request of OICA.

S. Korea's opinion on the CLEPA's proposal

Topic	Decision	Comment
DQR	Need to discuss further	It is better to propose a more specific method than to present only requirements, however, there are some qualitative descriptions (example, similar/different technology, reporting period/shorter period, etc.). And additional explanation is needed why a specific value (3 and 6 years in time, 50% in completeness, etc.) is set to that value.
GHGs	Need to discuss further	We agree with the proposal to include GHGs as defined by the IPCC. GHGs, however, that are emitted at each phase or from the part production process but are not covered by the IPCC should be investigated in advance, and how to include it must be presented.

S. Korea's opinion on the level concept

We do NOT think that our level concept was determined by the agreement of the participants in SG3. Therefore, we think it is necessary to continue discussing it, and we would like to present Korea's opinion on the areas that need to be discussed as below;

It should clarify the definition of "global" and "regional" in representativeness of our level concept, and have to know the differences accordingly.

My understanding is that each participants has different ideas on the concept and scope of "global" and "regional".

So, it is necessary to clearly understand how secondary information according to the concept of regional is applied to "supply chain model", "OEM manufacturing processes" and "supplier manufacturing processes", respectively.

It is also questionable whether the concept of regional can cover all the secondary information