

Distraction analysis



IWG FVA#17, March 6-8, Paris

LAB: Laura BIGI, Jean Baptiste HAUE

Renault group: Philippe CLAUSE

Stellantis: Benoît MOREAU

Volvo group: Vuthy PHAN

LAB accidentology analysis, introduction



LAB distraction
analysis

is a study performed in 2021 in the context of ADDW regulatory process (EU 2023/2590 for MN).

- Accident database: VOIESUR (2011)



- 8500 French police reports analysis of the year 2011 aiming to carry out macroscopic and microscopic studies.
- Coding: human failures of which distractions are filled out based on a dedicated grid (see TRACE* project).

- Road accident Police report analysis: macroscopic figures of distraction-related injured accidents

=> **Frequency (accidents)** : 7.3% of injury accidents involving at least one Passenger Car (PC)

=> **Severity (casualties)**: 6% of fatalities / 7.6% of serious injured / 8% of the slightly injured.

No trend on circumstance influences (Day/Night; City/Extra urban; Intersection or not; Age of the driver; Driver's license experience)

- Road accident Police report analysis: microscopic figures of distraction-related injured accidents

- 4 main sources of distraction (See next slide), on which FVA may bring benefits.



(*Traffic Accident Causation in Europe)

free of copyright

FVA vs distraction, challenges for design



		Inside the vehicle			Outside the vehicle			Total		
		Frequency (% accidents)	Fatalities	Serious inj	Frequency (% accidents)	Fatalities	Serious inj	Frequency (% accidents)	Fatalities	Serious inj
3. VISUAL	<i>Gaze on something inside the vehicle</i>	6,0%	6,4%	5,9%				26,7%	13,6%	23,3%
	Police				0,9%	0,0%	0,0%			
	Animal on the road				0,04%	0,8%	0,1%			
	Pedestrians on pavement				1,0%	1,6%	0,0%			
	Accident site				0,8%	0,8%	1,2%			
	Looking for road signs				8,1%	1,6%	8,3%			
AUDITORY	Other kinds of perceived dangers				9,9%	2,4%	7,8%	0,9%	0,0%	2,0%
	Object is moving in the vehicle	0,9%	0,0%	2,0%						
2. IN ACTION	Handling the GPS (GSM might be used as GPS)	4,2%	0,8%	1,2%				15,0%	37,6%	18,0%
	Handling the on-board radio	0,6%	0,8%	0,0%						
	Using a cellphone	3,2%	25,6%	5,9%						
	Inserting a CD	0,04%	0,8%	0,1%						
1. COGNITIVE	Using an vehicle-integrated audio or video device	2,3%	2,4%	4,4%				50,1%	44,0%	53,0%
	Utilisation an other type of device available in the vehicle	2,2%	4,0%	3,3%						
	Looking for an object inside the vehicle	2,5%	3,2%	3,1%						
	Interaction with other vehicle occupant	4,2%	11,2%	2,5%						
UNKNOWN	Conflict between driving task and task not related to driving	4,7%	16,8%	9,7%				7,30%	4,8%	3,8%
	Conflict between several driving tasks	17,4%	5,6%	13,7%						
	Driver's mind	23,8%	10,4%	27,1%						
UNKNOWN	Unspecified distraction	2,6%	1,6%	1,6%	1,8%	0,0%	0,0%	100%	100%	100%
	Unknown distraction	(0,8%	0,1%	2,8%	2,4%	2,1%			
Total		74,6%	90,4%	80,5%	25,3%	9,6%	19,5%			

Priorities for FVA:

1. benefit vs functional interactions in the HMI
2. ..but also vs cellphones ("handies")
3. ..for sure vs outside scene perception

2021 LAB study (for M1), shared along ADDW discussions

Takeaway,



- Accidentology needs enlarged and updated data,
- Recommendation to monitor the phase in (with UN-R125-02 as first step then FVA),
- FVA is a challenger versus cognitive, interactive, and external environment distraction,
- FVA shall be reasonably managed to achieve effective benefits, enhancing regulation requirements.

Thanks,

