This paper sets out comments received in response to the formal review of the draft standard issued to UNECE on 21 October 2016.

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
1	04.11.2016	T.M. Blaiklock	[line 49] I would not confine the Guidelines to just "PPP". The term "PPP" has just so many interpretations around the World these days.  The Guidelines should, - and could easily, - cover the general procurement from the private sector of any public service contract [incl. the construction of assets]. PPP is, after all, just a commercial/funding structure.	
2	04.11.2016	T.M. Blaiklock	[line 128-] Corruption is not just about money changing hands. Institutional corruption is just as bad and even more insidious. The Guidelines do not cover this aspect.	
3	04.11.2016	T.M. Blaiklock	[line 392] "Conflicts of Interest" need to be more closely defined. Conflicts of interest represent a direct, or indirect, contractual advantage or benefit, which otherwise would not exist, as perceived by a third party.	
4	04.11.2016	T.M. Blaiklock	[line 620-] I agree that during the bidding process, bidder data should be kept confidential. However, post-bid and after award, there is much to be said, in terms of transparency and accountability, that the basic details (i.e. terms and conditions) of any public service contract with the private sector are placed in the public domain.	
5	04.11.2016	T.M. Blaiklock	[line 636] [Unsolicited bids]: Why not follow the "Swiss Challenge" procedure? [Being Genevabased, UNECE should know all about it!]	
6	04.11.2016	T.M. Blaiklock	No comment has been made as to sanctions for miscreants, or are Swiss goals already full, e.g. with FIFA executives, etc.?? Guidelines have limited impact unless possible sanctions are clearly identified. Further, sanction standards need to be set, or, at least, suggested.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment		Response
7	04.11.2016	T.M.	No comment is made in the Guidelines as to the fu		
		Blaiklock	contracted party is responsible for arranging finance	•	
			and avoid the use to tax-havens, which are not san	•	
			business. The latter should not only cover taxation	issues, but also disclosure of beneficial	
0	05.11.2016	John VAN	ownership of companies.  a. [line 510] "and of". Is this correct? Has been		
8	05.11.2016	RUTTEN	intended "and/or"?	a b. Please, replace by ToR	
		KOTTEN	b. [line 545] TOR (see line 112 as well)	c. Please, replace by ToR	
			c. [line 558] TOR (see line 112 as well)	d. Please, replace by VfM	
			d. [line 561] VFM	integrate in the Terms (line 103)	
			an (misself trial	under line 112	
9	08.11.2016	Gagik	[line 242]		
		Khachatryan	It's prudent to use road maps approach for launchi	ng PPP contracts for the process	
			planning and fairness.		
			Proposed changes:	d managaran	
10	00 11 2016	Cil	After the words "well-designed" add "based on roa	d maps approach"	
10	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 255] The public entity's effort has to be directed to iden	tifuing the possible risks as well	
		Kilacilati yali	The public entity's enort has to be directed to iden	triying the possible risks as well.	
			Proposed changes:		
			After the word "needs," add "possible risks,"		
11	08.11.2016	Gagik	[line 258]		
		Khachatryan	It's important to ensure awareness by Public and P	rivate Sectors of each other's problems	
			and risks as well as priorities, especially before laur	nching PPP contracts.	
			Proposed changes:		
			At the end of "Stage 1" paragraph add "Ensure awa	areness by Public and Private Sectors of	
			each other's problems and preferences."	•	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
12	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 282] Corruption can also occur because of the lack of regulation.	
			Proposed changes: After the word "weakest" add "or unregulated"	
13	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 334] The ability to design performance indicators is also very important requirement as indicators are risk allocation between public and private sectors, so there must be applicable tools for their evaluation which will allow to avoid inappropriate negotiations between parties later.	
			<ul> <li>Proposed changes:</li> <li>At the end of section "Corruption in PPP procurement" add new paragraph "</li> <li>When there aren't clear and applicable methodologies for calculation of performance indicators, reliable base year data for evaluation of the progress of performance and appropriate monitoring system of the PPP process."</li> </ul>	
14	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 762] For objective and transparent evaluation of participants' proposals there's need to have an applicable evaluation system.  Proposed changes: At the end of "Tender Notices and Bidding Documents" add paragraph "  • Develop evaluation criteria, evaluation methodology and evaluation matrix (for	
15	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	detailed evaluation)."  [line 764]  Establishing comprehensive dispute/conflict resolution mechanism will allow to manage the risks during implementation of PPP projects.  Proposed changes:	
			At the end of "Tender Notices and Bidding Documents" add paragraph "	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			Establish comprehensive dispute/conflict resolution mechanisms. Foresee that the arbitration is administered by independent arbitration and that independent auditors help resolve all disputes between parties."	
16	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 783] Increasing awareness of civil movement organizations will decrease risk of corruption.	
			Proposed changes: At the end point 52 add paragraph "Government has to ensure involvement of civil movement organizations into PPP process."	
17	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 807] For increasing awareness of civil movement organizations.	
			Proposed changes: In the section "Tender Evaluation Committee, Action Notes" and of bullet 1 add paragraph "The representatives of NGOs also can be member (involve) of the Evaluation committee."	
18	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 825] Preparing comprehensive bidding package and qualified evaluation of proposals needs specific capacity.	
			Proposed changes: In the section "Tender Evaluation Committee, Action Notes" at the end add paragraph "Preparing comprehensive bidding package and qualified evaluation of proposals needs specific capacity. It's prudent to involve the professional transaction agent/evaluator"	
19	08.11.2016	Gagik Khachatryan	[line 953] Involving independent auditors for the monitoring, review of the utilities' performances and to help resolve all disputes between parties is crucial.	
			Proposed changes: In the section Probity and Fairness Mechanisms Fairness Auditor at the end of point 72 add paragraph	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			"Auditors have to monitor, review the utilities' performances and calculate penalties (if any)."	
20	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 124] The word "mobilized" as used in the paragraph means to converging or bringing together resources(something), therefore the impossibility of an action to be taken should not include the word mobilized  Proposed changes:	
			Mobilized should be changed to "Achieved" or "attained"	
21	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 154/156] There is an unclear full meaning of the sentence. The sheer impact as used in the sentence preceding phrase of sheer impact was giving / or gave reference and captured corruption at all levels but singling out the level of corruption at project stages complicates the full understanding and meaning of the sentence.  Proposed changes: Concerning should be replaced with "worrisome" which indicates that the issues is problematic or troubling. Example. Apart from the sheer scale of its impact, corruption at the level of projects is	
22	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	also deeply "worrying" or worrisome"  [line 174/175]  There should be .It is not surprising that the UN SDs .this is because it is an official document and as such short cuts to language speaking must be scrapped or made total unavailable.  Proposed changes:  Not surprising should be preceded by "It is" to make a complete sentence	
23	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 189] The objectives have two diverging points, the first parts talks about suggestion and	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			recommendations while the last three points talk more about factors that sound like the authors are part of the PPP process which splits the objectives points into two. One part makes suggestion about how the standards will be while the other part does not	
24	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 196] This is because the Author of this paper is a third party to the PPP reformation who only makes or recommend positive solutions to combating corruption in PPP through objectives of the standard. So since the Author is not directly involved in the government PPP policy process. You cannot integrate new technologies into the process but only suggest policies in the paper.  Proposed changes: Suggest policies to help integrate new technologies for	
25	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 235] I think since although there are some similarities for both the traditional public contracts and PPP, there was too shallow explanations what really the concept or core values of the traditional Public Contracts are. So that policy makers who have little knowledge about the two will have full understanding of them.  There was much for the comparism and similarity scenarios about the two but the full meaning of the later was never mentioned.  Proposed changes:  Explain briefly the meaning or definition of traditional public contracts	
26	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 291] The full sentence before the word "but" talks about positive things to help PPP invariably linking the second point with "But" deviates the main idea that the statement wants to carry or send across. More often than not the linking word but of "BUT" follows with a negative statement against the first or previous sentence.  Proposed changes: Therefore	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
27	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 297] The word "risk" which is used to mean "ways" or "circumstances" in which corruption occurs should be replaced with "means", "factors", "route" or any word to "the causing agent or reason for corruption to happen in PPP procurement".  "Risk" means effect or consequences of the aftermath of corruption in the Procurement.  Proposed changes:  Means or factors or route	
28	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 298-326] All the points that explains the opportunities of corruption to happen in Procurement starts with the positive important measure to implement PPP procurement but the subtitle which lead to the further subdivisions only spoke about negative of PPP.  Proposed changes: I suggest the main point in line 297 should be reframed to contain or depict both important actions to be taken to help PPP and likely effects of such action.	
29	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 382] The sentence should be reframed properly by eliminating the word "existing" since there is the word "exist" at the ending part of the first phrase/sentence.  Proposed changes: If anti-corruption laws exist, review, revise or extend in anticipation of PPP activity or If there are an existing anti-corruption laws, regulations, and codes	
30	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 579] This should be the second point since consultants and experts will need to be selected before they can be included in the public record associated with the project  Proposed changes: Replace 577 with 579 and vice versa	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
31	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 905] There should be "the" between consider and use of Probity Officers	
			Proposed changes: The	
32	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 953] There should be coma (,) in between officer and require OR "In" should be eliminated to make the sentence complete. Also since the Probity officer is a third person singular verb, "(S)" must be added to require to make it "requires"	
			Proposed changes: Comma (,) or "In" in the first part of the sentence should be deleted. And also the word "require" should be changed to "requires"	
33	06.12.2016	Benjamin Odame	[line 955] Also the "require" after Fairness Officer since it is a singular verb should be "requires"	
			Proposed changes:  "Require" should be "requires"	
34	06.12.2016	Predrag Cvetkovic	[line 289-293] However, the thorough decision about PPP (Stage 1) shall include the criteria which are hard to be misused and conversely provides genuine competitiveness of the procurement process (e. g. criteria of "technological neutrality" complemented with the "output based" criteria for the best bidder).	
			Proposed changes:  "However, the PPP procurement process is characterized by the genuine linkages between all three stages of procurement: therefore holistic approach to the procurement is justified by the existence of those linkages."	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
35	06.12.2016	Predrag Cvetkovic	[line 358-361] It is necessary to promote the NGOs as the relevant stakeholder in the PPP procurement from the very inception of the project: in this way the higher level of transparency is achieved.	
			Proposed changes:  Next to the line 361: "The Governments shall support and promote the role of non-governmental sector from the very inception of the project"	
36	06.12.2016	Predrag Cvetkovic	[line 379] The enforceability of the code of ethics is complex question. "Ethical" sanctions, as opposed to the legal ones could include the prohibition to the party in "breach of ethics" to participate in the future projects in the host country. However, "ethical" suitability should not be the "protectionism in disguise". Therefore the clear rules of procedure and criteria should be establish in order to determine if and to what extent the accused party breached ethical principles.	
			Proposed changes:  Next to the line 379: The relevant code of ethics shall define the transparent rules of procedure and criteria establishing the breach of ethical principles, as well the clear and proportional consequences of such breach for the party in breach.	
37	06.12.2016	Predrag Cvetkovic	[line 431-433] The importance of international models is restricted by the existence of the cultural differences as well the differences of "political quality" among countries, e. g: - the family ties and public sector intersect in the Arab countries more relevant in comparison with the EU Countries; - the countries with underdeveloped political systems, such as Turkophony states-Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan.	
			Proposed changes:  Next to the line 433: "The expected incorporation of international models in the sense of previous sentence should be carried in accordance with the cultural and political	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			particularities of the country in question. shall not mean that countries.	
38	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 1-1057] The draft should be proofread and revised by a native English speaker  Proposed changes:  Proofread and revise the draft by a native English speaker	
39	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 154] The results of the Corruption Perception Index (CPI) 2015 conducted by the Transparency International could be mentioned and discussed in the Introduction section (http://www.transparency.org/cpi2015#results-table)  Proposed changes:	
			Highlight the CPI 2015 results in the Introduction section	
40	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 155-156] The sentence needs to be revised  Proposed changes:  "Apart from the sheer scale of its impact, the impact of corruption at the level of projects is also deeply concerning because it:"	
41	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 157] Corruption in procurement can distort market mechanisms, impede country's economic development, reduce competitiveness, trade and foreign direct investments  Proposed changes: Add an additional bullet point	
42	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 445] Check for the presence of the conflict of interest at all three stages of PPP procurement process  Proposed changes: Add an additional bullet point	
43	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 445] In case the conflict of interests cannot be eliminated or mitigated, such individuals should be retrieved from the PPP project  Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			Add an additional bullet point	
44	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 493] Governments should develop online platforms that disclose to participants and to general public information on all stages of PPP procurement process in a timely manner	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add an additional bullet point	
45	13.12.2016	Marina	[line 587-588] Minimization of costs used only for minimization can cause worsening of	
		Epifanceva	quality. Aspects like political situation, financial markets, availability of procured goods,	
			etc. can affect costs and technologies progress	
			Proposed changes:	
			cost breakdowns in the tender so that costs can be monitored according to cost/quality	
			ratio and up-to-date costs and technologies	
46	13.12.2016	Marina	[line 688] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details	
		Epifanceva		
			Proposed changes:	
			Require unsolicited proposals to provide information and details according to established	
			framework	
47	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 714] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details	
			Proposed changes:	
			give detailed information for any potential bidder	
48	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 714] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details	
			Proposed changes:	
			Publish tender notices and bidding documents for a fixed amount of time	
49	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 825-826] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details	
			Proposed changes:	
			bidders with sufficient information to allow the candidates to evaluate their interest for	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			the project	
50	13.12.2016	Marina Epifanceva	[line 863-864] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details	
			Proposed changes:	
			Governments should use dialogue-based PPP procurement when the contracting authority	
51	13.12.2016	Marina	does not have sufficient expertise to set up technical specifications [line 911-914] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details	
<b>J1</b>	13.12.2010	Epifanceva	[inte 311-314] Word Sufficient Should be claimed in quantative and descriptive details	
			Proposed changes:	
			Governments should accept framework of professional capacity and skills for application	
			by Probity Officers. Probity Officers should have independence, from all public and private	
			parties involved in the PPP.	
52	13.12.2016	Marina	[line 981-983] Word "sufficient" should be clarified in quantative and descriptive details or	
		Epifanceva	eliminated	
			Proposed changes:	
			Governments should incorporate whistle-blower rules and framework, and ensure that it	
			be easy to initiate by a whistle-blower, and provides confidentiality of the information	
53	13.12.2016	Marina	[line 1061] The OECD report "Bribery in Public Procurement: Methods, Actors and	
		Epifanceva	Counter-measures" could be considered and added to the reference list	
			(http://www.oecd.org/investment/anti-bribery/anti-briberyconvention/44956834.pdf)	
			Drawagad shawgag	
			Proposed changes: Add the report to the reference list	
54	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	ISO has developed a new standard to help organizations fight bribery and promote an	
			ethical business culture. ISO 37001 on Anti-bribery management systems, specifies a series	
			of measures to help organizations prevent, detect and address bribery. These include	
			adopting an anti-bribery policy, appointing a person to oversee anti-bribery compliance,	
			training, risk assessments and due diligence on projects and business associates,	
			implementing financial and commercial controls, and instituting reporting and	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			investigation procedures.  The measures required by ISO 37001 are designed to be integrated with existing management processes and controls. ISO 37001 can be used by any organization, large or small, whether it be in the public, private or voluntary sector, and in any country. It is a flexible tool, which can be adapted according to the size and nature of the organization and the bribery risk it faces.  A well-managed organization is expected to have a compliance policy supported by appropriate management systems to assist it in complying with its legal obligations and commitment to integrity. An anti-bribery policy is a component of an overall compliance policy. Therefore, EIC/ FIEC urge UNECE to review the entire "zero tolerance" document in view of the newly published ISO 37001 on Anti-bribery management systems and also use it as a source and reference. EIC / FIEC stresses that not only suppliers and contractors should adopt the principles of the Anti Bribery Management System, ISO37001. This is just as important also for Procuring entities and Public Contract management organizations such as Road and Railway authorities and similar organizations.  Last but not least, EIC/ FIEC encourage major public sector and private sector procurement bodies to make it a pre-qualification requirement in the same way as ISO 9001. Or, at least to offer additional positive evaluation points for proven compliance with this international standard.	
55	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 133/4] Considering that there are no reliable figures on corruption, the text should not indicate specific figures as if they were proven facts. In the case of citations, the fact that a statement has been made or published does not make the contents of a statement a fact.  The reference is not exact (anymore), today the date on the website is "November 28, 2016".  Proposed changes:  "The World Bank recently highlighted claimed"  correct date and add: "http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/governance/brief/anti-corruption	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
56	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 145-7] Each citation should indicate the source exactly, so that the interested reader	
			can find it easily.	
			Searching the European Commission citation given in these lines, we only found this text in the CoST "PRESS RELEASE Embargoed until 09.30, 22 October 2012". This includes even the	
			missing inverted commas at the end.	
			(http://www.constructiontransparency.org/documentdownload.axd?documentresourceid	
			=8) , which, in turn, is cited in the "OECD Public Governance Reviews Integrity Framework	
			for Public Investment" published 29/2/2016.	
			Proposed changes:	
			Check that all citations throughout the entire text do have the correct reference, if possible	
			with the URL allowing to see the citation in its context.	
			Citations without reference should be deleted or reformulated in order not to appear as	
			citations.	
57	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 385] EIC/ FIEC encourage the adoption of a code of ethics by governments, its	
			publication, as well as, its endorsement by "the various public entities involved in the	
			process, and by bidders, and both public and private affiliates, contractors and	
			subcontracts participating in the bidding process ()". Concerning the content of such a code, EIC/ FIEC make some additional proposals and ask to kindly refer to §8.7 of the ISO	
			37001.	
			37001.	
			Proposed changes:	
			the Government's code of ethics should clearly prohibit solicitation and acceptance of	
			bribes by the government's personnel and anyone working on behalf of the government	
58	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 438] EIC/ FIEC fully support the establishment of a conflict of interest system that	
			incorporates international models and includes procedures for identification as formulated	
			by the UNECE draft. However, EIC/ FIEC propose to add two additional bullet points that	
			might help a Government to identify situations where personnel may facilitate or fail to	
			prevent or report bribery.	
			Proposed changes:	
			i ioposea enanges.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
		<ul> <li>The Government should clearly inform all personnel of their duty to report any actual or potential conflict of interest such as family, financial or other connection directly or indirectly related to their line of work.</li> <li>The Government should preferably keep a record of any circumstances of actual or potential conflicts of interest and whether actions were taken to mitigate the conflict.</li> <li>The Government may also implement audit procedures to identify ways personnel may exploit existing control weaknesses for personal gain. Example procedures could refer to § 8.4.4 of ISO 37001 on Anti-bribery management systems</li> </ul>	
15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 744] EIC/ FIEC advocate for fair and reasonable tender processes and bidding documents.  Proposed changes: Contracts should be awarded, where possible and reasonable, only after a fair and, where appropriate, transparent international competitive tender process between at least three	
15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	<ul> <li>[line 795] EIC/ FIEC are generally supportive of the creation of a Tender Evaluation Committee and make the additional proposals:</li> <li>Proposed changes:         <ul> <li>requiring at least two persons to evaluate the tenders and approve the award of a contract;</li> <li>implementing a separation of duties, so that the same person cannot both initiate and approve a payment and/or the award of the contract;</li> <li>requiring the signatures of at least two persons on contracts, and on documents which change the contract terms or which approve work undertaken or supplies provided under the contract;</li> <li>placing a higher level of management oversight on potentially high bribery risk transactions;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	15.12.2016	15.12.2016 Laurent Félix	The Government should clearly inform all personnel of their duty to report any actual or potential conflict of interest such as family, financial or other connection directly or indirectly related to their line of work.      The Government should preferably keep a record of any circumstances of actual or potential conflicts of interest and whether actions were taken to mitigate the conflict.      The Government may also implement audit procedures to identify ways personnel may exploit existing control weaknesses for personal gain. Example procedures could refer to § 8.4.4 of ISO 37001 on Anti-bribery management systems  15.12.2016  Laurent Félix    Proposed changes:   Contracts should be awarded, where possible and reasonable, only after a fair and, where appropriate, transparent international competitive tender process between at least three competitors has taken place;    Iline 795  EIC/ FIEC are generally supportive of the creation of a Tender Evaluation Committee and make the additional proposals:    Proposed changes:   Froposed changes:   Proposed changes:   requiring at least two persons to evaluate the tenders and approve the award of a contract;   implementing a separation of duties, so that the same person cannot both initiate and approve a payment and/or the award of the contract;   requiring the signatures of at least two persons on contracts, and on documents which change the contract terms or which approve work undertaken or supplies provided under the contract;   placing a higher level of management oversight on potentially high bribery risk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
61	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 913a] Checking the conformity of the Procurement's procedures with the applicable anti-corruption laws and regulations, including PPP complex and innovating projects, is a need. However, FIEC and EIC question the relevance of the mechanism proposed in this draft. Indeed, while it might be relevant to rely on consultancies and/or qualified individual or specialized firms to assess part of the procurement compliance with the applicable laws and regulations, the draft proposal shall also encourage low or medium income countries to develop their own governmental entities for the fight against fraud and corruption. The creation of such agencies, national or eventually regional, would allow the promotion and the effective diffusion of appropriate codes of conduct, ethic procedures as well as good governance principles. Finally, the creation of such agencies could be supported by Multilateral Development Banks and/or Development Financial Institutions' financial and technical assistance.	
			Proposed changes: Governments shall create a national agency for the fight against corruption. The agency shall use appropriate rules and procedures, including for PPP projects. The agency shall be staffed with trained personnel with, when necessary, the support of Multilateral Development Banks and/or Development Finance Institutions.	
62	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	[line 922a] EIC and FIEC outline that the appointment of a Fairness Auditor shall not be limited to the cases of corruption. EIC and FIEC support that the Fairness Auditor shall be able to address all types of misprocurement, i. e. non-compliance with deadlines, restrictive clauses, Abnormally Low Tenders, partial offer etc. Furthermore, EIC and FIEC think that such tasks can be best achieved through the establishment of national or regional agencies for the fight against fraud and corruption and not by single individual. Therefore, FIEC and EIC support the appointment of a Fairness Auditor only in the cases where competent national entities or competent court for the fight against fraud and corruption are not provided. If such entities are provided, FIEC and EIC sustain that any case of misprocurement shall fall under the responsibility of the competent national entity for the treatment of misprocurement. This entity may, where appropriate, rely on the relevant national or regional agency for the fight against fraud and corruption.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			Proposed changes: At the request of the interested party, any case of misprocurement, i. e. for fraud or corruption shall be allocated, whenever possible, to the relevant Court of justice or to the competent national entity that will be able to support the case, where appropriate, with the expertise of the National Agency for the fight against fraud and corruption.	
63	15.12.2016	Laurent Félix	<ul> <li>[line 986]</li> <li>EIC/ FIEC acknowledge that governments may wish to establish whistle-blowing rules and procedural framework in order to enable and encourage proactive disclosure of conflicts, corruptive manoeuvers and other fraudulent practices. In this context, governments' employees shall be well informed about their rights and obligations in such a whistle-blowing framework.</li> <li>Proposed changes:         <ul> <li>training materials should reinforce the prohibition on soliciting and accepting bribes and include the framework on whistle-blowing rules.</li> <li>the publication on the Government's website of the Government's whistle-blowing rules and procedural framework and of details of how to report bribery helps to set expectations with business associates, so as to decrease the likelihood that business associates will offer, or the Government's personnel will solicit or accept, a bribe.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
64	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	We have several general comments on the general 'tone' of this paper as follows: Viewing the document as a whole, we are concerned that it portrays a somewhat negative view of PPPs. The objective of the Standards is to promote PPPs as a useful tool. The TOS PPP should therefore avoid giving the impression that PPPs are too complicated, difficult or risky. This does not mean that the standard should avoid the real issues, many of which are raised in the document.  The concept of creating a true and lasting partnership between the parties needs to come out more strongly. Creating and maintaining a fair and equitable balance between the contracting parties (and their advisors) is essential to a successful outcome. The way the procurement process is conducted is crucial to creating these conditions. The way the document is drafted seems to miss this.  Creating a deep sense of understanding of partnership is always challenging during a	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			competitive bidding process. More emphasis has to be placed on working together to achieve lasting value in the outcome, even if the competitive procurement process has a natural tendency towards being conflictual.  The document also seems to take a stance predicated on the idea that the private sector is inherently unethical, which in most cases is not correct. This does not help in the promotion of PPP.  We hope these points can be addressed in a further draft.	
65	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 118-124] This is a negatively worded opening, which is likely to discourage people interested in PPP from the beginning. It needs to be redrafted in a positive tone. See suggestion	
			Proposed changes: The United Nations 2030 Vision for Sustainable Development and the associated Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) represent a very substantial approach to overcoming a wide range of unsustainable activities and behaviours. Meeting the goals will bring huge benefits of great value. In the long term, this will outweigh the considerable costs estimated to be needed to achieve them. Recent reports have estimated that global infrastructure will need USD 3.3 trillion of investment per year just to keep pace with projected growth. This massive sum will need to be mobilized from many sources, including from the private sector. In order for Governments to scale up investment and infrastructure development to this magnitude, they need to make strong commitments to transparency and integrity, and to fight corruption.	
66	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 126-127] Again this heading presents a very negative profile.  Proposed changes:	
67	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	The benefits of overcoming corruption  [line 132-153] Do you need all 5 of these, couldn't the information be reduced to produce more impact?  Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
68	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 148-153] We think it might make more sense to make this the first bullet point of the list.	
			Proposed changes:	
69	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 155-156] See proposed modification in next column	
			Proposed changes:	
			Apart from its sheer scale, the impact of corruption at the level of projects is also deeply concerning. Corruption:	
70	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 165] Make "Encourages rent seeking" a new bullet point	
			Proposed changes:	
71	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 190] As this is the first time the word "standard" is mentioned we believe it would require definition.  We believe it is also necessary for the TOS PPP to be very clear what this standard does that the existing UN and OECD guidelines and principles do not do? Having to follow a very large number of standards can be a real hindrance to both parties that might be trying to develop a PPP. The job of the TOS PPP is surely to make the process easier not to complicate it.	
			Proposed changes:	
72	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	<ul> <li>[line 191] We think that at the beginning of this list you should add two additional bullet points as proposed in the next column:</li> <li>Proposed changes:         <ul> <li>To improve the opportunities to use PPPs in ways that mobilise their potential and reduce the risk and complexity of establishing and operating them.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Assist all parties to enter into and to operate PPPs that are of high quality and not compromised by defects caused by the lack of integrity or corrupt practices of any persons involved.</li> </ul>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
73	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 209-211] We believe it would be better to couch these ideas in a positive context,	
			otherwise you are destroying PPPs before you start.	
			Dronger debanger	
			Proposed changes: Part 1 discusses the three (3) stages of PPP procurement, and the ways PPPs may be	
			vulnerable to corruption, and the moments in the procurement process where special care	
			is needed to avoid the risks of corruption.	
74	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 212] We believe it would be better to couch these ideas in a positive context,	
			otherwise you are destroying PPPs before you start.	
			Proposed changes:	
			Part 2 elaborates further the core areas where there is a risk that corruption in the	
			procurement process may occur. It sets out in detail several recommendations to address	
			these specific issues and adds some action points for the implementation of the same.	
75	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 227] Think again about a more positive formulation of this heading.	
			Proposed changes:	
			Protecting PPPs at all stages of Project Procurement	
76	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 235] Delete the words "somewhat novel and"	
			Proposed changes:	
77	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 238] What do you mean by "go beyond the traditional role of the Treasury"? This	
			requires clarification.	
			Boron della consi	
70	16 12 2016	1 NA NASS	Proposed changes:	
78	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 235] Add additional wording as proposed in next column.	
			Proposed changes:	
			. The most important is that they require to bring together the public sector and private	
			sector in a true and lasting partnership and not in a short term "deal". There are a number	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			of other features that	
79	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 238] Add additional wording as proposed in next column.	
			Proposed changes:  The success of a PPP depends on both the public authority and the private operator respecting and performing their contractual commitments and therefore require new longer term	
80	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 258]  Proposed changes: Add ", thus" before "subjecting"	
81	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 259]  Proposed changes:  Add "evaluating and" before "awarding the contract"	
82	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 261]  Proposed changes:  Add "and evaluate offers" before "can be very high"	
83	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 267]  Proposed changes: Add "it judges" before "proposes"	
84	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 268] You should also highlight the 'contract finalization' stage that occurs between bid award and contract start. There is usually a need for a period to finalize and agree the terms of the contract and then to fulfil the 'conditions precedent' before the contract can actually come into force.  Proposed changes:	
85	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 268] In this context, you need also to address the challenge posed by variant or additional offers. These can add considerable value to the final outcome, but can cause difficulties in evaluation and suspicion from other parties. A clear procedure to deal with them in a way that respects both the need to recognise competitive advantage and demonstrate	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			transparency is needed.	
			Proposed changes:	
86	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 270]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Delete "bidder" and replace with "two parties"	
87	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 272]	
			In this phase there is the challenge of dealing with changes in circumstances and additions	
			or variations. In long-term contracts conditions and circumstances will inevitably change	
			and both clients and contractors need to have the means to adapt to them. The Standard	
			needs to clearly recognise this challenge and provide a procedure.	
88	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	Proposed changes:	
00	10.12.2010	J. IVI. IVIUSS	[line 283] Proposed changes:	
			Add "where extortion," before "bribes"	
89	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 284]	
	10.12.2010	3. 141. 141033	Proposed changes:	
			Add ", it is" before "frequently"	
90	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 284]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Delete "companies" and replace with "one of the parties is"	
91	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 285]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "adjusting" with "adjustment"	
92	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 285]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "distorting regulatory procedures or reporting," before "or padding"	
93	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 287-289]	
			We do not understand this sentence. Can you consider redrafting?	
			Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
95	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 298-301]	
			This is very confusing drafting that requires review.	
			Proposed changes:	
95	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 302]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "concept" after "PPP"	
96	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 302]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "with the market prior to a bidding process" after "tested"	
97	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 304]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "potentially" before "opens"	
98	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 311-331]	
			Taken together, these four bullet points do a very good job of undermining the rationale	
			for PPPs in the first place. If our objective is to promote PPP, then surely, we need to take a	
			different and more promotional approach.	
			In addition, the issues can be taken the other way round so these characteristics are also	
			advantages.	
	16.12.2016	1.04.04	Proposed changes:	
99	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 356]	
			Proposed changes:	
400	46.42.2046		Add "clean" before "conduct"	
100	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 360]	
			Proposed changes:	
101	46.42.2046		Add an "s" to the word "strengthen"	
101	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 379]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "and enforced" after "enforceable"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
102	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 379]	
			We would suggest you add additional points to this list as follows:	
			Proposed changes:	
			10. Ideally, governments should have an independent anticorruption agency.	
			11. Private sector companies bidding for PPPs should have their own published code of	
			ethics and internal anticorruption procedures that can be audited by independent parties.	
103	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 399]	
			The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they	
			find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?	
			Proposed changes:	
104	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 403]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace the text in the box with the following "Conflicts of interest are 'red flags' indicating	
			the risk of corruption and a general threat to the integrity of the process. Because	
			conflicts can be identified they are important tools for an anti-corruption system that	
			attempts to uncover conduct that is purposefully hidden."	
105	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 405]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "competing" with "compete"	
106	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 405]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "that arise from" after "obligations"	
107	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 409]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "although" with "while"	
108	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 411]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add "also" after "should"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
109	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 448]	
			The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?	
			Proposed changes:	
110	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 453]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "critical" with "essential"	
111	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 500-531]	
			The whole of this section very unclear. It needs careful reconsideration and more precise	
			drafting.	
112	46.42.2046	1.04.04	Proposed changes:	
112	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 509]	
			"Separate" it is not clear what they should separate them from. The current drafting can be read in several different ways.	
			Proposed changes:	
113	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 514]	
			It is not clear what "these entities" relates to	
			Proposed changes:	
114	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 514-520]	
			This whole paragraph is unintelligible and requires redrafting.	
			Proposed changes:	
115	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 521-522]	
			This whole paragraph is unintelligible and requires redrafting.	
116	46 42 2046	1.04.04	Proposed changes:	
116	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 538] The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they	
			find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?	
			Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
117	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 539] Here and throughout this section you are using the word "retention" in an unorthodox way which could lead to confusion. Better word might be "engagement"  Proposed changes:	
118	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 547-548] We may have misunderstood this, but it does not seem necessary that all experts should be capable of covering all stages in a project. What is important is that they are fully competent to deal with the matters on which they are expected to provide expertise.  Proposed changes:	
119	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 554]  Proposed changes:  Delete "free from" and replace with "have no"	
120	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 562]  Proposed changes: Replace "balancing" with "to balance"	
121	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 562] What is the "retention budget"? This probably needs defining.  Proposed changes:	
122	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 579] Reconsider the word "Post". It appears odd here. Do you mean "advertise, publicise, make calls for tender to" or something similar? In addition, it seems inappropriate and impractical to expect calls for tender for multiple different tasks to be made simultaneously. In practice they are often required sequentially, some depending on the outcome of others.  Proposed changes:	
123	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 585]  Proposed changes: Replace the word "State" with "Define"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
124	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 606] The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?  Proposed changes:	
125	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 607]  Proposed changes:  Replace the word "the "at the end of the line with "its"	
126	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 636] You should consider adding a whole section prior to this one that would deal with variations and variant bids.  Proposed changes:	
127	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 638] The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?  Proposed changes:	
128	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 642]  Proposed changes:  Replace "seek to" with "may be intended to"	
129	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 660] The box on unsolicited proposals is unduly negative. A good unsolicited proposal can add enormous value but of course needs to be managed very carefully.  Proposed changes:	
130	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 679-681] Suggest you reword this section as shown in the next column.  Proposed changes:  44. Governments should allow potentially responsive bidders and other interested stakeholders the opportunity to challenge an unsolicited proposal prior to a contract being awarded. They need to pay special attention to avoiding pursuing challenges that are not based on substantial grounds.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
131	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 689]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "it is" with "they are"	
132	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 689]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "fits" with "fit"	
133	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 710]	
			In the text box	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "to skewing" with "that can be used to skew"	
134	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 714]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "for" with "to permit"	
135	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 722]	
			In this context, you need to deal with the issues raised by queries and clarifications related	
			to the tendering information and documents.	
			It is also necessary to deal with the situations that arise when incomplete information or	
			inaccurate data comes to light at any stage in both the procurement and operation phases	
			of a PPP contract.	
			Proposed changes:	
136	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 759]	
			The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they	
			find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?	
127	16 12 2016	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Proposed changes:	
137	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 760]	
			Proposed changes:	
120	16.12.2016	1 D4 D4	Add: "and their bids" after "bidders"	
138	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 761]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "a proposal" with "their proposal"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
139	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 762]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "prevailed in the competition" with "was judged to have submitted the best offer"	
140	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 767]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Delete the word "and" after "method"	
141	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 768]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Delete the word "permitting" from the end of the line	
142	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 773-774]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace these lines with "code of ethics and require that they have no conflicts of	
			interest."	
143	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 796- 799]	
			These bullet points require clarification. As they stand they are unintelligible.	
			Proposed changes:	
144	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 816]	
			The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they	
			find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?	
	15.10.0015		Proposed changes:	
145	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 826]	
			Proposed changes:	
446	16.12.2016		Replace "for the project " with "in the project, be informed"	
146	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 835-837]	
			The meaning of this bullet point is not clear. It requires clarification and redrafting.	
1.47	16.12.2016	L D4 D4===	Proposed changes:	
147	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 844]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace "and after" with "up to and including"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
148	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 854] The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?  Proposed changes:	
149	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 860]  Proposed changes: In the text box, delete the word "put" from the second line	
150	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 882]  Proposed changes:  Replace the words after "confidentiality" with "in any dialogue involving"	
151	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 890]  Proposed changes: Replace the word "of" after the word "system" with "for"	
152	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 896] The way you use the words "are challenged" here is ambiguous. Do you mean "that they find it difficult" or are you "challenging them to do something"?  Proposed changes:	
153	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 913]  Proposed changes:  Replace "independence" with "be fully independent of"	
154	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 953]  Proposed changes:  Replace "of a Probity Officer require" with "where a Probity Officer requires"	
155	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 953-954] This sentence appears to be incomplete.  Proposed changes:	
156	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 981]  Proposed changes: Add an "S" to the word "framework"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
157	16.12.2016	J. M. Moss	[line 982]	
			Proposed changes:	
			Replace the word "be" with "is"	
158	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 233]	
		Sanz	To bring to the Guidelines that PPP contracts imply a better value for money thanks to	
			Private Participation as is commented in Public-Private Partnerships and the 2030 Agenda	
			for Sustainable Development (DESA working Paper №148 ST/ESA/2016/DWP/148)	
			Proposed changes:	
			Include in the text:	
			procurement contracts with the goal of achieve better value for money and minimize	
			fiscal risk when mobilizing private capital and know-how to complement public resources	
1=0			and enable new investment in public infrastructure and facilities.	
159	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 291]	
		Sanz	To bring to the Guidelines the finding of AAAA (DESA working Paper Nº148	
			ST/ESA/2016/DWP/148) that confirms the need for private and public partners to be	
			thoughtful in the design and implementation of PPPs to prevent pitfalls from the past, especially in light of the challenges related to the implementation of the ambitious 2030	
			Agenda for Sustainable Development.	
			Proposed changes:	
			In order to be justifiable, a PPP must provide value for money (VfM). This statement brings	
			to the Guidelines the finding of Addis Ababa Action Agenda of the Third International	
			Conference on financing for Development that confirms the need for private and public	
			partners to be thoughtful in the design and implementation of PPPs to prevent pitfalls	
			from the past, especially in light of the challenges related to the implementation of the	
			ambitious 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. (DESA working Paper №148	
			ST/ESA/2016/DWP/148)	
160	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 157]	
		Sanz	To suggest that corruption does not allow selection and monitoring of projects ensuring	
			value for money, minimizing contingent fiscal risk and improving accountability objectives	
			or any technical measure of direct benefits on citizens' lives and sustainable development	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			goals	
			Proposed changes:	
			Add new bullets points:	
			- Diverts the transparency in explaining the selection criteria of PPP projects followed	
			ensuring value for money, minimizing contingent fiscal risk	
			- Diverts identify, measure and monitories achievement of declared direct benefits on	
			citizens' lives and on sustainable development goals	
161	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 256]	
		Sanz	To enforce in stage 1 of PPP procurement the act of identify, measure and monitories with	
			standardize tools the achievement of declared direct benefits on citizens' lives and on	
			sustainable development goals as a way of transparency and zero tolerance approach to	
			corruption control system	
			Proposed changes:	
			Include in the text:	
			Solutions in the market, measure impacts and benefits with standardize tools as ex-ante	
			value for money solutions evaluation and prioritization (ex-ante evaluation), identification	
			of the future budgetary liabilities generated by solutions due to the allocation of risks or	
			rights and obligations of the Parties, and finally	
162	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 268]	
		Sanz	To enforce in stage 2 of PPP procurement the act of Review and confirm achievement of	
			declared direct benefits on citizens' lives and on sustainable development goals as a way of	
			transparency and zero tolerance approach to corruption control system	
			Proposed changes:	
			Include in the text:	
			Approach and cost after review and confirm	
			Achievement of declared benefits on citizens' lives and on sustainable development goals	
4.55	0= 10 0016	5 1100 1	updating standardize tools mentioned before and risk allocation among parties.	
163	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 272]	
		Sanz	To enforce in stage 3 of PPP procurement the act of Review and confirm achievement of	
			declared benefits and impacts after at the beginning of operation phase of PPP contract as	
			a way of transparency and zero tolerance approach to corruption control system	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			Proposed changes: Include in the text: Is in this Stage when many United Nations members review and identify variations on expected declared benefits on citizens' lives and on sustainable development goals through an ex-post evaluation updating standardize tools mentioned before and risk allocation among parties.	
164	27.12.2016	David Maté Sanz	[line 466] To propose a standard definition of conflict of interest. One example could be the one defined recently by the European Union regarding PPP contracts. This standard can be found in acquis communautaire of the European Union  Proposed changes: The concept of conflicts of interest shall at least cover any situation where staff members of the contracting authority or entity who are involved in the conduct of the concession award procedure or may influence the outcome of that procedure have, directly or indirectly, a financial, economic or other personal interest which might be perceived to compromise their impartiality and independence in the context of the PPP award procedure.	
165	27.12.2016	David Maté Sanz	[line 466] To include in "Disclosure of Information" section transparency rules regarding value for money analysis and the need to "share risks and reward fairly, include clear accountability mechanisms and meet social and environmental standards" as is commented in Public-Private Partnerships and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, mentioned before <b>Proposed changes:</b> Entirely of the project. It would necessary be further stress-es the need to disclosure of information which include risk sharing between parties, clear accountability mechanisms and how have been meet social and environmental standards". This might cover different PPP procurement information as regards planning, contract negotiation, management, exante and ex-post value for money evaluation, accounting and budgeting for contingent liabilities"	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
166	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 546]	
		Sanz	To highlight that AAAAA encourage knowledge sharing and the promotion of cooperation	
			and partnerships between stakeholders, including between Governments. In addition,	
			preset an efficient European Union Instrument or case to do so.	
			Proposed changes:	
			Include in the 25 bullet:	
			AAAAA encourage knowledge-sharing and the promotion of cooperation and partnerships	
			between stakeholders, including between Governments, firms, academia and civil society,	
			in sectors contributing to the achievement of the sustainable development goals. In this	
			point, UNECO like to highlight the case of Twinning projects. It is a European Union	
			instrument for institutional cooperation between Public Administrations of EU Member	
			States and of beneficiary or partner countries. Twinning projects bring together public	
			sector expertise from EU Member States and beneficiary countries with the aim of	
			achieving concrete mandatory operational results through peer to peer activities.	
167	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 663]	
		Sanz	To include the Addis Ababa Action paragraph 48 of the Agenda (AAAA) as a required	
			milestone to approve any unsolicited proposals with special stress on the need to include	
			clear accountability mechanisms and meet social and environmental standards".	
			Proposed changes:	
			allow selection and monitoring of projects ensuring value for money, minimizing	
			contingent fiscal risk and improving accountability objectives or any technical measure of	
160	27.42.2046	David Maté	direct benefits on citizens' lives and sustainable development goals	
168	27.12.2016	David Maté	[line 466]	
		Sanz	To suggest that contracting authorities and contracting entities shall offer by electronic	
			means unrestricted and full direct access free of charge to the PPP documents. This standard can be found in acquis communautaire of the European Union.	
			Proposed changes:	
			Always that it could be possible, contracting authorities and contracting entities shall offer	
			by electronic means unrestricted and full direct access free of charge to the PPP	
			documents from the date of publication of a concession tender notice. The text of the PPP	
			tender notice or of these invitations shall specify the internet address at which the PPP	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			documents are accessible.	
169	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	[line 263] Footnote 7 is confusing. It states that some people are "opposed to pre-qualification as it inherently limits competition and the belief is all qualified bidders should be permitted to participate" How is it then to be determined whether bidders are indeed qualified, if no pre-qualification is carried out?  Proposed changes:	
170	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	[line 401 et seq.] The utility/offtaker should be expressly prohibited from competing directly with a private sector project that is the subject of a competitive or unsolicited procurement process.  Proposed changes:	
171	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	[line 446 et seq.] Disclosure of Information: This section is too vague. Where disclosure is mandated (and one would generally argue that it should be), the Standard should be providing guidelines as to how to prevent leakage of proprietary and/or sensitive material, especially where bidders have proposed innovative solutions. Line 487 works, provided the term "public servant" applies to employees of a utility, which often they would argue it does not.  Proposed changes:	
172	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	[line 500] Care must be taken to ensure that appointees to committees or board have relevant experience.  Proposed changes:	
173	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	[line 679] Strongly oppose this recommendation.  Proposed changes:	
174	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	[line 692 et seq] This whole section implies that governments have the capacity to design and run effective procurement processes. Most in sub-Saharan Africa do not.  Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
175	21.12.2016	M O'Shea	In general, the approach to unsolicited bids should be to encourage openness and transparency, to verify the credentials of the bidder extremely carefully and to allow one or two projects to go ahead on that basis in order to kick-start the development of the IPP/PPP sector and form the basis for future competitive tendering.	
176	21.12.2016	Tarek Dandashli	[line 379] I suggest to add a text to the effect that if for any reason a code of ethics could not be established, the public sector participants should sign an undertaking that contain similar stipulations to the ones found in the code of ethics. As for private sector participants, the contents of the code of ethics can be part of the bidding documents or subject matter of a separate undertaking.  Proposed changes:  If for any reason a code of ethics could not be established, public and private partner participants should sign an undertaking to comply with all applicable laws and regulations related to anticorruption.	
177	21.12.2016	Tarek Dandashli	[line 598] Consultants who are already black listed shall be banned from participation.  Proposed changes: New bullet point: Review the black list of consultants issued and updated by the public sector.	
178	21.12.2016	Tarek Dandashli	[line 784] I think it is clearer to add whether the decisions of the evaluation committee should be taken by simple of absolute majority.  Proposed changes: New Bullet point: Decisions of the committee are taken by simple majority.	
179	21.12.2016	Tarek Dandashli	[line 844] I think we need to create a certainty in the bidding process, as such, and before announcing the winning bidder, any missing important documents, could disqualify the applicant. But after announcing the winning bidder, disqualification shall be made for more important issues such like not maintaining a qualified bidder his status of qualification, or discovery of a forged documents on the side of the applicant.  Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			New bullet point: In circumstances whereby it becomes evident to the contracting authority that the winning bidder failed to maintain his prequalification status, or applied forged documents, the contract awarded can be annulled.	
180	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 17] Is this really going to be a Standard?  Proposed changes: or PPP Guidelines for	
181	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 124] the investment may be mobilized but not with efficiency  Proposed changes: the investment required for meeting the UN SDGs will not be effectively mobilized	
182	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 195] not only policy makers  Proposed changes: Assist policy makers and government bodies to improve	
183	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 196-197] implementation needs to be done first  Proposed changes: Implement and integrate new technologies to increase transparency	
184	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 198-199] clarity the message Proposed changes: All stakeholders, including civil society, should be aware and educated about the negative impacts of corruption in PPPs, so that there is an increased need for ethical behavior in governmental practices and	
185	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 204-205] making suggestions may be more appropriate  Proposed changes: Identify the 'high risk' corruption areas among PPP procurement and suggest appropriate recommendations for governments to be considered within their riskresponse and action	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			plans.	
186	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 296] It may be important to highlight that PPP projects may be less prone to corruption than a standard Design-Bid-Built project  Proposed changes:  PPP projects have the potential to deliver higher quality outcomes in terms of cost, quality and innovation, provided suitable steps are taken to minimize corruption. This is because the private sector entity that is chosen to implement a PPP project that has a wellstructured agreement will have a clear incentive to ensure that the project is constructed to high standards of quality and durability. This should drastically reduce the potential for corruption by contractors and engineers, who can find many opportunities to cheat in a conventional Design-Bid-Build project. Some people refer to the results as "reduced corruption 'friction'". In the PPP model, the 'friction' mainly occurs at the bidding and concession negotiation phase, although it can occur later if concession terms are renegotiated. In a D-B-B scenario, 'friction' occurs at many points in the project's life, beginning with the engagement of the Engineer and the Contractor, and continuing into the construction stage with verification of quality and settlement of contractor claims. However, there are several corruption risks during the procurement phase of a PPP project	
187	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	such as:  [line 332] another example added  Proposed changes: A high corruption risk is that of collusion between bidders who may conspire to remove or influence competition during the PPP procurement process	
188	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 367-368] message needs to be more clear Proposed changes: The Tender documents should incorporate and refer to agreed international and national laws, regulations and codes relating to anti-corruption.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
189	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 369-371]	
		Padilla	It seems quite difficult that Governments will develop and enforce a Code of Ethics for all	
			stakeholders	
			Proposed changes:	
			Codes of Ethics should be enforced for public entities, employees, and public advisors,	
			consultants, contractors, and subcontractors that either incorporates, or are based upon,	
			international models and ethics instruments.	
190	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 379]	
		Padilla	the word "enforceable" needs to be clarified	
			Proposed changes:	
			Violations to the Code of Ethics should be punished	
191	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 382]	
		Padilla	If existing anti-corruption laws, regulations and codes exist?	
			Proposed changes:	
			or if it exists, review, or extend it to cover PPP projects.	
192	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 384]	
		Padilla	Establish a Code of Ethics?	
			Proposed changes:	
			If anti-corruption laws, regulations and codes exist,	
193	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 390]	
		Padilla	Туро	
			Proposed changes:	
			to endorse the PPP Code of Ethics (instead of to endorse the code of PPP ethics)	
194	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 408]	
		Padilla	concern: The concept of "conflicts of interest" is not used correctly since it addresses	
			corruption in general	
			Proposed changes:	
			Governments should define corruption broadly and,	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
195	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 431]	
		Padilla	(see above comment)	
			Proposed changes:	
			Establish and anti-corruption system that incorporates	
196	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 434]	
		Padilla	(see above comment)	
			Proposed changes:	
			Publish the anti-corruption system	
197	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 486]	
		Padilla	(word missing)	
			Proposed changes:	
			award and operations phases.	
198	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 502]	
		Padilla	add the word "transparent"	
			Proposed changes:	
			Clear and transparent lines of reporting	
199	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 522]	
		Padilla	needs clarity	
			Proposed changes:	
			along with the evaluation and awarding criteria	
200	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 525-528]	
		Padilla	concern: Some limits to transparency are needed, specially those related to members and	
			their responsibilities	
			Proposed changes:	
			(needs to be discussed by the PPP team of experts)	
201	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 561-563]	
		Padilla	selection of consultants should be based exclusively on a QBS approach instead of value	
			for money	
			Proposed changes:	
			Quality Based Selection (QBS) of consultants should be	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			the method used to select and evaluate experts and	
			consultants	
202	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 598]	
		Padilla	make an Integrity Management System a requirement	
			Proposed changes:	
			Consulting firms should have in place an Integrity Management System such as FIMS,	
			developed by the International Federation of Consulting Engineers (FIDIC), or the ISO	
			Standard 37001	
203	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 788-790]	
		Padilla	ethics training for Tender Evaluation Committee members is fundamental	
			Proposed changes:	
			Establish a Tender Evaluation Committee comprised of members who, in the aggregate,	
			possess the respective technical, organizational, operational and financial background	
			necessary to effectively review and evaluate bids. The Committee members should receive	
			training on ethical issues and explanations about the types of corruption that may be	
			encountered.	
204	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 796-797]	
		Padilla	(see previous comment about conflict of interest vs corruption)	
			Proposed changes:	
			Require each member to continually monitor the risk of conflict of interest and disclosures	
205	20.42.204.5	. 5/	for corrective actions.	
205	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 830]	
		Padilla	pre-qualification should be limited	
			Proposed changes:	
			Governments should allow pre-qualification to be open and limited according to the	
206	20 12 2016	Jorgo Díos	characteristics of each project	
206	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz Padilla	[line 848]	
		raullid	a prove of "clean" behavior in past tenders should be included in the bidders' packages	
			, ,	
			Proposed changes:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			A document that the bidder has not been involved in any corrupt issues on national or	
			international tenders for a 5 year period should be signed and presented as a	
			prequalification document.	
207	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 903]	
		Padilla	Probity Officer?	
			Proposed changes:	
			Integrity Officer	
208	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 924-941]	
		Padilla	concern: Fairness Auditor. This seems as an additional layer of bureaucracy and bypasses	
			the countries' institutions designed to audit claims of misprocurement	
			Proposed changes:	
			eliminate 924-941 from the draft	
209	29.12.2016	Jorge Díaz	[line 977]	
		Padilla	a policy to protect whistle-blowers is needed	
			Proposed changes:	
			Governments should incorporate whistle-blower rules, framework and policies, and ensure	
210	24.01.2017	Yury	[line 302 - 306]	
		Tuktarov,	Interactions between the public partner and the private partner is a very important	
			mechanism. It is generally accepted that communication with lenders on early stages of	
			the project may help to reduce cost of financing for the project and interact lenders to PPP	
			market because of it predictability and transparency. In this respect emphasis should be	
			laid on unfair interactions between the public partner and the certain private partner but	
			not on the fact that any interactions potentially threat transparency.	
			Proposed changes:	
			When a PPP is market tested - where the public body goes out and interacts with the	
			market and potential bidders to see what solutions are available. This interaction is often a	
			necessary and important step in a PPP project set-up and a PPP procurement., Yet poor	
			legislation on PPP procurement which does not provide for the mechanism of fair and	
			transparent interactions between the public partner and potential bidders during setting of	
			the PPP project and the PPP procurement yet it also opens opportunities for improper	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
			conversations or influence between the bidder and public officials, or simply for the private sector to steer the public party's 'needs'.	
211	24.01.2017	Yury Tuktarov,	[line 481] Disclosure rules are critical to promoting transparency and integrity in the PPP process. Integrated web portal available online which contains all of the information in respect of recent and ongoing PPP procurements (tender documentation, time schedule of each procurement, technical specifications of infrastructure, protocols and other information which shall be published by the public partner) will contribute a lot to the transparency and competition during the PPP procurement. It should be easy for potential private partners and lenders to obtain information on ongoing procurements in order to plan their investments. We believe that it is important to emphasize that government should establish and maintain such web portal.  Proposed changes: Establish and publish public disclosure rules. Establish and maintain an integrated searchable web portal (available online) which contains all of the information in respect of recent and ongoing PPP procurements and is mandatory to use.	
212	24.01.2017	Yury Tuktarov,	[line 560 - 570] It is also important for governments to invest in education of public officials in the sphere of PPP, economics, finance, best international practices of PPP projects implementation. It will help to increase level of communications between public and private sector, to increase efficiency of consultants' engagement and to reduce costs of PPP setting for the public partner.  Proposed changes:  Governments should actively monitor consultant and expert performance and their maintenance of the conflict free advisory role. Governments should invest in education of public officials in the sphere of PPP, economics, finance, best international practices of PPP projects implementation.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

Nr	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Originator	Comment	Response
213	24.01.2017	Yury Tuktarov,	[line 650 - 652] Unsolicited PPP proposals is useful mechanism both for private and public sector. Use of this mechanism should not be limited to the projects there the private party may offer innovative solutions. Each PPP project may have opportunity to be set through unsolicited PPP proposals mechanism providing that this mechanism provides the other interested potential private partners to step in the procurement, if they are willing to implement the project on the offered or better terms.  Proposed changes:  Unsolicited PPP proposals should demonstrate uniquely innovative solutions that are fit for purpose to the government's needs and are solutions that could not be otherwise acquired by normal competitive means be implemented according to the legally set procedure which shall provide other interested potential private partners with the opportunity to step in the procurement if they are willing to implement the project on the offered or better terms. Standard PPP procurement should be implemented in case of expressed interest from other potential private partners.	
214	24.01.2017	Yury Tuktarov,	[line 679 - 681] Any possible termination of the awarded contract increases risks for the lenders and cost of financing. In this respect potentially responsive bidders and other interested stakeholders should have the opportunity to express their interest to step in the project before the contract is awarded. Procedure of unsolicited PPP proposals shall provide the other interested potential private partners to step in the procurement, if they are willing to implement the project on the offered or better terms.  Proposed changes: Governments should allow potentially responsive bidders and other interested stakeholders the right to challenge and/or seek termination of unsolicited proposal contracts that have been awarded step in the procurement, if they are willing to implement the project on the offered or better terms.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Types of comments: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial