New Section	Requirement origin	Original Text (copied to each relevant section & relevant text retained)	Proposed Text	Discussion
5.4 Safety Case				
5.4.1 General Description	5.4.4.1	The manufacturer shall provide a safety case that affirms and provides evidence to demonstrate that the ADS meets the requirements in [Requirements section] and is free from unreasonable risks for the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users. This shall include the safety concept, which describes the intended use, the operating environment, the interactions with humans, sub-systems and components, control strategies, hazard identification and mitigation measures designed into the ADS to meet the requirements of this regulation and achieve the goal of avoidance of unreasonable risk with regard to functional and operational safety. The safety case shall be in the form of also includes a structured argumentation demonstration supported by evidence, including validation tests. The safety case shall also include the demonstration of credibility and suitability of test tools used in generating evidence and the	The manufacturer shall provide a safety case that affirms and provides evidence to demonstrate that the ADS meets the requirements in [Requirements section] and is free from unreasonable risks for the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users. This shall include the safety concept, which describes the intended use, the operating environment, the interactions with humans, sub-systems and components, control strategies, hazard identification and mitigation measures designed into the ADS to meet the requirements of this regulation and achieve the goal of avoidance of unreasonable risk with regard to functional and operational safety. The safety case shall be in the form of structured argumentation supported by evidence, including validation tests. The safety case shall also include the demonstration of credibility and suitability of test tools used in generating evidence and the processes for reinforcing ADS	

		processes for reinforcing ADS safety throughout the life of the system., that the ADS will be free from unreasonable risk.	safety throughout the life of the system
5.4.2 Safety Concept			
5.4.2.1 System-Level			The requirements in this section shall apply to the ADS system as a whole
5.4.2.1.1 Systems, sub-systems & components			
- Listing of components & interactions	5.4.3 a), b), c)	(a) Inventory of components A list shall be provided, including all the units of the ADS and mentioning the other vehicle systems which are needed to achieve the control function in question. An outline schematic showing these units and their relationships shall be provided, with both the equipment distribution and the interconnections made clear. The outline shall include: (i) Perception and objects detection including mapping and positioning (ii) Characterization of decision making (iii) Remote supervision and remote monitoring by a remote supervision centre (if applicable). (iv) Information display/user interface (v) The data storage system (e.g., DSSAD).	The manufacturer shall provide documentation listing the components in the ADS systems and their link to the function of each ADS featurefunction which shall include: [a, b, c]

(1	b) Functions of the units The	
fı	unction of each unit of the ADS	
sl	hall be outlined and the signals	
li	nking it with other units or with	
0	ther vehicle systems shall be	
sl	hown. This may be provided by a	
la	abelled block diagram or other	
Se	chematic, or by a description	
	ided by such a diagram.	
	nterconnections within the ADS	
sl	hall be shown by a circuit	
	iagram for the electric	
tr	ransmission links, by a piping	
	iagram for pneumatic or	
h	ydraulic transmission equipment	
a	nd by a simplified diagrammatic	
la	ayout for mechanical linkages.	
T	he transmission links both to and	
fı	rom other systems shall also be	
sl	hown. There shall be a clear	
C	orrespondence between	
tr	ansmission links and the signals	
C	arried between units. Priorities of	
si	ignals on multiplexed data paths	
	hall be stated wherever priority	
	nay be an issue affecting	
р	erformance or safety.	
_	·	
(0	c) Identification of units Each	
u	nit shall be clearly and	
u	nambiguously identifiable (e.g.	
b	y marking for hardware, and by	
m	narking or software identification	
fo	or software content). This will	
	*	

		provide a clear method for identifying the hardware and software in the associated documentation. Where the software version can be changed without requiring replacement of the marking or component, the software identification must be updated by means of the newly released software. Where functions are combined within a single control unit or indeed within a single computer, but shown in multiple blocks in the diagram, then for clarity and ease of explanation, only a single hardware identification marking shall be used. The identification defines the hardware and software version and, where the software changes and alters the function of the unit, the identifier associated with that software shall also be changed.		
Physical Capabilities (sensor range, placement, actuator performance)	5.4.2.1	A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of all the functions including control strategies of the ADS and the methods employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to	A description of the physical capabilities of the system shall be provided. This shall include: a) [d] b) The nominal range, placement and coverage area of each sensor	

5.4.3 d)	operate, including a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. aA list of all input and sensed variables shall beis provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined. (d) Installation of sensing system components The manufacturer shall provide information regarding the installation options that will be employed for the individual components that comprise the sensing system. These options shall include, but are not limited to, the location of the component in/on the vehicle, the material(s) surrounding the component, and the surface finish of the materials surrounding the component, once	c) The nominal capabilities of control actuators
5.4.3 e)	surrounding the component, once installed in the vehicle. The	

		information shall also include installation specifications that are critical to the ADS's performance, e.g., tolerances on installation angle. Any changes to the individual components of the sensing system, or the installation options, shall be updated in the documentation (e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria; (ii) List of applied regulations, codes, and standards [specifications related to performance of sensors, processors, actuators etc.)		
- Redundancies	5.4.3 e) 5.4.2.2	(e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria; (ii) List of applied regulations, codes, and standards The safety concept element of the safety case shall provide an	Identification of redundant components, relationships and interconnections.	

Commented [RJM(1]: There is another section on failures
- this one is focused on identifying which systems are
redundant in the diagrams - perhaps better integrated in
Listing of components & interactions

explanation of the design provisions built into the ADS to ensure functional and operational safety. Possible design provisions in the ADS include: (a) Fallback (or fail safe) operation using a partial system; (b) Redundancy using separate systems; (e) A list of the potential faults identifiable by the diagnostic system(s) of the ADS; (d) Removal of some or all automated driving function(s). If a chosen provision utilizes a partial performance mode of operation under certain fault conditions (e.g. in case of severe failures), then these conditions shall be stated (e.g. type of failure). The resulting ADS behaviour and capabilities shall be defined (e.g. achievement of a minimal risk condition immediately) as well as the warning strategy to the driver/remote supervision centre (if applicable). If the chosen provision selects a second (back up) means to realize the performance of the dynamic driving task, the principles of the change -over mechanism, the logic and level of redundancy and any built -in back -up checking features shall be explained and the

		resulting limits of back -up effectiveness defined. If the ehosen provision selects the removal of an automated driving function, it shall be done in compliance with the relevant provisions of this regulation. In this case, all the corresponding output control signals associated with this function shall also be inhibited		
- Inputs & outputs, ranges & limits	5.4.2.1 5.4.3 e)	A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of all the functions including control strategies of the ADS and the methods employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate, including a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. aA list of all input and sensed variables shall beis provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of	A list of all input and sensed variables shall be provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined.	

		control exercised on each variable shall be defined. (e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria; (ii) List of applied regulations, codes, and standards	
5.4.2.1.2 Identification of hazards - risk & severity			
- Using process in SMS	5.4.4.4 c)	The safety assessment approach shall include a top- down (from possible hazard to design) and bottom-up approach (from design to possible hazards). The safety assessment may be based on a Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), a Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), a System-Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA) or any similar process appropriate to system functional and operational safety provided the appropriateness of this process is demonstrated.	The manufacturer shall demonstrate how the hazard identification processes in their SMS have been applied to the ADS System. [Check what is in SMS – do we need to list the possible types & ask for justification of appropriateness?]
- Known & Unknown combinations	5.4.4.4 c),	The safety assessment approach shall include a top- down (from	[Check SMS]
		possible hazard to design) and bottom-up approach (from design to possible hazards). The safety	

Commented [RJM(2]: The intent here is to understand what is known/unknown about hazards, risks and severity

	[5.4.4.5]	assessment may be based on a Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), a Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), a System-Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA) or any similar process appropriate to system functional and operational safety provided the appropriateness of this process is demonstrated.		
- Assessment of risks	5.4.4.5	[Text may be needed – check SMS]		
- Cybersecurity	5.4.3 f) 5.4.4.5	(f) Maintenance and repair interface; protection against unauthorized access: (i) The ADS shall provide an interface for the purposes of maintenance and repair by authorized persons; (ii) The ADS shall be designed to protect against unauthorized access to and modification of the ADS functions; (iii) The measures ensuring protection from unauthorized access shall be provided in alignment with engineering best practices.	[May need to point to UN R155 & augment with f]	
5.4.2.1.3 System update management				
- Process for monitoring performance, updating claims, arguments and evidence (as per sms)		[Discussion on how to tackle software updates required]	[open item - some reference in SMS to check and include]	

Commented [JMR3]: Appears to be a list of hazards

Commented [JMR4R3]: The documentation shall demonstrate that at least the following items have been covered where applicable: (a) Issues linked to interactions with other vehicle systems (e.g., braking, steering) (b) Failures of the automated driving system and the resulting risk mitigation strategy; (c) Situations within the ODD when a system may create unreasonable safety risks to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users due to operational disturbances, for instance: (i) Lack of or wrong comprehension of the vehicle environment; (ii) Lack of understanding of the reaction from the driver the ADS vehicle user(s) or other road users; (iii) Inadequate control; (iv) Challenging scenarios; (d) Identification of the relevant scenarios within the ODD boundaries and the methodology used to select scenarios and choose the validation methodology and approach; (e) Decision -making process for the performance of the dynamic driving tasks (e.g. emergency manoeuvres), the interaction with other road users and the compliance with traffic rules; (f) Cyber -attacks that may have an impact on the safety of the vehicle; (g) Reasonably foreseeable misuse by the driver (if applicable) (e.g., the use of a driver availability recognition system and an explanation on how the availability criteria were established), mistakes or misunderstanding by the driver if applicable (e.g., unintentional override) and intentional tampering of the ADS.

- Process for validating and distributing new software releases	5.4.3 f)	(f) Maintenance and repair interface; protection against unauthorized access: (i) The ADS shall provide an interface for the purposes of maintenance and repair by authorized persons; (ii) The ADS shall be designed to protect against unauthorized access to and modification of the ADS functions; (iii) The measures ensuring protection from unauthorized access shall be provided in alignment with engineering best practices.	[may need to reference UN R1565 & augment with f — check SMS section]
5.4.2.2 Feature-Level			The requirements in this section shall apply to each feature or the ADS system
5.4.2.2.1 Summary of intended use	5.4.1.1	The safety case provided by the ADS manufacturer shall include a description of the ADS configuration and the intended uses and limitations on the use of its features which gives a simple explanation of the operational characteristics of the ADS and ADS features	The safety case provided by the ADS manufacturer shall include a description of the ADS configuration and the intended uses and limitations on the use of its features which gives a simple explanation of the operational characteristics of the ADS feature.
5.4.2.2.2 Operational Design Domain (ODD)			
- Operating Environment	5.4.1.1 a)	Operational Design Domain (e.g., road speed limits, road type and roadway characteristics, country, environment, road conditions, etc.)	The manufacturer shall document how it has defined the Operational Design Domain for- the ADS feature and the boundaries within

	5.4.2.1	and including the ODD conditions and boundaries of each ADS feature in measurable and/or verifiable terms; A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of all the functions including control strategies of the ADS and the methods employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate, including a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. aA list of all input and sensed variables shall beis provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined.	which it is designed to operate. The manufacturer shall document how each element is measured, verified and any linked/dependent variables. This shall include at least the following characteristics: - Road speed limits - Road type and roadway characteristics - Jurisdictions of operation - Any geographic limitations - Environment - Road conditions
- Intended area of operation	5.4.2.1	A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of all the functions including control strategies of the ADS and the	The manufacturer shall specify the intended area of operation of the ADS feature.

	I			
		methods employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate, including a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. aA list of all input and sensed variables shall beis provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined.		
- Conditions for activating & deactivating (incl fallback)	5.4.2.1	A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of all the functions including control strategies of the ADS and the methods employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate, including a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. aA list of all input and sensed variables shall beis provided and the working	The manufacturer shall documentstate: - the conditions that must be present to permit activation required to activate of the feature - the conditions thate trigger a fallback response - the conditions required that must be present to permit deactivatatione of the feature - the conditions which may prompt the user to voluntarily take back control, if applicable	

5.4.2.2.3 Human Interactions		range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined.		
Identification of users and possible interactions	5.4.1.1 c)	Interactions with other road users; Interaction with the driver (if	The manufacturer shall identify possible interactions with users including:	
	5.4.1.1 e)	relevant) including the transition of control procedures, ADS notifications and fallback user responses;	- Other road users - Animals - The driver(if relevant) including the transition of control procedures, ADS	
	5.4.1.1 f)	Supervision centre (if relevant);	notifications and fallback user responses - Remote assistant or operator (if relevant)	
- Methods of activating and deactivating	5.4.1.1 g)	The method of activating, overriding, or deactivating the ADS by any or all of: the ADS user (where relevant), the human supervision centre (where relevant), passengers (where relevant) or other road users (where relevant).	The manufacturer shall describe the methods of activating, overriding, or deactivating the ADS by any or all of: the ADS user (where relevant), the human supervision centre (where relevant), passengers (where relevant) or other road users (where relevant).	

Commented [RJM(5]: [End of 2024-09-24 meeting here]

Commented [RJM(6]: There may be some overlap here with DDT or user Section

5.4.2.2.4 ADS Functions & Control Strategies			
- In-operation hazard identification & avoidance	5.4.1.1 b) 5.4.1.1 c) 5.4.2.1	Basic performance (e.g. Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR), etc.); Interactions with other road users; A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of all the functions including control strategies of the ADS and the methods employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate, including a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. aA list of all input and sensed variables shall beis provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined.	The manufacturer shall describe how the ADS features detect, identify, and respond to hazards including: - control strategies and methods employed while performing the dynamic driving task - design provisions for functional and operational safety - hazard avoidance and mitigation - management of unknown hazardous scenarios

The safety concept element of the safety case shall provide an explanation of the design provisions built into the ADS to ensure functional and operational safety. Possible design provisions in the ADS include: (a) Fallback (or fail safe) operation using a partial system; (b) Redundancy using separate systems; (c) A list of the potential faults identifiable by the diagnostic system(s) of the ADS; (d) Removal of some or all automated driving function(s). If a chosen provision utilizes a partial performance mode of operation under certain fault conditions (e.g. in case of severe failures), then these conditions shall be stated (e.g. type of failure). The resulting ADS behaviour and capabilities shall be defined (e.g. achievement of a minimal risk condition immediately) as well as the warning strategy to the driver/remote supervision centre (if applicable). If the chosen provision selects a second (back up) means to realize the performance of the dynamic driving task, the principles of the change -over mechanism, the logic and level of redundancy and any

	built in back up checking features shall be explained and the resulting limits of back up effectiveness defined. If the chosen provision selects the removal of an automated driving function, it shall be done in compliance with the relevant provisions of this regulation. In this case, all the corresponding output control signals associated	
5.4.4.3	with this function shall also be inhibited	
	The documentation shall be supported by an analysis which shows how the ADS will behave to mitigate or avoid hazards which can have a bearing on the safety of the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users. It shall show how unknown hazardous scenarios will be managed by the manufacturer to keep the residual risk level under control. The chosen analytical approach(es) shall be established by the manufacturer	
	and made available for assessment to the relevant authority before market introduction.	

		achieved by the ADS feature. This shall include: - the conditions which may trigger an attempt to reach a minimal risk condition - The processes by which the ADS feature attempts to reach a minimal risk condition - The evaluation of risk related to minimal risk condition end states
	[New text required – requirements may exist in DDT section but may not capture all elements]	
5.4.3 e) 5.4.4.2	(e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria; (ii) List of applied regulations, codes, and standards The safety concept element of the safety case shall provide an explanation of the design provisions built into the ADS to ensure functional and operational softety. Possible design provisions	The manufacturer shall describe how the ADS features respond to failure situations including: [5.4.4.2]
	,	5.4.3 e) (e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria; (ii) List of applied regulations, codes, and standards The safety concept element of the safety case shall provide an explanation of the design provisions built into the ADS to

(or fail safe) operation using a partial system; (b) Redundancy using separate systems; (c) A list of the potential faults identifiable by the diagnostic system(s) of the ADS; (d) Removal of some or all automated driving function(s). If a chosen provision utilizes a partial performance mode of operation under certain fault conditions (e.g. in case of severe failures), then these conditions shall be stated (e.g. type of failure). The resulting ADS behaviour and capabilities shall be defined (e.g. achievement of a minimal risk condition immediately) as well as the warning strategy to the driver/remote supervision centre (if applicable). If the chosen provision selects a second (back up) means to realize the performance of the dynamic driving task, the principles of the change -over mechanism, the logic and level of redundancy and any built -in back -up checking features shall be explained and the resulting limits of back -up effectiveness defined. If the chosen provision selects the removal of an automated driving function, it shall be done in

5.4.3 Presentation of Safety Case		compliance with the relevant provisions of this regulation. In this case, all the corresponding output control signals associated with this function shall also be inhibited		
5.4.3.1 General requirements				
- Each of the requirements in (DDT, User, ISMR, Absence of unreasonable risk) must have at least 1 Claim, each Claim must have at least 1 argument and each argument at least 1 piece of evidence - Claims, arguments and evidence are uniquely labelled, can be re-used - Hierarchy/links identified. - Summary document identifying claims support which requirements	5.4.4.6,	The safety case shall include arguments and evidence supporting the implementation of the safety concept that is understandable and logical and cover all the different functions of the ADS. The documentation shall also demonstrate that validation measures are robust enough to demonstrate safety (e.g., reasonable coverage of chosen scenarios as part of the validation methodology chosen) and have been completed. The documentation shall provide evidence that the vehicle is free from unreasonable risks to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users in the operational design domain. This [may/shall] be achieved through: (a) Overall validation targets (i.e., validation acceptance criteria) supported by	The safety case shall include arguments and evidence supporting the implementation of the safety concept that is understandable, and logical and include detailed claims, arguments and evidence that the requirements in each of following items are met:cover: all the different functions of the ADS. - Absence of unreasonable risk to ADS user(s) and other road users - DDT requirements - User requirements - Monitoring requirements - Safety Concept - [Cybersecurity] - [DSSAD] The safety case shall be composed of a series of claims for which there must be at least one supporting argument. Each argument shall be	

Formatted: List Paragraph

validation results demonstrating supported by at least one piece of that entry into service of the ADS evidence. will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) Each claim, argument and evidence and other road users compared to shall be uniquely labelled but may manually driven vehicles within be used more than once (i.e. a piece the ODD; and [or] (b) A scenarioof evidence may support more than specific approach showing that the one argument). ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle The following summary documents user(s) and other road users shall be provided by the compared to a manually driven manufacturer: vehicles within the ODD for each - A document identifying the of the safety relevant scenarios. relationships between claims and their supporting 5.4.4.8 The safety case shall provide argument and evidence documentation sufficient to allow - A document identifying the relevant authority to verify each requirement required through assessment of the case and above and the claims that possible testing by the authority demonstrate the that the manufacturer has requirement is met successfully implemented the safety concept applicable to the ADS. The documentation shall itemizes the parameters being monitored on the vehicle and shall set out evidence supporting the argument that applicable safety requirements have been met. This documentation shall also describe the measures in place to ensure the ADS is free from unreasonable risks to the ADS user(s) and other road users when the performance

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

	T		T	1
		of the ADS is affected by environmental conditions (e.g., climatic, temperature, dust ingress, water ingress, ice packing)		
- Statement of Assumptions		[New text required]	The manufacturer shall state relevant assumptions it has made in relation to claims, arguments and evidence.	
- Lifecycle validity		[This is alluded to in SMS and in monitoring may be some existing text to reference]		
- Test tools used for producing evidence have been validated - Claims for validity with supporting arguments and evidence - Credibility	5.4.4.4 d)	(d) Inspection of the documentation that demonstrates the validation/verification plans and results including appropriate acceptance criteria. It shall include testing appropriate for validation, for example, Hardware in the	The safety case shall include claims, supported by argumentation and evidence that any simulation tools used for the generation of evidence have been assessed as per the credibility requirements in [section].	
assessment		Loop (HIL) testing, vehicle on- road operational testing, testing with real end users, or any other testing appropriate for validation/verification_—The auditor/assessor shall perform an assessment of the physical testing (proving ground and/or public		
		road) environment and shall assess the documentation of the virtual tool chain provided by the manufacturer. The auditor/assessor [may decide to carry out/shall oversee] tests of the complete integrated tool to assess the		

Formatted: Highlight

		credibility of the virtual tool chain. The documentation provided shall demonstrate adherence to and be in the form prescribed in [Annex 5] or explain the basis for any deviation from the principles set out in [Annex 5]. Results of validation and verification [may/shall] be assessed by analysing coverage of the different		
5.422 CI.;		tests and setting minimal coverage thresholds for various metrics.		
Provide claim that a specific goal/requirement is met Provide a summary table identifying which claims support which requirements - Provide a representation of the summary table identifying which requirements	5.4.4.8	The safety case shall provide documentation sufficient to allow the relevant authority to verify through assessment of the case and possible testing by the authority that the manufacturer has successfully implemented the safety concept applicable to the ADS. The documentation shall itemizes the parameters being monitored on the vehicle and shall set out evidence supporting the argument that applicable safety requirements have been met. This documentation shall also describe the measures in place to ensure the ADS is free from unreasonable risks to the ADS user(s) and other road users when the performance of the ADS is affected by	The claims shall be detailed enough to allow an authority to verify that the target requirement has been met. The manufacturer may create multiple sub-claims related to claims, arguments, or evidence where a broader claim may not be sufficient or where additional justification is warranted as long as said sub-claims and their relationships are included in the summary documents.	

- Use of sub-claims permitted 5.4.3.3 Argumentation		environmental conditions (e.g., elimatic, temperature, dust ingress, water ingress, ice packing) [new text required]	
- Analysis supporting claim, providing contextual information how/why claim met	5.4.4.8	The safety case shall provide documentation sufficient to allow the relevant authority to verify through assessment of the case and possible testing by the authority that the manufacturer has successfully implemented the safety concept applicable to the ADS. The documentation shall itemizes the parameters being monitored on the vehicle and shall set out evidence supporting the argument that applicable safety requirements have been met. This documentation shall also describe the measures in place to ensure the ADS is free from unreasonable risks to the ADS user(s) and other road users when the performance of the ADS is affected by environmental conditions (e.g., climatic, temperature, dust ingress, water ingress, ice packing)	Each argument supporting a claim shall provide contextual information and supporting information why a claim is met.
- Scenario Approach	5.4.4.7	The documentation shall provide evidence that the vehicle is free from unreasonable risks to the	The argumentation shall include analysis of evidence demonstrating that the claim is met including:

Commented [RJM(7]: This may not be applicable to every requirement

ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users in the operational design domain. This [may/shall] be achieved through: (a) Overall validation targets (i.e., validation acceptance criteria) supported by validation results demonstrating that entry into service of the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to manually driven vehicles within the ODD; and [or] (b) A scenariospecific approach showing that the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to a manually driven vehicles within the ODD for each of the safety relevant scenarios.

- a) Overall vValidation targets (i.e., validation acceptance criteria) supported by validation results provided it is demonstrated that those validation methods are robust enough and/or demonstrating that entry into service of the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to manually driven vehicles within the ODD
- b) A scenario-specific approach provided it is demonstrated that the scenarios provide reasonable coverage of the ODD showing that the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to a manually driven vehicles within the ODD for each of the safety relevant scenarios.

Any comparisons drawn between the performance of an ADS feature and that of a manually driven vehicle shall be restricted to data

			gathered in the same ODD and operating conditions.	
- ODD Coverage	5.4.4.6,	The safety case shall include arguments and evidence supporting the implementation of the safety concept that is understandable and logical and cover all the different functions of the ADS. The documentation shall also demonstrate that validation measures are robust enough to demonstrate safety (e.g., reasonable coverage of chosen scenarios as part of the validation methodology chosen) and have been completed. The documentation shall provide evidence that the vehicle is free from unreasonable risks to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users in the operational design domain. This [may/shall] be achieved through: (a) Overall validation targets (i.e., validation acceptance criteria) supported by validation results demonstrating that entry into service of the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to manually driven vehicles within	[combined above]	

Commented [RJM(8]: This may not be applicable to every requirement

5.4.3.4 Evidence		the ODD; and [or] (b) A scenario- specific approach showing that the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to a manually driven vehicles within the ODD for each of the safety relevant scenarios.		
- Testing results, individual or in aggregate -(including ability to produce specific test cases, parameters, tool versions and can be reproduced upon request)	5.4.4.4 d)	(d) Inspection of the documentation that demonstrates the validation/verification plans and results including appropriate acceptance criteria. It shall include testing appropriate for validation, for example, Hardware in the Loop (HIL) testing, vehicle onroad operational testing, testing with real end users, or any other testing appropriate for validation/verification. The auditor/assessor shall perform an assessment of the physical testing (proving ground and/or public road) environment and shall assess the documentation of the virtual tool chain provided by the manufacturer. The auditor/assessor [may decide to carry out/shall oversee] tests of the complete integrated tool to assess the credibility of the virtual tool chain.	Testing results may be presented individually or on aggregate and shall include appropriate acceptance criteria. Each piece of testing evidence shall include enough information or be recorded in such a way that it may be reproduced upon request (e.g. same software/hardware versions, same tool versions, same scenario, same parameters etc.). The manufacturer is required to facilitate access and execution of the necessary tools and analysis software upon request by the authority for the purpose of reproducing this evidence.	

	The documentation provided shall demonstrate adherence to and be in the form prescribed in [Annex 5] or explain the basis for any deviation from the principles set out in [Annex 5]. Results of validation and verification [may/shall] be assessed by analysing coverage of the different tests and setting minimal coverage thresholds for various metrics.		
- Supporting materials	[new text required]	Evidence other than testing (e.g. source code, engineering drawings, photographs, required documentation, etc.) may be used where appropriate.	

Cross-reference table

Original Paragraph	Inserted into
5.4.1.1 intended use	5.4.2.2.1 Summary of intended use
5.4.1.1 a) ODD	5.4.2.2.2 Operating Environment
5.4.1.1 b) Basic performance	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance
5.4.1.1 c) Interaction with other road users	5.4.2.2.3 Identification of users and interactions
	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance
5.4.1.1 d) Minimal Risk Condition	5.4.2.2.4 Minimal risk condition
5.4.1.1 e) Interaction with driver	5.4.2.2.3 Identification of users and interactions
5.4.1.1 f) Supervision centre	5.4.2.2.3 Identification of users and interactions
5.4.1.1 g) Activating/deactivating	5.4.2.2.3 Methods of activating and deactivating
5.4.2.1 functions/control strategies	5.4.2.1.1 Physical Capabilities
_	5.4.2.1.1 Inputs & outputs, ranges & limits
	5.4.2.2.2 Operating Environment
	5.4.2.2.2 Intended area of operation
	5.4.2.2.2 Conditions for activating & deactivating
	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance
5.4.3 a) Inventory of components	5.4.2.1.1 Listing of components & interactions
5.4.3 b) Functions of the units	5.4.2.1.1 Listing of components & interactions
5.4.3 c) Identification of units	5.4.2.1.1 Listing of components & interactions
5.4.3 d) Installation of sensing system components	5.4.2.1.1 Physical Capabilities
5.4.3 e) ADS Specifications	5.4.2.1.1 Physical Capabilities
	5.4.2.1.1 Redundancies
	5.4.2.1.1 Inputs & outputs, ranges & limits
	5.4.2.2.4 Fault Identification, diagnostic
5.4.3 f) Maintenance & Repair	5.4.2.1.2 Cybersecurity
	5.4.2.1.3 Process for validating and distributing new software releases
5.4.4.1 Safety Case	5.4.1
5.4.4.2 Design provisions for funct op safety	5.4.2.1.1 Redundancies
	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance
	5.4.2.2.4 Fault Identification, diagnostic
5.4.4.3 Mitigation/avoidance of hazards	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance
5.4.4.4 a) – Moved to Assessment	

5.4.4.4 b) – Moved to Assessment	
5.4.4.4 c) Hazard analysis	5.4.2.1.2 – Using processes in SMS
·	5.4.2.1.2 – Known & Unknown (Risk & severity) combinations
(542174114 6444 1
5.4.4.4 d) Verification/validation plan	5.4.3.1 Validation of test tools
	5.4.3.4 Test results
5.4.4.5 List of hazards	5.4.2.1.2 Known & Unknown (Risk & severity) combinations
	5.4.2.1.2 Assessment of risks
	5.4.2.1.2 Cybersecurity
5.4.4.6 Implementation of safety concept and validation methods	5.4.3.1 Safety Case/argument/evidence
	5.4.3.3 ODD coverage
5.4.4.7 Free from unreasonable risk	5.4.3.1 Safety Case/argument/evidence
	5.4.3.3 Scenario approach
	5.4.4.7 ODD coverage
5.4.4.8	5.4.3.1 Safety Case/argument/evidence
	5.4.3.2 generation of claims
	5.4.3.3 Analysis of claims
5.4.4.9 – moved information to users	