New Section	Requirement origin	Original Text (copied to each relevant section & relevant text retained)	Proposed Text	Discussion
5.4 Safety Case				
5.4.1 General Description	5.4.4.1	The manufacturer shall provide a safety case that affirms and provides evidence to demonstrate that the ADS meets the requirements in [Requirements section] and is free from unreasonable risks for the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users. This shall include the safety concept, which describes the intended use, the operating environment, the interactions with humans, sub-systems and components, control strategies, hazard identification and mitigation measures designed into the ADS to meet the requirements of this regulation and achieve the goal of avoidance of unreasonable risk with regard to functional and operational safety. The safety case shall be in the form of also includes a structured argumentation demonstration supported by evidence, including validation tests. The safety case	The manufacturer shall provide a safety case that affirms and provides evidence to demonstrate that the ADS meets the requirements in [Requirements section] and is free from unreasonable risks for the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users. This shall include the safety concept, which describes the intended use, the operating environment, the interactions with humans, sub-systems and components, control strategies, hazard identification and mitigation measures designed into the ADS to meet the requirements of this regulation and achieve the goal of avoidance of unreasonable risk with regard to functional and operational safety. The safety case shall be in the form of structured argumentation supported by evidence, including validation tests. The safety case shall also include the demonstration of credibility and suitability of test tools used in	

		shall also include the demonstration of credibility and suitability of test tools used in generating evidence and the processes for reinforcing ADS safety throughout the life of the system., that the ADS will be free from unreasonable risk.	generating evidence and the processes for reinforcing ADS safety throughout the life of the system
5.4.2 Safety Concept			
5.4.2.1 System-Level			The requirements in this section shall apply to the ADS system as a whole
5.4.2.1.1 Systems, sub-systems & components			
- Listing of components & interactions	5.4.3 a), b), c)	(a) Inventory of components A list shall be provided, including all the units of the ADS and mentioning the other vehicle systems which are needed to achieve the control function in question. An outline schematic showing these units and their relationships shall be provided, with both the equipment distribution and the interconnections made clear. The outline shall include: (i) Perception and objects detection including mapping and	The manufacturer shall provide documentation listing the components in the ADS and their link to the function of each ADS feature which shall include: [a, b, c]

positioning (ii) Characterization
of decision - making (iii) Remote
supervision and remote
monitoring by a remote
supervision centre (if applicable).
(iv) Information display/user
interface (v) The data storage
system (e.g., DSSAD).
(b) Functions of the units The
function of each unit of the ADS
shall be outlined and the signals
linking it with other units or with
other vehicle systems shall be
shown. This may be provided by a
labelled block diagram or other
schematic, or by a description
aided by such a diagram.
Interconnections within the ADS
shall be shown by a circuit
diagram for the electric
transmission links, by a piping
diagram for pneumatic or
hydraulic transmission equipment
and by a simplified diagrammatic
layout for mechanical linkages.
The transmission links both to and
from other systems shall also be
shown. There shall be a clear
correspondence between

 <u>, </u>
transmission links and the signals
carried between units. Priorities of
signals on multiplexed data paths
shall be stated wherever priority
may be an issue affecting
performance or safety.
(c) Identification of units Each
unit shall be clearly and
unambiguously identifiable (e.g.
by marking for hardware, and by
marking or software identification
for software content). This will
provide a clear method for
identifying the hardware and
software in the associated
documentation. Where the
software version can be changed
without requiring replacement of
the marking or component, the
software identification must be
updated by means of the newly
released software. Where
functions are combined within a
single control unit or indeed
within a single computer, but
shown in multiple blocks in the
diagram, then for clarity and ease
of explanation, only a single
hardware identification marking

		shall be used. The identification defines the hardware and software version and, where the software changes and alters the function of the unit, the identifier associated with that software shall also be changed.		
- Physical Capabilities (sensor range, placement, actuator performance)	5.4.2.1	a statement of the mechanism(s) by which control is exercised. (d) Installation of sensing system components The manufacturer shall provide information regarding the installation options that will be employed for the individual components that comprise the sensing system. These options shall include, but are not limited to, the location of the component in/on the vehicle, the material(s) surrounding the component, the dimensioning and geometry of the material surrounding the component, and the surface finish of the materials surrounding the component, once installed in the vehicle. The information shall also include	A description of the physical capabilities of the system shall be provided. This shall include: a) [d] b) The nominal range, placement and coverage area of each sensor c) The nominal capabilities of control actuators	

	installation specifications that are critical to the ADS's performance, e.g., tolerances on installation angle. Any changes to the
	individual components of the sensing system, or the installation options, shall be updated in the documentation
5.4.3 d)	(e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria;
	[specifications related to performance of sensors, processors, actuators etc.)

	5.4.3 e)			
- Redundancies	5.4.3 e) 5.4.2.2	(e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria; design provisions built into the ADS to ensure functional and operational safety. Possible design provisions in the ADS include: (a) Fallback (or fail safe) operation	Identification of redundant components, relationships and interconnections.	

		Redundancy using separate systems	
- Inputs & outputs, ranges & limits	5.4.2.1	A list of all input and sensed variables shall be provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined. (e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in nominal, critical, and failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria;	A list of all input and sensed variables shall be provided and the working range of these defined, along with a description of how each variable affects system behaviour. A list of all output variables which are controlled by the ADS shall be provided and an explanation given, in each case, of whether the control is direct or via another vehicle system. The range of control exercised on each variable shall be defined.

5.4.2.1.2 Identification of hazards - risk & severity	5.4.3 e)		
- Using process in SMS	5.4.4.4 c)	The safety assessment approach shall include a top- down (from possible hazard to design) and bottom-up approach (from design to possible hazards). The safety assessment may be based on a Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), a Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), a System-Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA) or any similar process appropriate to system functional and operational safety provided the appropriateness of this process is demonstrated.	The manufacturer shall demonstrate how the hazard identification processes in their SMS have been applied to the ADS. [Check what is in SMS – do we need to list the possible types & ask for justification of appropriateness?]
- Known & Unknown combinations	5.4.4.4 c),	The safety assessment approach shall include a top- down (from possible hazard to design) and	[Check SMS]

	5.4.4.5	bottom-up approach (from design to possible hazards). The safety assessment may be based on a Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), a Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), a System-Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA) or any similar process appropriate to system functional and operational safety provided the appropriateness of this process is demonstrated.		
- Assessment of risks	5.4.4.5	[Text may be needed – check SMS]		
- Cybersecurity	5.4.3 f)	(f) Maintenance and repair interface; protection against unauthorized access: (ii) The ADS shall be designed to protect against unauthorized access to and modification of the ADS functions; (iii) The measures ensuring protection from	[May need to point to UN R155 & augment with f]	

	5.4.4.5	unauthorized access shall be provided in alignment with engineering best practices.		
- Physical Security	5.4.4.5	(g) Reasonably foreseeable misuse by the driver (if applicable) intentional tampering of the ADS.	New text needed potential starting point: The ADS shall be designed to protect against unauthorized access to and modification of the ADS functions O	
5.4.2.1.3 System update management				
- Process for monitoring performance, updating claims, arguments and evidence (as per sms)		[Discussion on how to tackle software updates required]	[open item – some reference in SMS to check and include]	
- Process for validating and distributing new software releases	5.4.3 f)	(f) Maintenance and repair interface; protection against unauthorized access: (i) The ADS	[may need to reference UN R156 & augment with f – check SMS section]	

		shall provide an interface for the purposes of maintenance and repair by authorized persons	
5.4.2.2 Feature-Level			The requirements in this section shall apply to each feature of the ADS
5.4.2.2.1 Summary of intended use	5.4.1.1	The safety case provided by the ADS manufacturer shall include a description of the ADS configuration and the intended uses and limitations on the use of its features which gives a simple explanation of the operational characteristics of the ADS and ADS features	The safety case provided by the ADS manufacturer shall include a description of the ADS configuration and the intended uses and limitations on the use of its features which gives a simple explanation of the operational characteristics of the ADS feature.
5.4.2.2.2 Operational Design Domain (ODD)			
- Operating Environment	5.4.1.1 a)	Operational Design Domain (e.g., road speed limits, road type and roadway characteristics, country, environment, road conditions, etc.) and including the ODD conditions and boundaries of each ADS feature in measurable and/or verifiable terms;	The manufacturer shall document how it has defined the Operational Design Domain for the ADS feature and the boundaries within which it is designed to operate. The manufacturer shall document how each element is measured, verified and any linked/dependent variables. This shall include at least the following characteristics:
		the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries	- Road speed limits

	5.4.2.1	under which the ADS is designed to operate	 Road type and roadway characteristics Jurisdictions of operation Any geographic limitations Environment Road conditions
- Intended area of operation	5.4.2.1	the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate	The manufacturer shall specify the intended area of operation of the ADS feature.
- Conditions for activating & deactivating (incl fallback)	5.4.2.1	the dynamic driving tasks within the ODD and the boundaries under which the ADS is designed to operate	The manufacturer shall document: - the conditions that must be present to permit activation of the feature - the conditions that trigger a fallback response - the conditions that must be present to permit deactivation of the feature - the conditions which may prompt the user to voluntarily take back control, if applicable
5.4.2.2.3 ADS Interactions			
- Identification of users and possible interactions	5.4.1.1 c)	Interactions with other road users;	The manufacturer shall identify possible internal and/or external interactions with users including:
		Interaction with the driver (if relevant) including the transition	- Other road users - Animals

	5.4.1.1 e) 5.4.1.1 f)	of control procedures, ADS notifications and fallback user responses; Supervision centre (if relevant);	- The driver(if relevant) including the transition of control procedures, ADS notifications and fallback user responses - Remote assistant or operator (if relevant)
- Methods of activating and deactivating	5.4.1.1 g)	The method of activating, overriding, or deactivating the ADS by any or all of: the ADS user (where relevant), the human supervision centre (where relevant), passengers (where relevant) or other road users (where relevant).	The manufacturer shall describe the methods of activating, overriding, or deactivating the ADS by any or all of: the ADS user (where relevant), the remote assistant or operator (where relevant), passengers (where relevant) or other road users (where relevant).
5.4.2.2.4 ADS Functions & Control Strategies			
- In-operation hazard identification & avoidance	5.4.1.1 b)	Basic performance (e.g. Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR), etc.);	The manufacturer shall describe how the ADS features detect, identify, and respond to hazards including:
	5.4.1.1 c)	Interactions with other road users;	- control strategies and methods employed while performing the dynamic
	5.4.2.1	A description shall be provided which gives a clear explanation of control strategies and the methods	driving task - design provisions for functional and operational safety

employed to perform the dynamic driving tasks The safety concept element of the safety case shall provide an explanation of the design provisions built into the ADS to ensure functional and operational safety.	 hazard avoidance and mitigation management of unknown hazardous scenarios
The documentation shall be supported by an analysis which shows how the ADS will behave to mitigate or avoid hazards which can have a bearing on the safety of the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users. It shall show how unknown hazardous scenarios will be managed.	

5.4.4.2		

	5.4.4.3			
- Minimal Risk Condition	5.4.1.1 d)	Main conditions for achievement	The manufacturer shall describe the	
(definition,	J.4.1.1 u)	of a minimal risk condition;	minimal risk conditions that can be	
possibilities/scenarios)			achieved by the ADS feature. This	
			shall include:	
			- the conditions which may	
			trigger an attempt to reach a	
			minimal risk condition	

- Operation near conditions, foreseeable exit, sudden exit		[New text required – requirements may exist in DDT section but may not capture all elements]	The processes by which the ADS feature attempts to reach a minimal risk condition The evaluation of risk related to minimal risk condition end states
- Fault identification, diagnostic, fallback/minimal risk condition in failure conditions, reduced performance modes	5.4.3 e)	(e) ADS specifications: (i) Description of ADS specifications in failure situations, acceptance criteria and the demonstration of compliance with those criteria	The manufacturer shall describe how the ADS features respond to failure situations including: (a) Fallback (or fail safe) operation using a partial system; (b) Redundancy using separate systems;
	5.4.4.2	(a) Fallback (or fail safe) operation using a partial system; (b) Redundancy using separate systems; (c) A list of the potential faults identifiable by the diagnostic system(s) of the ADS; (d) Removal of some or all automated driving function(s).	(c) A list of the potential faults identifiable by the diagnostic system(s) of the ADS; (d) Removal of some or all automated driving function(s). If a chosen provision utilizes a partial performance mode of operation under certain fault
		If a chosen provision utilizes a partial performance mode of operation under certain fault conditions (e.g. in case of severe	conditions (e.g. in case of severe failures), then these conditions shall be stated (e.g. type of failure). The resulting ADS behaviour and capabilities shall be defined (e.g.

failures), then these conditions shall be stated (e.g. type of failure). The resulting ADS behaviour and capabilities shall be defined (e.g. achievement of a minimal risk condition immediately) as well as the warning strategy to the driver/remote supervision centre (if applicable). If the chosen provision selects a second (back up) means to realize the performance of the dynamic driving task, the principles of the change -over mechanism, the logic and level of redundancy and any built -in back -up checking features shall be explained and the resulting limits of back -up effectiveness defined. If the chosen provision selects the removal of an automated driving function, it shall be done in compliance with the relevant provisions of this regulation. In this case, all the corresponding output control signals associated with this function shall also be inhibited

achievement of a minimal risk condition immediately) as well as the warning strategy to the driver/remote supervision centre (if applicable).

If the chosen provision selects a second (back -up) means to realize the performance of the dynamic driving task, the principles of the change -over mechanism, the logic and level of redundancy and any built -in back -up checking features shall be explained and the resulting limits of back -up effectiveness defined.

If the chosen provision selects the removal of an automated driving function, it shall be done in compliance with the relevant provisions of this regulation. In this case, all the corresponding output control signals associated with this function shall also be inhibited

5.4.3 Presentation of Safety Case			
5.4.3.1 General requirements			
- Each of the requirements in (DDT, User, ISMR, Absence of unreasonable risk) must have at least 1 Claim, each Claim must have at least 1 argument and each argument at least 1 piece of evidence - Claims, arguments and evidence are uniquely labelled, can be re-used - Hierarchy/links identified. - Summary document identifying claims support which	5.4.4.6,	The safety case shall include arguments and evidence supporting the implementation of the safety concept that is understandable and logical and cover all the different functions of the ADS. The documentation shall provide evidence that the vehicle is free from unreasonable risks to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users in the operational design domain.	The safety case shall include claims, arguments and evidence that is understandable, logical and that demonstrate that the requirements in each of following items are met:: - Absence of unreasonable risk to ADS user(s) and other road users - DDT requirements - User requirements - Monitoring requirements - Safety Concept - [Cybersecurity] - [DSSAD] - Test environment requirements
requirements	5.4.4.7,	The safety case shall provide documentation sufficient to allow the relevant authority to verify through assessment of the case and possible testing by the authority that the manufacturer has successfully implemented the safety concept applicable to the ADS. The documentation shall itemizes the parameters being	The safety case shall be composed of a series of claims for which there must be at least one supporting argument. Each argument shall be supported by at least one piece of evidence. Each claim, argument and evidence shall be uniquely labelled but may

monitored on the vehicle and shall be used more than once (i.e. a piece set out evidence supporting the of evidence may support more than argument that applicable safety one argument). requirements have been met. This documentation shall also describe the measures in place to ensure The following summary information the ADS is free from shall be provided by the unreasonable risks to the ADS manufacturer: user(s) and other road users when A summary identifying the the performance of the ADS is relationships between affected by environmental claims and their supporting conditions (e.g., climatic, argument and evidence temperature, dust ingress, water A summary identifying ingress, ice packing) each requirement required above and the claims that demonstrate the requirement is met

- Statement of Assumptions	5.4.4.8	[New text required]	The manufacturer shall state relevant assumptions it has made in relation to claims, arguments and evidence.
- Lifecycle validity		[This is alluded to in SMS and in monitoring may be some existing text to reference]	
- Test tools used for producing evidence have been validated - Claims for validity with supporting arguments and evidence - Credibility assessment	5.4.4.4 d)	(d) Inspection of the documentation that demonstrates the validation/verification plans and results including appropriate acceptance criteria. It shall include testing appropriate for validation, for example, Hardware in the Loop (HIL) testing, vehicle on-road operational testing, testing with real end users, or any other testing appropriate for validation/verification The documentation provided shall demonstrate adherence to and be in the form prescribed in [Annex 5] or explain the basis for any	The safety case shall include claims, supported by argumentation and evidence that any simulation tools used for the generation of evidence have been assessed as per the credibility requirements in [section].

		deviation from the principles set out in [Annex 5].		
5.4.3.2 Claims				
Provide claim that a specific goal/requirement is met Provide a summary table identifying which claims support which requirements	5.4.4.8	The safety case shall provide documentation sufficient to allow the relevant authority to verify through assessment of the case and possible testing by the authority that the manufacturer has successfully implemented the safety concept applicable to the ADS.	The claims shall be detailed enough to allow an authority to verify that the target requirement has been met. The manufacturer may create multiple sub-claims related to claims, arguments, or evidence where a broader claim may not be sufficient or where additional justification is warranted as long as said sub-claims and their relationships are included in the summary documents.	
- Use of sub-claims permitted		[new text required]		
5.4.3.3 Argumentation				
- Analysis supporting claim, providing contextual information how/why claim met	5.4.4.8	The documentation shall itemizes the parameters being monitored on the vehicle and shall set out evidence supporting the argument that applicable safety requirements have been met.	Each argument supporting a claim shall provide contextual information and supporting information why a claim is met.	

- Scenario Approach	5.4.4.7	(b) A scenario-specific approach showing that the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to a manually driven vehicles within the ODD for each of the safety relevant scenarios.	The argumentation shall include analysis of evidence demonstrating that the claim is met including: a) Validation targets (i.e., validation acceptance criteria) supported by validation results provided it is demonstrated that those validation methods are robust enough and/or b) A scenario-specific approach provided it is demonstrated that the scenarios provide reasonable coverage of the ODD Any comparisons drawn between the performance of an ADS feature and that of a manually driven vehicle shall be restricted to data gathered in the same ODD and operating conditions.
- ODD Coverage	5.4.4.6,	The documentation shall also demonstrate that validation measures are robust enough to demonstrate safety (e.g.,	[combined above]

		reasonable coverage of chosen scenarios as part of the validation methodology chosen) and have been completed.		
	5.4.4.7	(a) Overall validation targets (i.e., validation acceptance criteria) supported by validation results demonstrating that entry into service of the ADS will not increase the overall level of risk to the ADS vehicle user(s) and other road users compared to manually driven vehicles within the ODD compared to a manually driven vehicles within the ODD for each of the safety relevant scenarios.		
5.4.3.4 Evidence				
- Testing results, individual or in aggregate -(including ability to produce specific test cases, parameters, tool versions and can be reproduced upon request)	5.4.4.4 d)	(d) Inspection of the documentation that demonstrates the validation/verification plans and results including appropriate acceptance criteria. It shall include testing appropriate for validation, for example, Hardware	Testing results may be presented individually or on aggregate and shall include appropriate acceptance criteria.	

	in the Loop (HIL) testing, vehicle on-road operational testing, testing with real end users, or any other testing appropriate for validation/verification. Results of validation and verification [may/shall] be assessed by analysing coverage of the different tests and setting minimal coverage thresholds for various metrics.	Each piece of testing evidence shall include enough information or be recorded in such a way that it may be reproduced upon request (e.g. same software/hardware versions, same tool versions, same scenario, same parameters etc.). The manufacturer is required to facilitate access and execution of the necessary tools and analysis software upon request by the authority for the purpose of reproducing this evidence.
- Supporting materials	[new text required]	Evidence other than testing (e.g. source code, engineering drawings, photographs, required documentation, etc.) may be used where appropriate.

Submitted by the OPI on Safety Assessment provisions

Document ADS-04-07/Rev.1 4th ADS IWG session 8-11 October 2024

Cross-reference table

Original Paragraph	Inserted into	
5.4.1.1 intended use	5.4.2.2.1 Summary of intended use	
5.4.1.1 a) ODD	5.4.2.2.2 Operating Environment	
5.4.1.1 b) Basic performance	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance	
5.4.1.1 c) Interaction with other road users	5.4.2.2.3 Identification of users and interactions	
	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance	
5.4.1.1 d) Minimal Risk Condition	5.4.2.2.4 Minimal risk condition	
5.4.1.1 e) Interaction with driver	5.4.2.2.3 Identification of users and interactions	
5.4.1.1 f) Supervision centre	5.4.2.2.3 Identification of users and interactions	
5.4.1.1 g) Activating/deactivating	5.4.2.2.3 Methods of activating and deactivating	
5.4.2.1 functions/control strategies	5.4.2.1.1 Physical Capabilities	
	5.4.2.1.1 Inputs & outputs, ranges & limits	
	5.4.2.2.2 Operating Environment	
	5.4.2.2.2 Intended area of operation	
	5.4.2.2.2 Conditions for activating & deactivating	
	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance	
5.4.3 a) Inventory of components	5.4.2.1.1 Listing of components & interactions	
5.4.3 b) Functions of the units	5.4.2.1.1 Listing of components & interactions	
5.4.3 c) Identification of units	5.4.2.1.1 Listing of components & interactions	
5.4.3 d) Installation of sensing system components	5.4.2.1.1 Physical Capabilities	
5.4.3 e) ADS Specifications	5.4.2.1.1 Physical Capabilities	
	5.4.2.1.1 Redundancies	
	5.4.2.1.1 Inputs & outputs, ranges & limits	
	5.4.2.2.4 Fault Identification, diagnostic	
5.4.3 f) Maintenance & Repair	5.4.2.1.2 Cybersecurity	
	5.4.2.1.3 Process for validating and distributing new software releases	
5.4.4.1 Safety Case	5.4.1	
5.4.4.2 Design provisions for funct op safety	5.4.2.1.1 Redundancies	
	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance	
	5.4.2.2.4 Fault Identification, diagnostic	
5.4.4.3 Mitigation/avoidance of hazards	5.4.2.2.4 In-operating hazard identification & avoidance	
5.4.4.4 a) – Moved to Assessment		

5.4.4.4 b) – Moved to Assessment	
5.4.4.4 c) Hazard analysis	5.4.2.1.2 – Using processes in SMS
	5.4.2.1.2 – Known & Unknown (Risk & severity) combinations
5.4.4.4 d) Verification/validation plan	5.4.3.1 Validation of test tools
	5.4.3.4 Test results
5.4.4.5 List of hazards	5.4.2.1.2 Known & Unknown (Risk & severity) combinations
	5.4.2.1.2 Assessment of risks
	5.4.2.1.2 Cybersecurity
5.4.4.6 Implementation of safety concept and validation methods	5.4.3.1 Safety Case/argument/evidence
	5.4.3.3 ODD coverage
5.4.4.7 Free from unreasonable risk	5.4.3.1 Safety Case/argument/evidence
	5.4.3.3 Scenario approach
	5.4.4.7 ODD coverage
5.4.4.8	5.4.3.1 Safety Case/argument/evidence
	5.4.3.2 generation of claims
	5.4.3.3 Analysis of claims
5.4.4.9 – moved information to users	