## ANALYSIS OF WLTP EUROPEAN UTILITY FACTOR FOR OVC-HEVS.

Andreas Eder for the Working Group with Andre Rijnders, Iddo Riemersma, Heinz Steven, Oliver Eberhardt.

EUWLTP, Brussels, 24.6.2014

## ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. STARTING POINT OF THE DISCUSSION AND RE- ASSESSMENT.



#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. SCIENTIFICALLY JUSTIFIABLE APPROACH ACCORDING TO T&E.

1. Search for all available databases with vehicle trips that can be used as input.

2. Exclude the data that is erroneous or outside the scope (in this case, that might include taking out data from vehicles that have an extreme high or short daily average distance).

3. Verify the balance in UF relevant characteristics, such as road types (city, rural, highway), vehicle types, share between EU member states, etc.

4. Where necessary and appropriate, apply weighting to correct unbalance in these characteristics.

5. Develop an UF curve for the individual (weighted) databases, and explain differences through analysis.

6. Based on the analysis, decide which weight should be applied to each database in order to reach the most representative UF curve.

source: Review of Utility Factor development Author: Iddo Riemersma (Transport & Environment) Date/Version: 17 April 2014/1.1

## ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. DISTRIBUTION OF AVERAGE DAILY TRAVELED MILES.



#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. SCIENTIFICALLY JUSTIFIABLE APPROACH.



## ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. REGISTRATION OF NEW PASSENGER CARS 2012 IN EUROPE COMPARED TO THE AMOUNT OF VEHICLES IN WLTP + FIAT DATABASE.



> The percentage difference between registration of new passenger cars in Europe and vehicles in the database is not equal and has to be balanced.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. APPROACH AND PROCESS.

• Normalize each country percentage to 100%.

First step.

Second step.

Third step.

intersection of countries available in the database and EU- 27 registration	total registrations (in thousands)	percentage of new registrations in EU-27	percentage of new registrations in EU-27 corrected to 100%		
BE	490	4.1%	4.3%		
FR	1932	16.1%	16.9%		
DE	3062	25.5%	26.8%		
SE	263	2.2%	2.3%		
IT	1402	11.7%	12.2%		
SI	50	0.4%	0.4%		
UK	2036	16.9%	17.8%		
PL	274	2.3%	2.4%		
ES	704	5.9%	6.2%		
PT	96	0.8%	0.8%		
AT	335	2.8%	2.9%		
NL	500	4.2%	4.4%		
DK	171	1.4%	1.5%		
IE	73	0.6%	0.6%		
GR	57	0.5%	0.5%		
		S OF 10/	<b>S</b> 100.0%		



• Calculate a UF curve for each country that is available in the intersection.

• Identify the intersection of countries in the database and in EU.

• Remaining countries include 95% of total new vehicle registrations.

• Consolidate the country-weighted UF curves to one EU UF curve.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. UF CURVE OF EACH AVAILABLE COUNTRY.



#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. EUROPEAN REGISTRATION-WEIGHTED UF.



> The UF curve that is based on the weighting of new passenger car registrations is very similar to the "RED" curve.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. VEHICLE MILEAGE COMPARISON BETWEEN TREMOVE AND WLTP+FIAT DATABASE.

#### Vehicle mileage according to TREMOVE 3.3.2 Alternative 2005.



> The difference between vehicle mileage in Europe and vehicle mileage in the database is not equal and can be balanced.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. MILEAGE-WEIGHTED APPROACH.



▶ The UF curve that is based on the weighting of vehicle mileage is very similar to the RED curve.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. COUNTRY-WEIGHTED APPROACH AND PROCESS INCLUDING ADDITIONAL ENGINE TYPE WEIGHTING.



## ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. ENGINE TYPE- AND COUNTRY-WEIGHTED APPROACH.



► The UF curve that is based on the weighting of engine types and new passenger car registrations is below the "RED" curve.

# ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR.

# VEHICLE TYPES OF NEW PASSENGER CARS COMPARED TO WLTP AND FIAT DATABASE.

#### Registration of new passenger cars sorted by vehicle type in Europe.



The vehicle class percentage difference between registration of new passenger cars in Europe and vehicles in the database is not equal and has to be balanced.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. VEHICLETYPE-WEIGHTED APPROACH.



► The UF curve that is based on the weighting of vehicle types of new passenger cars is very similar to the PURPLE curve that represents the 50% / 50% weighting of WLTP and FIAT database.

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. LIMITS OF WEIGHTING.

Atheoretical option is to apply a weighting for each of the previous criteria, means:

- •Country of registration.
- •Engine type.
- Vehicle class.
- Vehicle Mileage

#### BUT

•There are not enough vehicles in the database to cover all variants (e.g. M1, DE, SUV, DIESEL - not available).

•Very high workload to normalize all percentages.

• High amount of normalization positions biases the representativeness.

		DIESEL	PETROL	SUM				DIESEL	PETROL	SUM
DE	'MINI'	19	71	90			'MINI'	27	133	160
	'SMALL'	2	64	66	UK	'SMALL'	0	51	51	
	'LOWER MEDIUM'	6	44	50		'LOWER MEDIUM'	7	7	14	
	'MEDIUM'	6	0	6		'MEDIUM'	3	0	3	
	'UPPER MEDIUM'	1	0	1			'UPPER MEDIUM'	0	0	0
	'SUV/OFF-ROAD'	0	0	0			'SUV/OFF-ROAD'	0	0	0

# ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR.

SUMMARY.



All new and more scientific analysis show that the "50/50" UF curve can be justified for further use in EU until the re-assesment based on a real PHEV fleet is available.

#### Backup

#### ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR. REVIEWIN EU AGREED.

2 Step Approach for Europe:



## ANALYSIS OF WLTP UTILITY FACTOR.

#### WEIGHTED VALUES ARE OK FOR FLEET MONITORING BUT UNSUITABLE FOR CUSTOMER INFORMATION.



- ► A fully charged PHEV saves fossil fuel with the first driven kilometer.
- > The figure shows the dependency from the daily driven distance of the customer concerning the fuel consumption.

A weighted value is made to represent an average value for a fleet of vehicles that can be used as a homologation value (fleet monitoring).

A new logic has to be developed for customer information!