

CLEPA European Association of Automotive Suppliers

REGULATION 129 PHASE 2 TESTING INVESTIGATION INTO THE EFECTS OF ISOFIX

17/03/2015





- ISOFIX prevents loose seats moving around in vehicle when unoccupied
- Testing to determine if greater safety benefits in front & side impact
 - Compare with/without ISOFIX
 - Investigate rigid and flexible ISOFIX
 - Investigate effect of seat mass





Test Matrix – Front Impact

Test No.	CRS	Attachment	CRS Mass (kg)
101	Type 1	Belt	6.7
102	Type 1	Belt & ISOFIT	6.7
105	Type 2	Belt	6.7*
106	Type 2	Belt & ISOFIT	6.7*

*1.7kg Mass added to crs type 2 to make same as CRS type 1 $\,$





CRSs – 3 different types used





CRS Type 1 Rigid ISOFIT (6.7 kg)

CRS Type 2 Flexible ISOFIT (6.7 kg) (1.7 kg mass added to equal XP mass)





Front Impact - CRS type 1 Results

Acceleration and neck results similar between belt/ISOFIX:

Body Region	Belt	Belt & ISOFIX	Difference (%)
Head resultant acceleration (3ms)	81.8 g	79.7 g	-3%
Chest resultant acceleration (3ms)	40.5 g	43.4 g	+7%
Pelvis resultant acceleration (3ms)	39.2 g	37.0 g	-6%
Upper neck force (Fz)	2.7 kN	2.6 kN	-3%
Upper neck moment (My)	-14.4 Nm	-13.5 Nm	-6%
Head horizontal excursion	380 mm	364 mm	-4%

• Reduction seen in:

Body Region	Belt	Belt & ISOFIX	Difference (%)
Chest compression (belt loading)	29 mm	24 mm	-17%
Abdomen loading (buckle side)	0.46 bar	0.34 bar	-26%
Lap belt force	1.8 kN	1.5 kN	-14%



Front Impact – CRS type 1 Results

- Head resultant acceleration
 - Minimal reduction in loading duration and peak (3ms)
 - Belt = 81.8g
 - Belt & ISOFIX = 79.7g

- Chest resultant acceleration
 - No reduction in duration, slight increase in peak (3ms)
 - Belt = 40.5g
 - Belt & ISOFIX = 43.4g





Front Impact – CRS type 1 Results

- Chest compression
 - Reduction in loading duration and first peak (belt loading) and second peak (chin-chest contact)
 - Belt = 29mm
 - Belt & ISOFIX = 24mm

- Lap belt force
 - Reduction in duration and peak
 - Belt = 1.8kN
 - Belt & ISOFIX = 1.5kN





Front Impact – CRS type 1 Results

- Abdomen pressure
 - Slight overall reduction in pressure,
 - Buckle side reduced
 - Belt = 0.46 bar
 - Belt & ISOFIX = 0.34 bar
 - Outboard side slight increase
 - Belt = 0.29 bar
 - Belt & ISOFIX = 0.34 bar



Pressure fromshoulder belt acts..... on sensors





Test Matrix – Side Impact

Test No.	CRS type	Attachment	CRS Mass (kg)
107	1	Belt	6.7
108	1	Belt & ISOFIT	6.7
109	2	Belt	6.7*
110	2	Belt & ISOFIT	6.7*

*1.7kg Mass added to CRS type 2 to make same as CRS type 1





Side Impact - Results

All body regions similar between belt/ISOFIX:

Body Region	Belt	Belt & ISOFIX	Difference (%)	
Head movement (From door line)	116 mm	114 mm	+2%	
Head resultant acceleration (3ms)	70.2 g	69.6 g	-1%	
Chest resultant acceleration (3ms)	51.8 g	51.2 g	-1%	
Pelvis resultant acceleration (3ms)	78.3 g	72.9 g	-7%	
Upper neck force (Fz)	1.0 kN	1.0 kN	+5%	
Upper neck moment (Mx)	-11.2 Nm	-12.3 Nm	+10%	
Chest compression	18.8 mm	20 mm	+7%	
Head Acc	l Resultant elerations	70 60 (9) 50 30 20		Belt & ISO (6220)
Page ■ 10		10	, North Contraction of the second sec	

10 20

30

40 Time (ms) 50



Conclusions

The present set of data shows slight benefit in frontal impact and no difference is observed in side impact.

- Reduction seen in front impact in:
 - Chest compression loading (-17%)
 - Abdomen loading (-26%)
 - Lap belt force (-14%)
- No significant difference in side impact results

