

**UNECE PPPs Draft Standard for Water and Sanitation –
Public Review
Log of Comments and observations Log (4 December 2017)**

This paper sets out comments received in response to the formal review of the draft standard issued to UNECE on 21 November 2017.

ID	Draft version number	Type of comment ¹	Comments
01	1.0		<p>Questions/comments</p> <p>-p.5 definition of P3 – is this generic? Does it need to mention assets specific to water?</p> <p>-p.9-10 disadvantages: frequent timeline misalignment between political mandate (4 years), planning phase (3-4) years, operational phase (>10years) to execute projects</p> <p>-p.22, 5.5. finance instruments – perhaps add Social Impact Bonds. There are funds being created that incorporate investment in water assets set out to address specific SDGs.</p> <p>-p.22 5.6 ‘PPPs are a tool’ – key point, would make sure call out more in beginning of document too. Often structural reforms of sector required before even considering P3.</p> <p>-p.52 Annex VII - would it be possible to provide weblinks to details of these projects for reference? Could be handy. Also, what were criteria for selection of those sample cases? Probably a lot more could be included, or could group based on key features</p>
02	1.0		

¹ Types of comment: ge = general; te = technical; le = legal; ed = editorial

**UNECE PPPs Draft Standard for Water and Sanitation –
Public Review
Log of Comments and observations Log (4 December 2017)**