

Ricardo: The importance of including Capital Goods for Renewable Electricity in LCA

UNECE IWG A-LCA, 17th Meeting, 26 Sep 2024

Nikolas Hill (Ricardo)



CONTENTS

Analysis of the significance of capital goods on electricity and fuel WTW impacts

Implications for full lifecycle impacts for vehicles operating on renewable electricity

Analysis of the significance of capital goods on electricity and fuel WTW impacts

WTT impacts from vehicles operating on renewable hydrogen and e-fuels produced from renewable electricity are responsible for 20-45% of total WTW impacts



- Impacts from nuclear and renewable electricity generation are entirely resulting from capital goods (i.e. generation equipment) also in the 'losses'
- These are relatively small compared to conventional generation types but more important for production or e-fuel and green H2



Impacts derived from renewable electricity capital goods are responsible for significant impacts for production of green H2 (~12% vs WTW impacts for H₂ from natural gas) and liquid efuels (~20% vs WTW impacts for conventional gasoline/diesel)

types but more important for production or e-fuel and green H2 • Impacts magnified further with differences in vehicle powertrains Ricardo analysis conducted as input to projects for DG CLIMA (2020) and the European Parliament (2023): <u>https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/IPOL_STU(2023)733112</u>



Analysis of the significance of capital goods on electricity and fuel WTW impacts

Impacts from generation equipment are also included in UNECE reporting on integrated life-cycle assessment of electricity sources, with similar results as for Ricardo's analyses

Figure 37 Lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions' regional variations for year 2020. Variability is explained by several factors: electricity mix (all regions), methane leakage rates (fossil fuels), load factors (renewables). Nuclear power is modelled as a global average except for back-end.

Lifecycle GHG emissions, in g CO2 eq. per kWh, regional variation, 2020



Best practice in LCA of different electricity production chains includes accounting for production of generation equipment

Such impacts are already included in LCI datasets widely used in automotive and other LCA studies for electricity use, e.g. from Econinvent, Sphera in Europe

Implications for full lifecycle impacts for vehicles operating on renewable electricity

Ricardo analysis shows WTT GWP impacts from vehicles operating on renewable hydrogen and e-fuels produced from renewable electricity are responsible for 20-45% of total lifecycle impacts

Lower Medium Car, WeightedAv (Tech1.5 Scenario)



- WTT GWP impacts for production and distribution of e-fuels are mainly due to the embedded emissions from electricity generation equipment (e.g. solar PV cell manufacturing) – i.e. aka 'capital goods'
- GWP impacts from capital goods are responsible for:
 - 20% of total lifecycle impacts for FCEVs using 'green' (renewable) hydrogen; and
 - 45% of total lifecycle impacts for ICEVs/HEVs powered by 100% liquid e-fuels
 - This is similar to the share for vehicle production
- These fuel/powertrain options are being investigated for deployment alongside other electric powertrains:
 - It is important capital goods are therefore included for renewable electricity production for objective and fair comparisons

Source: Ricardo analysis conducted as input to projects for DG CLIMA (2020) and the European Parliament (2023): https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/IPOL_STU(2023)733112





Thank you

Contact:

Nikolas Hill, Technical Director, Head of Vehicle Technologies & Fuels Policy, Strategy & Economics Practice – Sustainable Transport Team Ricardo, The Gemini Building, Fermi Avenue, Harwell, Didcot, OX11 0QR, United Kingdom T: +44 (0)1235 75 3522; E: nikolas.hill@ricardo.com / VehicleLCA@ricardo.com