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**Preliminary comments from the Secretary of GRSG informal group on AECS**

1. This document was produced by the informal group Secretary as a **working document**, for serving as a basis for discussions within the GRSG informal group on AECS. It is expected to evolve and improve along the discussions that will take place during the meetings of the informal group.

2. This document is an attempt to show the status of the discussions after the 8th meeting of the informal group. The **changes compared to AECS-02-02-Rev.3 (status after 7th meeting)** are indicated in bold and strikethrough characters, save for Part III for ease of reading.

3. For clarity, the proposal from RUS per document AECS-01-05 is not present in the document. This does not prevail the recognition of the RUS position by the informal group.

4. Basis of the document is AECS-02-02-r3 (Secretary), AECS-08-05 (OICA), AECS-07-02 (RUS), AECS-08-07 (J), AECS-08-09 (TRL), AECS-08-10 (RUS). A complete re-numbering is proposed according to the changes agreed to date.

5. The document reflects the main agreements and pending items of the informal group:
   a. Main agreements:
      i. Scope:
         1. Regulation to focus on “red” components (see document WP29-164-31)
         2. Exclusion of the vehicles not in the scope of UN R94 and R95 and not fitted with an automatic triggering system
         3. “if fitted” requirements for GNSS positioning
      ii. AEC (device) **sled** test conditions
      iii. Emergency call assessment limited to checking of hardware fitment
      iv. **Human Machine Interface** Warning signal
      v. Power supply in post-crash conditions
b. Main pending items
   i. AECD sled test (resistance to vehicle impact)
   ii. Homologation procedure according to OICA proposal AECS-05-04
   iii. Provisions for Part III of the draft regulation.

6. The document includes some proposals still under discussions as e.g. the OICA proposed three test procedures. This does not prevail the decision of the informal group with regard to these proposals.

1. Scope

1.1 This Regulation applies to:

   (a) Part I: the approval of Accident Emergency Call Devices AECDs, which are intended to be fitted to vehicles of categories M1 and N1;

   (b) Part II: the approval of installation on vehicles of categories M1 and N1 with regard to the installation of an Accident Emergency Call Device AECDs, which have been approved to Part I of this regulation.

   (c) Part III: the approval of vehicles of categories M1 and N1 with regard to their Accident Emergency Call System AECS or with regard to the installation of an Accident Emergency Call Device AECDs equipped with an AECD which has not been separately approved according to Part I of this Regulation.

1.2 It does not apply to:

   (a) Communication module and communication antenna functionality, unless otherwise prescribed in this Regulation;

   (b) The mechanism and logic of data transmission, data exchange protocol, operation modes and conditions of transitions between such modes, performance of the test call and test data transfer, response to protocol commands received from infrastructure and network registration logic;

   (c) Privacy, data protection and personal data processing.

1.3 Vehicles in the scope of neither Regulation No. 94 nor Regulation No. 95 and not fitted with an automatic triggering system shall be excluded from the scope of this regulation.

1.4 GNSS positioning may be approved at the request of the applicant, this regulation may apply to GNSS connectivity.

However, if the applicant opts to request approval to AECD/AECS without the GNSS connectivity positioning as described in this regulation, and assessment and approval sections, national requirements of the Contracting Parties apply. Applying this regulation shall have the freedom to nationally regulate, assess and approve GNSS connectivity positioning.

---

1.5 Vehicles of the following categories shall be excluded from the scope of this regulation:
   - Armoured vehicles
   - M1 vehicles with a total permissible mass \( \text{GVM} \) above 3.5t

**Part I: EMERGENCY CALL DEVICES (AECD)**

2. Definitions - general

For the purposes of this Regulation:

2.1 “Communications Communication module” means a component of an AECD designed for voice communication and to transmit data about an accident using terrestrial mobile telephone communications networks;

2.2 “User Human/Machine interface (HMI)” means a component or function of an AECD designed to allow the user to interact with the device, including by receiving visual information, obtaining visual information and introducing control commands;

2.3 “Data exchange protocol” means the set of rules and agreements that define the content, format, time parameters, sequence and error checks in messages exchanged between an AECD and the devices of Public Service Answering Party (PSAP).

2.4 “Public/Private Safety Answering Point (PSAP)” means a physical location where emergency calls are first received under the responsibility of a public authority or a private organization recognized by the national government / responsible authorities.

**Part I: APPROVAL OF ACCIDENT EMERGENCY CALL DEVICES (AECD) WHICH ARE INTENDED TO BE FITTED TO VEHICLES OF CATEGORIES M1 AND N1**

2.3 Definition

For the purpose of Part I of this regulation,

3.1 “AECD (Accident Emergency Call Device)” means a unit or a set of units to control AECS, including performing at least the following functions;
   - receiving and/or generating the automatic and manual triggering signals,
   - receiving the signal from AECS control, if fitted,
   - providing receiving or determining the vehicle location,
   - providing a warning signal
   - sending the data, and
   - allowing bidirectional audio signals for voice communication

2.3.2 “Global Navigation Satellite System receiver” ("GNSS receiver") means a component of an AECD/AECS designed to determine time, the coordinates and direction of the vehicle positioning information using signals from global navigation satellite systems; the GNSS receiver can be included in the AECD or in another external control unit, as long as the AECD ensure its ability to provide the vehicle positioning information location in case of an event.
“Satellite-Based Augmentation System” (SBAS) is a system ensuring the correction of local errors of GNSS systems due to interferences via a network of ground-based stations. (ex: EGNOS, WASS, QZSS)

“Control module” means a component of an AECD designed to ensure the combined functioning of all components of the AECD;

“Type of AECD” means devices that do not differ in such essential respects as:
(a) The manufacturer's trade name or mark;
(b) Their construction;
(c) Dimensions, structure and materials of the attachments and supports.

OICA: Following definitions are missing
NL: will prepare another wording which more reflects the device rather than the function

AECD information signal device or use the wording of status signal?
A device that provides information on the status of the emergency call transaction

AECD warning signal device
A tell tale that provides a failure indication of the AECD

3.4. Application for approval of an AECD

3.4.1 The application for approval of a type of AECD shall be submitted by the holder of the trade name or mark or by his duly accredited representative.

3.4.2 A model of the information document is given in Annex 4.1.

3.4.3 For each type of AECD, the application shall be accompanied by samples of complete sets of AECDs in sufficient quantities for the tests prescribed by this regulation. Additional specimens may be called for at the request of the technical service responsible for conducting the test.

3.5. Markings of an AECD

4.5.1 The samples of AECD submitted for approval shall bear the trade name or mark of the manufacturer. This marking shall figure at least on the unit or units containing the navigation system receiver and communication module. It shall be clearly legible and indelible.

4.5.2 The unit or units containing the navigation system receiver and communication module shall possess a space large enough to accommodate the approval mark. This space shall be shown on the drawings referred to in Annex 4.1.

6. Approval

5.6.1 If the samples submitted for approval meet the requirements of paragraph 7.6 of this Regulation, approval of the pertinent type of AECD shall be granted.

5.6.2 An approval number shall be assigned to each type approved. The first two digits (at present 00) shall indicate the series of amendments incorporating the most recent major technical
amendments made to the Regulation at the time of issue of the approval. The same Contracting Party shall not assign the same number to another type of AECD.

5.3.6.3 Notice of approval or of refusal, or of extension or withdrawal of approval, or of production definitively discontinued of a type of AECD pursuant to this Regulation shall be communicated to the Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation by means of a form conforming to the model in annex 1 3 to this Regulation.

5.4.6.4 There shall be affixed, conspicuously and in the space referred to in paragraph 5.2 4.2 above, to every AECD conforming to a type approved under this Regulation, in addition to the mark prescribed in paragraph 5.1 4.1, an international approval mark conforming to the model given in annex 1 3, consisting of:

5.4.1 6.4.1 A circle surrounding the letter “E” followed by the distinguishing number of the country which has granted approval;

5.4.2 6.4.2 The number of this Regulation, followed by the letter “R”, a dash and the approval number to the right of the circle prescribed in paragraph 5.4.1 6.4.1.

5.5 6.5 The approval mark shall be clearly legible and be indelible.

6. 7. General requirements

Upon reception of a triggering signal, the AECD shall send data and establish voice connection with the PSAP.

If the sending of data failed then the AECD shall retry sending the data.

If the AECD has successfully sent the data and then loses the voice connection, it shall try to re-establish voice connection.

In case it was not possible to establish voice connection and/or send data using mobile communication networks, the AECD shall store the data in non-volatile memory and attempt re-transmission of the data and to establish a voice connection.

6.1 7.1. [The effectiveness of AECD shall not be adversely affected by magnetic or electrical fields. This requirement shall be met by ensuring compliance with Regulation No. 10.05]

AECS pre-meeting:

- EMC immunity concern of NL will be addressed to GRE, OICA already addressed to GEE EMC experts
- Reference to R10.05:
  - OICA raised the issues of ECE R10.03, ECE R10.04, ECE R10.05 all being applicable today may have to be referenced rather than just ECE R10.05 only

Reference to a similar discussion for CMS under R46

6.2.1.3. The effectiveness of the CMS of Classes I to IV shall not be adversely affected by magnetic or electrical fields. This shall be demonstrated by compliance with the technical requirements and transitional provisions of Regulation No. 10, 04 series of amendments or any later series of amendments.

EU Commission during the CMS discussion opposed to make a reference to ECE R10.03. Andreas will check with Anthony Lagrange for the background.
To be discussed at AECS-09

AECS pre-meeting:

- Updates are referred in GSA document: AECS-02-02-r3e (Secretariat) Working document after 7th meeting V3 FINAL ANSWER

- OICA to check if there is a need to have the on OEM’s request the possibility of testing GNSS as part or Part II. E.g if the AECS uses no dedicated GNSS but the GNSS of the navigation systems. This GNSS navigation system is not part of AECD and therefore cannot be verified under Part I

- If so par. 6.2 needs to be duplicated in Part II

6.2-7.2 Position determination

AECD shall:

- If the AECD is fitted, in accordance with paragraph 1.4., with GNSS receiver supporting at least three GNSS including GLONASS, Galileo and GPS, and is capable of reception and processing of SBAS signals, then the AECD shall comply with the requirements of paragraphs 6.2.1 to 7.2.6.

AECD compliance with respect to positioning capabilities shall be demonstrated by performing test methods described in Annex 8.5: Test methods for the navigation module.

- If AECD is not fitted with GNSS receiver supporting at least three GNSS including GLONASS, Galileo and GPS, and capable of reception and processing of SBAS signals, then AECD shall comply with the Contracting Party national regulation requirements.

6.2.1 7.2.1 Horizontal position error under open sky conditions and speed up to [140] km/h shall not exceed 15 m for 95% of the measurements done.

6.2.2 7.2.2 Horizontal position error in urban canyon conditions and speed up to [140] km/h shall not exceed 40 m for 95% of the measurements done.

6.2.3 7.2.3 Sensitivity at receiver input shall be:

- acquisition - at least minus 144 dBm
- tracking: at least minus 155 dBm
- reacquisition - at least minus 150 dBm

6.2.4 7.2.4 Time to first fix shall not exceed

- 60 sec for signal level down to minus 130 dBm
- 300 sec for signal level down to minus 140 dBm

6.2.5 7.2.5 Re-acquisition time after block out of 60 sec at signal level down to minus 130 dBm shall not exceed 20 sec.

7.2.6 The testing procedures in Annex 8.5 can be performed either on the AECD unit including post processing ability or directly on the GNSS receiver being a part of the AECD.
6.3 Mean of access to mobile networks

The AECD shall be fitted with an embedded hardware allowing registration/authentication on and access to the mobile network. AECD requirements in regard of its communications module are excluded from the scope of this regulation.

6.4 Base function and operation principles

The AECD shall send data and establish voice connection with the PSAP. If the sending of data failed then the AECD shall retry sending the data. If the AECD has successfully sent the data and then loses the voice connection, it shall try to reestablish voice connection.

In case it was not possible to establish voice connection and/or send data using mobile communication networks, the AECD shall store the data in non-volatile memory and attempt re-transmission of the data and to establish a voice connection.

OICA: align the use of information and warning signal with title of this par.

7.4 6.5 AECD information and warning signal

If the applicant for approval so requests, the AECD information and warning signals verification may be part of the approval of a type of AECD. In this case the following provisions shall apply.

74.1 6.5.1 Information shall be provided regarding the status of the emergency call transaction when the AECD is automatically or manually activated.

AECS pre-meeting:

- Russia proposes that the different steps in the emergency call transaction are distinguished (e.g. see Annex 9 par. 5.2.1-5.2.4)

- Russian GOST-R 54620 requires:
  - voice call being set-up
  - MSD transmission in progress
  - voice call in progress
  - call not possible (no network coverage)

- Is there a common approach among OEM’s, could we agree on a common approach? What is the current status in existing emergency call systems or systems in development.

7.4.2 6.5.2 A warning signal shall be provided to the driver when the AECD is not functioning properly. Visual indication of the AECD malfunction shall be displayed at all times while ignition is turned on or the vehicle master control switch is activated (whatever applicable).

A warning signal shall be provided in case of AECD internal malfunction, when the AECD is not functioning properly. Visual indication of the AECD malfunction shall be displayed while the failure is present. It may be cancelled temporarily, but shall be repeated whenever the device which starts and stops the engine is switched on and off, ignition or the vehicle master control switch is being activated (whatever applicable).
7.4.2.1 (?) The manufacturer shall provide a statement which explains malfunction indication strategy of the AECS system.

The manufacturer shall provide the type-approval authority with an explanation and technical documentation which shows, in overall terms, how this is achieved. This documentation shall be maintained by the manufacturer and shall be made open for inspection by the technical service at the time of the type approval.”

EU: EU eCall draft will also foresee testing of the malfunctions that are part of the OEM strategy. EU’s draft will be communicated at the next EU MVWG on 02.07.2015 and maybe used as a EU position for AECS-09

GU and TRI have been working together on this EU proposal.

Conclusion: to be discussed at AECS-09

7.4.3-6.5.3. Instead of providing information or warning signal, AECD may provide the electric signal to other vehicle components, e.g. instrument panel, which enable to provide information or warning signal.

7.5 6.6. Power supply

AECS pre-meeting: to be checked what is the better wording.

- Built-in power supply? (seems design restrictive)
- Back-up power supply (back-up battery can be within the AECD but also separate from AECD unit)
- Dedicated AECD power supply versus main power supply of the vehicles?
- Needs a proper definition, that takes into account the various power supply designs of conventional as well as HV, PHV, EV vehicles.
- OICA will look into this again

If the AECD is fitted with built-in power supply, it shall be able to operate autonomously for a period of first not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode followed by 60 minutes in call-back mode (idle mode, registered in a the network) and finally not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode.

AECS pre-meeting: “a” network is more appropriate because it depends on the network for which the AECD is designed

This capability is tested after performing AECD sled test in accordance with Annex 7 in the following conditions:

- Built-in AECD battery has to be fully charged at the time the test begins, at the discretion of the applicant;
- Ambient air temperature: (25 ± 10)°C

OICA: There is no need to specify the network signal (out of AECS scope). Any network is ok to demonstrate this requirement

- Average network signal strength

AECS pre-meeting: it would either have to be deleted or if it remains it should be described in more detail what an average network means for each contracting party.
If the AECD is not fitted with built-in power supply, then AECS power supply assessment is performed as described in paragraph 17.6 of Part II of this Regulation.

7.6 Resistance to impact

The AECD shall remain operational after impact. This shall be demonstrated according to Annex 7, Annex 4, Annex 9, paragraphs 1 (MSD emission) and paragraph 2 (MSD emission assessment) and 5.2 (status indication) verifications described in xx after the collision described in yy or zz.

7.6.1 The following AECD components shall be tested to Annex 7:
- Control module,
- Communication module
- back-up battery (if fitted),
- AECD information signal device,
- Network access antenna,

7.6.2 If the applicant for approval so requests, the following AECD components may be tested to Annex 7:
- AECD warning signal device,
- Hands-free audio equipment (microphones and loudspeakers),
- GNSS antenna and
- GNSS receiver

6.8 Hands-free audio performance

The AECD shall be capable of processing audio signals in such a way that, being installed in a vehicle, it is able to provide sufficient voice intelligibility for near and far listeners according to [Annex XXX] [P.Emergency ITU-T Recommendation]

8. Modification and extension of approval of the type of AECD

8.1 Every modification of the AECD type shall be notified to the administrative department which approved the AECD type. The department may then either:

8.1.1 Consider that the modifications made are unlikely to have an appreciable adverse effect, and that in any case the vehicle still complies with the requirements; or

8.1.2 Require a further test report from the technical service responsible for conducting the tests.

8.2 Notice of the confirmation of approval, specifying the alterations made, or refusal shall be communicated to the Parties to the Agreement applying this Regulation by means of a form conforming to the model in annex 1 to this Regulation.

8.3 The competent authority issuing the extension of approval shall assign a series number to each communication form drawn up for such extension.

9. Conformity of production

9.1 The conformity of production procedure shall comply with the requirements set out in the Agreement, Appendix 2 (E/ECE/324 E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.2).
9.2 Every AECD approved under this Regulation shall be so manufactured as to conform to the type approved by meeting the requirements set out in paragraph 7 above.

10. Penalties for non-conformity of production

10.1 The approval granted in respect of an AECD type pursuant to this Regulation may be withdrawn if the requirement laid down in paragraph 9.1 above is not complied with or if the AECD fails to pass the checks prescribed in paragraph 9.2 above.

10.2 If a Party to the Agreement which applies this Regulation withdraws an approval it has previously granted, it shall forthwith so notify the other Contracting Parties applying this Regulation by means of a copy of the approval form bearing at the end, in large letters, the signed and dated annotation “APPROVAL WITHDRAWN”.

11. Production definitively discontinued

If the holder of the approval completely ceases to manufacture a vehicle type approved in accordance with this Regulation, he shall so inform the authority which granted the approval. Upon receiving the relevant communication, that authority shall inform thereof the other Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation by means of a copy of the approval form bearing at the end, in large letters, the signed and dated annotation “PRODUCTION DISCONTINUED”.

12. Names and addresses of technical services responsible for conducting approval tests, and of administrative departments

The Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation shall communicate to the United Nations Secretariat the names and addresses of the technical services responsible for conducting approval tests and of the administrative departments which grant approval and to which forms certifying approval or refusal, or extension or withdrawal of approval, issued in other countries, are to be sent.

Part II APPROVAL OF VEHICLES WITH REGARD TO THE INSTALLATION OF AN AECD OF AN APPROVED TYPE

13. Definitions

For the purposes of Part II of this Regulation:

13.1 “Type of vehicle” with regard to its AECD means vehicles that do not differ in such essential respects as:
   (a) Their manufacturer;
   (b) The type of their AECD, or
   (c) Vehicle features which significantly influence the performances of the AECD

OICA: These 2 definitions can be deleted because the safe zone concept is no longer followed
13.2. “Safe Zone” means the zone limited by the safe zone borders and in which a fixed AECD is assumed to be safe from impact deterioration, excluding the glazing.

13.3. “Safe Zone borders” means the limits of the safe zone and are defined by
   - In X direction: Between two z-y planes coinciding with front axle and rear axle
   - In Y direction: Between the two z-x planes crossing the outer surface of the driver’s seat and crossing the outer surface of the outermost front passenger’s seat.

13.4. “Total permissible laden mass” means the vehicle technically permissible maximum mass stated by the manufacturer.

13.5. “R point” means a reference point defined for each seat by the manufacturer in relation to the vehicle's structure, as indicated in Annex 6 to Regulation No.94.

13.6. “Triggering signal” means a logic signal that requests MSD transmission.

13.7. “MSD” means a set of data as defined in Annex 11.

13.8. “AECS” (Accident Emergency Call System) means an AECD when installed in a vehicle.

13.9. “Multi-task display” means a display on which more than one message can be shown simultaneously.

OICA: These definitions are missing
13.10. AECS information signal device
   A device including its installation in the vehicle that provides information on the status of the emergency call transaction

13.11. AECS warning signal device
   A tell tale including its installation in the vehicle that provides an internal failure indication of the AECS

14. Application for approval of a vehicle type equipped with an AECD which has been approved to Part I of this regulation

14.1. The application for approval of a vehicle type equipped with an AECD shall be submitted by the holder of the trade name or mark or by his duly accredited representative.


14.3. For each vehicle type equipped with an AECD, the application shall be accompanied by samples of complete sets of vehicles in sufficient quantities for the tests prescribed by this regulation. Additional specimens may be called for at the request of the technical service responsible for conducting the test.

15. Approval

OICA: We have simplified this paragraph.
If the vehicle type submitted for approval pursuant to this Regulation meets the requirements of paragraph 15. below, approval of that vehicle type shall be granted.

Before granting approval for a vehicle type with regard to the installation of an AECD approved to Part I of this Regulation, the competent authority shall ensure that the verifications not being part of the Part I approval are included in the Part II approval.

Approval for a vehicle type with regard to the installation of an AECD shall be granted according to one of the approval procedures I and II described in Table 1.

Approval to a vehicle type with regard to the installation of an AECD may be granted also according to approval procedure III described in Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval procedure</th>
<th>HMI</th>
<th>GNSS functionality</th>
<th>Resistance to impact</th>
<th>Triggering signal emission</th>
<th>AECS functionality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval procedure</th>
<th>HMI</th>
<th>GNSS functionality</th>
<th>Resistance to impact</th>
<th>Triggering signal emission</th>
<th>AECS functionality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure I</td>
<td>Paragraph 16.2.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure II</td>
<td>Paragraph 16.2.2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure III</td>
<td>Paragraph 16.2.3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval procedure</th>
<th>HMI</th>
<th>GNSS functionality</th>
<th>Resistance to impact</th>
<th>Triggering signal emission</th>
<th>AECS functionality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval procedure</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>HMI</th>
<th>Resistance to impact &amp; Triggering signal emission</th>
<th>AECS functionality</th>
<th>HF Audio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure II</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paragraph 16.3.</td>
<td>Paragraph 17.3.3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Procedure III shall only apply to:
- the extension of type approvals to this Regulation, or
- vehicles already approved to Regulations Nos.94 and/or 95 (in line with paragraph 16.1.4) prior the entry into force of this regulation
Table 1: approval procedures

15.2 14.2. An approval number shall be assigned to each type approved. The first two digits (at present 00) shall indicate the series of amendments incorporating the most recent major technical amendments made to the Regulation at the time of issue of the approval. The same Contracting Party shall not assign the same number to another type of vehicle.

15.3 14.3 Notice of approval or of refusal, or of extension or withdrawal of approval, or of production definitively discontinued of a type of vehicle pursuant to this Regulation shall be communicated to the Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation by means of a form conforming to the model in annex 3 to this Regulation.

15.4 14.4 There shall be affixed, conspicuously and in a readily accessible place specified on the approval form, to every vehicle conforming to a vehicle type approved under this Regulation in the space referred to in paragraph 15.2 14.2 above, to every vehicle conforming to a type approved under this Regulation, in addition to the mark prescribed in paragraph 4.1., an international approval mark conforming to the model given in annex 2 §, consisting of:

15.4.1 14.4.1 A circle surrounding the letter “E” followed by the distinguishing number of the country which has granted approval;

15.4.2 14.4.2 The number of this Regulation, followed by the letter “R”, a dash and the approval number to the right of the circle prescribed in paragraph 15.4.1. 14.4.1.

15.5 14.5 The approval mark shall be clearly legible and be indelible.

16. 15. Requirements

16.15.1 General

16.15.1.1 The AECD installed in the vehicle shall be of a type approved under Part I of this Regulation.

16.15.1.2 The AECD shall be connected to the vehicle’s on-board electrical network, so that the AECD functions in all the required modes, and the backup battery (if fitted) is charged.

16.15.1.3 The installation of the AECD shall be such to obtain reception of the GNSS signal and access to a mobile telephone communication network. The applicant shall provide the relevant information with regard to the mobile network and GNSS to which the AECS is intended.

OICA: Replaces paragraph 16.15.4.

16.14 The installation of the AECD shall, upon receiving a trigger signal during the vehicle impact test described in this paragraph, ensure the AECS functionality described in paragraph 17.5

16.15.1.4 Vehicles of category M1 shall be subject to the following:

16.15.1.4.1 Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible laden mass less or equal to 2.5 tons and R-point height at or below 700 mm:

16.15.1.4.1.1 paragraphs 17.4.1 and 17.4.2 16.4.1. and 16.4.2, or paragraph 17.4.3 16.4.3

16.15.1.4.1.2 paragraphs 17.3.1 and 17.3.2 16.3.1 and 16.3.2, or paragraph 17.3.3 16.3.3.
16.1.4.1.3. paragraph 17.2 16.2.

16.1.4.2. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible laden mass less or equal to 2.5 tons and R-point height above 700 mm:

16.1.4.2.1. paragraph 17.4.1 16.4.1. or frontal impact provisions of paragraph 17.4.3 16.4.3.

16.1.4.2.2. paragraph 17.3.1 16.3.1. or frontal impact provisions of paragraph 17.3.3 16.3.3.

16.1.4.2.3. paragraph 17.2 16.2.

16.1.4.2. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible laden mass above 2.5 tons and R-point height less or equal to 700 mm:

16.1.4.2.1. paragraph 17.4.2 16.4.2. or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.4.3 16.4.3.

16.1.4.2.2. paragraph 17.3.2 16.3.2. or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.3.3 16.3.3.

16.1.4.3. paragraph 17.2 16.2.

OICA comment: Paragraph 17.2 (GNSS) has been deleted from part II (see further)

16.1.4.4. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible laden mass above 2.5 tons and R-point height above 700 mm: paragraphs 17.4 17.4.4. and 17.2 16.2.

16.1.5. Vehicles of category N1 shall be subject to the following:

16.1.5.1. Vehicles of category N1 with a R-point height at or below 700 mm:

16.1.5.1.1. paragraph 17.4.2 16.4.2. or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.4.3 16.4.3.

16.1.5.1.2. paragraph 17.3.2 16.3.2. or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.3.3 16.3.3.

16.1.5.1.3. paragraph 17.2 16.2.

OICA comment: Paragraph 17.2 (GNSS) has been deleted from part II (see further)

16.1.5.2. Vehicles of category N1 with a R-point height above 700 mm: paragraphs 17.4 17.4.4. and 17.2 16.2.

AECS pre-meeting: reference to par. 17.2 remains valid (see comment Par. 17.2)

16.1.6 Regardless of paragraph 16.1.5 15.4.5., vehicles that meet the technical requirements of Regulation No 95 without a side airbag are not subject to paragraphs 17.3.2., 17.3.3., 17.4.2 16.3.2., 16.3.3., 16.4.2 or and paragraph 17.4.3. 16.4.3.

16.1.6. AECS control

When the vehicle is fitted with an AECS control per paragraph 17.1 16.1., the AECS control shall fulfil the requirements of paragraphs 16.2.1 to 16.2.3 15.2.1. to 15.2.3.

OICA: wording

16.1.6.2.1. The AECS control shall be installed such to comply with the relevant installation requirements and transitional provisions of Regulation No.121.
AECS pre-meeting: At the next AECS-09 we need to review the proposed amendments to the R121 amendment proposal.

16.15.2.2. The AECS control shall be designed and/or placed in such a way that the risk of an inadvertent activation is reduced.

OICA: This par. 16.2.3 can be deleted. 16.2.2 is also applicable to multi task displays. No need for a dedicated requirement

AECS pre-meeting: not agreed. This requirement for multi task display is in order to prevent that the control is to “deep” down the multi task disk display menu

16.15.2.3. If the AECS control is embedded into a multi-task display, its operation shall be possible with two deliberate actions or less.

16.15.2.4. If the AECS control assessment is not part of the AECD approval per Part I of this regulation, the emergency call control functionality shall be subject to paragraph 17.5

16.15.3. AECD information and warning signal

The following provisions [are applicable if the AECD warning signal verification is not part of the approval of an AECD in a vehicle per Part I of this regulation and] shall be verified by compliance with the provisions of paragraphs 17.1 and 17.5

16.15.3.1. The AECS information and/or warning signal shall be installed such to comply with the relevant installation requirements of Regulation No.121.

16.3.2. Information shall be provided regarding the status of the connection emergency call transaction when the AECS is automatically or manually activated.

OICA:
- Align with Part I par. 7.4.2.
- The AECD malfunction strategy shall be decided by the OEM

16.15.3.3. A warning signal shall be provided when the onboard AECS is not functioning properly. Visual indication of the AECD malfunction shall be displayed at all times while ignition is turned on or the vehicle master control switch is activated (whatever applicable).

A warning signal shall be provided in case of AECD internal malfunction. Visual indication of the AECD malfunction shall be displayed while the failure is present. It may be cancelled temporarily, but shall be repeated whenever the ignition or the vehicle master control switch is being activated (whatever applicable).

16.3.4 The manufacturer shall provide a statement which explains malfunction indication strategy of the AECS system.

The manufacturer shall provide the type-approval authority with an explanation and technical documentation which shows, in overall terms, how this is achieved. This documentation shall be maintained by the manufacturer and shall be made open for inspection by the technical service at the time of the type approval.”

AECS pre-meeting: same comment as for Part I

EU: EU eCall draft will also foresee testing of the malfunctions that are part of the OEM strategy. EU’s draft will be communicated at the next EU MVWG on 02.07.2015 and maybe used as a EU position for AECS-09.

GU and TRL have been working together on this EU proposal.
Conclusion: to be discussed at AECS-09

OICA: Now covered under par. 16.1.4.

16.15.4. The AECS shall properly function after the vehicle has suffered a serious road accident. This shall be verified by compliance with the provisions of paragraph 17.5.16.5.

OICA:

- Our proposal is to have a simple intelligibility check by dedicated phrases as part of the post-crash assessment for the driver only
- To select the language of this test we would refer to the same principle as UNECE R130 LDWS (Regional lane markings)

AECS pre-meeting:

- EU tend to support the idea
- The Russian delegation is rather of the opinion that a min. set of objective requirements would be required and would prefer that at least a part of the ITU-P would be retained in the AECS Regulation

16.45.5. Hands-free audio performance

The AECS shall AECD should be installed in a vehicle in such a way that it provides sufficient voice intelligibility for the vehicle driver occupant and the PSAP near and far listeners according to [Annex XXX] [P. Emergency ITU-T Recommendation]

16.5.1 Testing languages

16.5.1.1 The languages used in the hands free audio performance intelligibility test shall be those of one of the Contracting Parties as identified in Annex 9 and 10 to this Regulation, with the sentences being voiced in good pronunciation. The language used for the testing shall be noted in the test report.

16.5.1.2 The vehicle manufacturer shall demonstrate, through the use of documentation, compliance with all the other languages identified in Annex 9, appendix 1 to this Regulation. Any such documentation shall be appended to the test report.

16.5.1.3 In the case the vehicle type may be equipped with different variants of the AECS with regional specific adjustments, the manufacturer shall demonstrate through documentation that the requirements of this Regulation are fulfilled in all variants.

OICA: we are of the opinion there is no need for such an extensive power supply check in Part II

(see also OICA presentation on power supply)

In case of a back-up battery, the performance and capacity has already been checked in part I. In part II it is sufficient to check if the AECS is operational after the R94/R95 impact test. As is done in Par 17.5

In case of using the vehicle main power supply, this power supply is largely sufficient to cover the 5-60-5 energy need of an AECS because it represents only a fraction of the energy capacity of a regular vehicle battery.
In our view the proposal that the power supply has to be guaranteed with all vehicle system users on, is not realistic because this would require the vehicle battery to be dimensioned to the total energy need of all vehicle systems for 70 min. This is not the purpose of a vehicle battery.

In this respect from our point of view it is sufficient to check if the AECS is operational after the R94/R95 impact test. As is done in Par 17.5

-> either delete 16.6 completely or include a reference to par. 17.5 rather than par. 17.6

AECS pre-meeting:

- not acceptable for Russia and the Netherlands.

Counterproposal should either
- address the power management strategy of the OEM after a crash which demonstrates 5-60-5 can be ensured
or
- in case of lack of power management system the AECS group agrees on a reasonable list of “consumers” that are likely to remain operational after an impact (DRL, indicators, wipers)

[16.6. Verification of AECS power supply performance

The AECS shall be properly powered after the vehicle has suffered a serious road accident. This shall be verified by compliance with the provisions of paragraph 17.6.17.5]

17. 46. Performance requirements

OICA: This visual check pre-crash has no meaning if the AECS operation is checked post crash

17.16.1. Verification of the HMI installation

Manual control, tell-tale, microphone and loudspeaker installation shall be verified by visual inspection.

OICA: Paragraph 17.2 can be deleted. If GNSS is selected to be part of the approval it has already been verified under Part I as AECD

AECS pre-meeting: On request of OEM the GNSS functionality (pre-crash) of Part I, par. 7.2 may have to be copied into this par. 17.2. For example if the vehicle navigation system is being used also for the emergency call system it would make sense to perform this check in part II and/or part III

17.2.16.2. Verification of GNSS functionality

Verification of the GNSS functionality shall be performed at the request of the manufacturer, either

17.2.16.2.1. by using simulated GNSS signal, or
17.2.2.16.2.2. by functional check according to Annex 10.8.

OICA: we propose following changes

- combine both paragraphs 17.3 and 17.4 into one paragraph to avoid repeating the same text twice
- Delete the “safe zone” concept and replace by a reference to existing materials
17.3 Verification of the trigger signal as well as the AECD AECS after a vehicle impact test resistance to impact

Subject to the approval procedures defined in paragraph 15.1, the verification of the trigger signal as well as the AECD AECS functionality per par. 17.5 resistance to impact and resistance to impact shall be performed either

17.3.1 When simulating performing a collision of the vehicle according to during tests under Regulation No.94 (frontal collision),

17.3.2 When simulating performing a collision of the vehicle according to during tests under Regulation No.95 (lateral collision), or

17.3.3 Subject to Footnote 2 to par. 15.1, when demonstrating with existing documentation (report, images, simulation data or equivalent) that during a Regulation No.94 and Regulation No.95 test

- a triggering signal was generated
- the installation of AECD is not adversely affected by the impact to the vehicle

17.3.16.3 Verification of the AECS after vehicle impact test

Subject to the approval procedures defined in paragraph 15.1 14.1, the verification of the AECS functionality resistance to impact shall be performed either

17.3.16.3.1 When performing a collision of the vehicle according to Regulation No.94 (frontal collision),

17.3.216.3.2 When performing a collision of the vehicle according to Regulation No.95 (lateral collision), or

17.3.316.3.3 Subject to Footnote 2 to paragraph 15.1 14.1, when demonstrating that

- the pulse according to Regulation No.17, annex 9 has no negative effect on the AECD functioning,
- the AECD is located within the safe zone borders as defined in paragraph 13.2 12.2,
- specific components that are located outside of the safe zone are not negatively affected, and
- if components of the AECS are out of the above defined area, the requirements are also deemed to be fulfilled if the manufacturer can show to the satisfaction of the technical service that the post crash functioning is given. This can be e.g.:
  ▪ Relevant components are in non-deformed areas,
  ▪ Relevant functions are redundant, or
  ▪ (others, tbd.)

17.4 16.4 Verification of the triggering signal emission

Subject to the approval procedures defined in paragraph 15.1 14.1, the verification of the triggering signal emission shall be performed either

17.4.1 16.4.1 When simulating a collision of the vehicle during tests under Regulation No.94 (frontal collision),

17.4.2 16.4.2 When simulating a collision of the vehicle during tests under Regulation No.95 (lateral collision), or
17.4.3 At the request of the manufacturer, when demonstrating with existing documentation (report, images, simulation data or equivalent) that during a Regulation No.94 and Regulation No.95 test a triggering signal was generated.

17.4.4 For the purpose of paragraph 16.1.4.4 and paragraph 16.1.5.2, only, the manufacturer shall demonstrate with existing documentation (report, images, drawing simulation data or equivalent) that a triggering signal is available for the purpose of AECS.
OICA: we propose following changes to align Annex 9 and Annex 10. In those annexes there are 6 methods to verify the AECS functionality
1. Using actual network (Annex 9)
2. Using simulator (Annex 9)
3. Using AECS self-diagnosis (annex 10, paragraph 2)
4. Using AECS internal memory check (annex 10, paragraph 3)
5. Using AECS separate component functional check (annex 10, paragraph 4)
6. Using AECS wired check (annex 10, paragraph 5)

- Method 3 can be deleted as it is a part of method 4
- Later on annex 9 and annex 10 can be consolidated into one annex. (we haven’t done yet to keep some clarity in the document amendment process)

17.5 Verification of AECS functionality (emission of Ecall, HMI functionality and MSD)

The following items shall be verified according to the test procedures of Annex 9 or paragraph 4 or 5 to Annex 10 of this regulation as appropriate:

17.5.1 Activation of automatic call
17.5.2 When relevant, manual emergency call control operation

OICA: This is a correction of the wording. It is the information signal and not the warning signal that needs to be verified post crash:

17.5.3 Warning Information signal generation and microphone operation
17.5.4 Recording, content and emission of Minimum Set of Data (MSD)

OICA: This can be deleted see previous comment to paragraph 16.6.

17.6 Verification of AECS power supply performance

If AECS power supply assessment is not part of AECD approval according to paragraph 6.6 of Part I of this Regulation, then AECS power supply has to be assessed by one of the following methods:

17.6.1 If the vehicle is subject to the test under Regulation No. 94, then it shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Technical service that the AECS is able to operate after the Regulation 94 test for a period of first not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode followed by 60 minutes in call-back mode (idle mode, registered in the network) and finally not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode. This shall be done via

- Performing the sequence of 5 minutes voice call followed by 60 minutes of standby and finally 5 minutes of voice call, or
- Demonstrating that
  - the capacity of the standard vehicle battery (power supply) is sufficient to supply power to all vehicle systems for a period of at least 70 minutes, including AECS, performing the sequence of 5 minutes voice call followed by 60 minutes of standby and finally 5 minutes of voice call, and
  - the main battery (power supply) was not damaged during the Regulation No.94 test

17.6.2 If the vehicle is not subject to the test under Regulation No. 94, and is subject to the test under Regulation No. 95, then it shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Technical service that the AECS is able to operate
after the Regulation 95 test for a period of first not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode followed by 60 minutes in call-back mode (idle mode, registered in the network) and finally not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode. This shall be done via demonstrating that

- the capacity of the standard vehicle battery (power supply) is sufficient to supply power to all vehicle systems for a period of at least 70 minutes, including AECS, performing the sequence of 5 minutes voice call followed by 60 minutes of standby and finally 5 minutes of voice call, and

- the main battery (power supply) is not damaged during sled test according to Annex 4

17.6.3 If the vehicle is not subject to the test under Regulation No. 94 or 95, then it shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Technical Service that the AECS is able to operate after crash test for a period of first not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode followed by 60 minutes in call-back mode (idle mode, registered in the network) and finally not less than 5 minutes in voice communication mode. This shall be done by

- providing documentation demonstrating that the capacity of the standard vehicle battery (power supply) is sufficient to supply power to all vehicle systems for a period of at least 70 minutes, including AECS, performing the sequence of 5 minutes voice call followed by 60 minutes of standby and finally 5 minutes of voice call in post-crash scenario, and

- verifying that main battery (power supply) is not damaged during sled test according to Annex 4

17.6.4 Tests described in paragraphs 17.6.1 and 17.6.2 shall be performed in the following conditions:

- Vehicle battery (power supply) is fully charged at the time the test begins, at the discretion of the applicant;

- Ambient air temperature: \((25 \pm 10) ^{\circ} C\)

- Average network signal strength

18. 17. Modifications and extension of approval of a vehicle type equipped with an AECD which has been approved to Part I of this regulation

18.1 17.1 Every modification of the vehicle type shall be notified to the administrative department which approved the vehicle type. The department may then either:

18.1.1 17.1.1 Consider that the modifications made are unlikely to have an appreciable adverse effect, and that in any case the vehicle still complies with the requirements; or

18.1.2 17.1.2 Require a further test report from the technical service responsible for conducting the tests.

18.2 17.2 Notice of the confirmation of approval, specifying the alterations made, or refusal shall be communicated to the Parties to the Agreement applying this Regulation by means of a form conforming to the model in annex 2 to this Regulation.
18.3 The competent authority issuing the extension of approval shall assign a series number to each communication form drawn up for such extension.

19. Conformity of production

19.1 The conformity of production procedure shall comply with the requirements set out in the Agreement, Appendix 2 (E/ECE/324 E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.2).

19.2 Every vehicle approved under this Regulation shall be so manufactured as to conform to the type approved by meeting the requirements set out in paragraph 16 above.

20. Penalties for non-conformity of production

20.1 The approval granted in respect of a vehicle type pursuant to this Regulation may be withdrawn if the requirement laid down in paragraph 19.1 above is not complied with or if the vehicle fails to pass the checks prescribed in paragraph 19.2 above.

20.2 If a Party to the Agreement which applies this Regulation withdraws an approval it has previously granted, it shall forthwith so notify the other Contracting Parties applying this Regulation by means of a copy of the approval form bearing at the end, in large letters, the signed and dated annotation “APPROVAL WITHDRAWN”.

21. Production definitively discontinued

If the holder of the approval completely ceases to manufacture a vehicle type approved in accordance with this Regulation, he or she shall so inform the authority which granted the approval. Upon receiving the relevant communication, that authority shall inform thereof the other Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation by means of a copy of the approval form bearing at the end, in large letters, the signed and dated annotation “PRODUCTION DISCONTINUED”.

22. Names and addresses of technical services responsible for conducting approval tests, and of administrative departments

The Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation shall communicate to the United Nations Secretariat the names and addresses of the technical services responsible for conducting approval tests and of the administrative departments which grant approval and to which forms certifying approval or refusal, or extension or withdrawal of approval, issued in other countries, are to be sent.

Part III APPROVAL OF VEHICLES WITH REGARD TO THEIR AECS OR EQUIPPED WITH AN AECD WHICH HAS NOT BEEN SEPARATELY APPROVED ACCORDING TO PART I OF THIS REGULATION

23. Definitions

For the purposes of Part III of this Regulation:
23.1. “Type of vehicle” with regard to its AECD means vehicles that do not differ in such essential respects as:
(a) Their manufacturer;
(b) The type of their AECD, or
(c) Vehicle features which significantly influence the performances of the AECD

OICA: (ACEA comment): the 2nd bullet below can be deleted. It is already covered by the first sub-bullet point. It could be confusing, also because there is no definition of “fully integrated”. In case this paragraph will be changed, then the other paragraphs in the document marked in yellow also have to be modified accordingly.

OICA pre-meeting:
- Unify wording
  Part I: AECD
  Part II: AECD when installed in the vehicle
  Part III: AECS

23.2. “AECS” (Accident Emergency Call System) means
- an AECD when installed in a vehicle, or
- an AECD fully integrated to the vehicle [electrical and data networks], such that its components cannot be separately tested.

23.3. “Multi-task display” means a display on which more than one message can be shown simultaneously.

24. Application for approval of a vehicle type equipped with an AECS

24.1 The application for approval of a type of vehicle equipped with an AECS shall be submitted by the holder of the trade name or mark or by his duly accredited representative.

24.2 A model of the information document is given in Annex 3.

24.3 For each vehicle type equipped with an AECS, the application shall be accompanied by samples of complete sets of vehicles in sufficient quantities for the tests prescribed by this regulation. Additional specimens may be called for at the request of the technical service responsible for conducting the test.

25. Approval

25.1 If the vehicle type submitted for approval in accordance with paragraph 22 above meets the requirements of paragraph 24 of this Regulation, approval shall be granted.

25.2. An approval number shall be assigned to each type approved. The first two digits (at present 00) shall indicate the series of amendments incorporating the most recent major technical amendments made to the Regulation at the time of issue of the approval. The same Contracting Party shall not assign the same number to another type of vehicle.

25.3 Notice of approval or of refusal, or of extension or withdrawal of approval, or of production definitively discontinued of a type of vehicle pursuant to this Regulation shall be communicated to the Parties to the Agreement which apply
25.4 There shall be affixed, conspicuously and in a readily accessible place specified on the approval form, to every vehicle conforming to a vehicle type approved under this Regulation, an international approval mark conforming to the model given in annex 3, consisting of:

25.4.1 A circle surrounding the letter “E” followed by the distinguishing number of the country which has granted approval;
25.4.2 The number of this Regulation, followed by the letter “R”, a dash and the approval number to the right of the circle prescribed in paragraph 14.4.1.

25.5 The approval mark shall be clearly legible and be indelible.

26. **Requirements**

26.1 General

26.1.1. The AECD installed in the vehicle shall not be of a type approved under Part I of this Regulation, or shall be fully integrated per paragraph 23.2.

26.1.2. In case of an AECD, it shall be connected to the vehicle’s on-board electrical network, so that the AECD functions in all the required modes, and the backup battery (if fitted) is charged. In case of a fully integrated AECS, it shall be such that it functions in all the required modes, and the backup battery (if fitted) is charged.

26.1.3. The AECS, or the installation of the AECD when relevant, shall be such to obtain reception of the GNSS signal and access to a mobile telephone communication network.

The applicant shall provide the relevant information with regard to the mobile network and GNSS to which the AECS is intended.

26.1.4 Vehicles of category M1 shall be subject to the following:

26.1.4.1. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible mass less or equal to 2.5 tons and R-point height at or below 700 mm:

26.1.4.1.1. paragraphs 17.4.1 and 17.4.2, or paragraph 17.4.3
26.1.4.1.2. paragraphs 17.3.1 and 17.3.2, or paragraph 17.4.3
26.1.4.1.3. paragraph 17.2

26.1.4.2. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible mass less or equal to 2.5 tons and R-point height above 700 mm:

26.1.4.2.1. paragraph 17.4.1 or frontal impact provisions of paragraph 17.4.3.
26.1.4.2.2. paragraph 17.3.1 or frontal impact provisions of paragraph 17.3.3
26.1.4.2.3. paragraph 17.2.

26.1.4.3. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible mass above 2.5 tons and R-point height less or equal to 700 mm:

26.1.4.3.1. paragraph 17.4.2 or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.4.3
26.1.4.3.2. paragraph 17.3.2 or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.3.3
26.1.4.3.3. paragraph 17.2.

26.1.4.4. Vehicles of category M1 with a total permissible mass above 2.5 tons and R-point height above 700 mm: paragraphs 17.4.4 and 17.2
26.1.5. Vehicles of category N1 shall be subject to the following:

26.1.5.1. Vehicles of category N1 with a R-point height at or below 700 mm:

26.1.5.1.1. paragraph 17.4.2 or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.4.3.
26.1.5.1.2. paragraph 17.3.2 or side impact provisions of paragraph 17.3.3.
26.1.5.1.3. paragraph 17.2

26.1.5.2. Vehicles of category N1 with a R-point height above 700 mm: paragraphs 17.4.4 and 17.2.

26.1.6 Regardless of paragraph 24.1.5, vehicles that meet the technical requirements of Regulation No 95 without a side airbag are not subject to paragraphs 17.3.2., 17.3.3., 17.4.2 and paragraph 17.4.3.

26.2. AECS control

When the vehicle is fitted with an AECS control per paragraph 17.1, the AECS control shall fulfil the requirements of paragraphs 16.2.1 to 16.2.3.

26.2.1. The AECS control shall be installed such to comply with the relevant installation requirements of Regulation No.121.

26.2.2. The AECS control shall be designed and/or placed in such a way that the risk of an inadvertent activation is reduced.

26.2.3. If the AECS control is embedded into a multi-task display, its operation shall be possible with two deliberate actions or less.

26.2.4. The emergency call control functionality shall be subject to paragraph 17.5.

26.3. AECS information and warning signal

The following provisions shall be verified by compliance with the provisions of paragraphs 17.1. and 17.5.

26.3.1. The AECS information and/or warning signal shall be installed such to comply with the relevant installation requirements of Regulation No.121.

26.3.2. Information shall be provided regarding the status of the emergency call transaction when the AECS is automatically or manually activated.

26.3.3. A warning signal shall be provided when the AECS is not functioning properly. Visual indication of the AECS malfunction shall be displayed at all times while ignition is turned on or the vehicle master control switch is activated (whatever applicable).

26.4. The AECS shall properly function after the vehicle has suffered a serious road accident. This shall be verified by compliance with the provisions of paragraph 17.5.

26.5. Hands-free audio performance

The AECS shall provide sufficient voice intelligibility for the vehicle occupant and the PSAP according to [Annex XXX] [P.Emergency ITU-T Recommendation]

26.6. Verification of AECS power supply performance

The AECS shall be properly powered after the vehicle has suffered a serious road accident. This shall be verified by compliance with the provisions of paragraph 17.6.

26.7 Resistance to impact (eventually move up the text to par. 26.1.4 to respect logic order of testing, HMI control, GNSS, sled test, R94/R95 ….)
26.7.1 The AECS shall remain operational after impact.
26.7.2 If technically feasible to detach from the vehicle, this shall be demonstrated according to Annex 7 and Annex 9, paragraphs 1 (MSD emission) and paragraph 2 (MSD emission assessment) and 5.2 (status indication).

26.7.2.1. The following AECS components shall be tested to Annex 7:
- Control module,
- Communication module
- back-up battery (if fitted),
- AECS information signal device,
- Network access antenna,

26.7.2.2. If the applicant for approval so requests, the following AECS components may be tested to Annex 7:
- AECS warning signal device,
- Hands-free audio equipment (microphones and loudspeakers),
- GNSS antenna and
- GNSS receiver

6.2.7.2 Position determination
AECD shall:
- If the AECD is fitted, in accordance with paragraph 1.4., with GNSS receiver supporting at least three GNSS including GLONASS, Galileo and GPS, and is capable of reception and processing of SBAS signals, then the AECD shall comply with the requirements of paragraphs 6.2.1 to 7.2.6.
AECD compliance with respect to positioning capabilities shall be demonstrated by performing test methods described in Annex 8:
Test methods for the navigation module.
- If the AECD is not fitted with GNSS receiver supporting at least three GNSS including GLONASS, Galileo and GPS, and capable of reception and processing of SBAS signals, then AECD shall comply with the Contracting Party national regulation requirements.

6.2.1 7.2.1 Horizontal position error under open sky conditions and speed up to [140] km/h shall not exceed 15 m for 95% of the measurements done.

6.2.2 7.2.2 Horizontal position error in urban canyon conditions and speed up to [140] km/h shall not exceed 40 m for 95% of the measurements done.

6.2.3 7.2.3 Sensitivity at receiver input shall be:
- acquisition - at least minus 144 dBm
- tracking: at least minus 155 dBm
- reacquisition - at least minus 150 dBm

6.2.4 7.2.4 Time to first fix shall not exceed
- 60 sec for signal level down to minus 130 dBm
- 300 sec for signal level down to minus 140 dBm

6.2.5 7.2.5 Re-acquisition time after block out of 60 sec at signal level down to minus 130 dBm shall not exceed 20 sec.

7.2.6. The testing procedures in Annex 8 can be performed either on the AECD unit including post processing ability or directly on the GNSS receiver being a part of the AECD.
27. Modifications and extension of approval of a vehicle type equipped with an AECS

27.1 Every modification of the vehicle type shall be notified to the administrative department which approved the vehicle type. The department may then either:

27.1.1 Consider that the modifications made are unlikely to have an appreciable adverse effect, and that in any case the vehicle still complies with the requirements; or

27.1.2 Require a further test report from the technical service responsible for conducting the tests.

27.2 Notice of the confirmation of approval, specifying the alterations made, or refusal shall be communicated to the Parties to the Agreement applying this Regulation by means of a form conforming to the model in annex 3 to this Regulation.

27.3 The competent authority issuing the extension of approval shall assign a series number to each communication form drawn up for such extension.

28. Conformity of production

28.1 The conformity of production procedure shall comply with the requirements set out in the Agreement, Appendix 2 (E/ECE/324 E/ECE/TRANS/505/Rev.2).

28.2 Every vehicle approved under this Regulation shall be so manufactured as to conform to the type approved by meeting the requirements set out in paragraph 26 above.

29. Penalties for non-conformity of production

29.1 The approval granted in respect of a vehicle type pursuant to this Regulation may be withdrawn if the requirement laid down in paragraph 28.1 above is not complied with or if the vehicle fails to pass the checks prescribed in paragraph 28.2 above.

29.2 If a Party to the Agreement which applies this Regulation withdraws an approval it has previously granted, it shall forthwith so notify the other Contracting Parties applying this Regulation by means of a copy of the approval form bearing at the end, in large letters, the signed and dated annotation “APPROVAL WITHDRAWN”.

30. Production definitively discontinued

If the holder of the approval completely ceases to manufacture a vehicle type approved in accordance with this Regulation, he or she shall so inform the authority which granted the approval. Upon receiving the relevant communication, that authority shall inform thereof the other Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation by means of a copy of the approval form bearing at the end, in large letters, the signed and dated annotation “PRODUCTION DISCONTINUED”.

27
31. Names and addresses of technical services responsible for conducting approval tests, and of administrative departments

The Parties to the Agreement which apply this Regulation shall communicate to the United Nations Secretariat the names and addresses of the technical services responsible for conducting approval tests and of the administrative departments which grant approval and to which forms certifying approval or refusal, or extension or withdrawal of approval, issued in other countries, are to be sent.
Annex 1 XX

Communication

(Maximum format: A4 (210 x 297 mm))

issued by:

Name of administration:

Approval granted
Approval extended
Approval refused
Approval withdrawn
Production definitively discontinued

corresponding to

Approval No. .............................................  Extension No. ...........................................

1. Trade name or mark of device:  ....................................................................................
2. Manufacturer’s name for the type of device: ............................................................... 
3. Manufacturer’s name and address: ..............................................................................
4. If applicable, name and address of manufacturer's representative: ............................
5. Submitted for approval on: ........................................................................................
6. Technical Service responsible for conducting approval tests: ...................................
7. Date of report issued by that Service ...........................................................................
8. Number of report issued by that Service .....................................................................
9. Brief description ...........................................................................................................

AECD information and warning signal: yes/no……………………………………
Hands-free audio equipment (micros and speakers): yes/no ……………………. 
Back-up battery: yes/no…………………………………….
Network access device antenna: yes/no ………………………………….
GNSS antenna: yes/no …………………………….
GNSS receiver: yes/no …………………………….

10. Component was tested according to the sled test of Annex 4 (components necessary for sending the MSD are by default tested to Annex 4 –TCU, back-up battery, AECD information signal, GNSS antenna when internal to TCU, GNSS receiver when internal to the TCU):

AECD warning signal: yes/no……………………
Hands-free audio equipment (micros and speakers): yes/no ……………………. 
GNSS antenna (when external to the TCU): yes/no …………………………….

1 Distinguishing number of the country which has granted/extended/refused/withdrawn approval (see approval provisions in the Regulations).

5 Strike out what does not apply.
GNSS receiver (when external to the TCU): yes/no ..............................................

11. Position of the approval mark: ..............................................................................
12. Reason(s) for extension (if applicable): .................................................................
13. Approval granted/refused/extended/withdrawn?
14. Place: ....................................................................................................................
15. Date: .......................................................................................................................
16. Signature: ............................................................................................................... 
17. The list of documents deposited with the Type Approval Authority which has 
granted approval is annexed to this communication and may be obtained on request.

Annex 2 YY

Communication

(Maximum format: A4 (210 x 297 mm))

1. Trade name or mark of device: ................................................................................
2. Manufacturer’s name for the type of device: ...........................................................
3. Manufacturer’s name and address: .........................................................................
4. If applicable, name and address of manufacturer's representative: .......................
5. Submitted for approval on: .....................................................................................
6. Technical Service responsible for conducting approval tests: ...............................
7. Date of report issued by that Service ........................................................................

---

1 Distinguishing number of the country which has granted/extended/refused/withdrawn approval (see 
approval provisions in the Regulations).
7 Strike out what does not apply.
8. Number of report issued by that Service ..........................................................
9. Brief description ............................................................................................
   AECID information and warning signal: yes/no ............................................
10. Position of the approval mark: .....................................................................
11. Reason(s) for extension (if applicable): ........................................................
12. Approval granted/refused/extended/withdrawn?
13. Place: ...........................................................................................................
14. Date: .............................................................................................................
15. Signature: ....................................................................................................
16. The list of documents deposited with the Type Approval Authority which has
    granted approval is annexed to this communication and may be obtained on request.

Annex 3 ZZ

Communication

(Maximum format: A4 (210 x 297 mm))

issued by: Name of administration:

concerning*: Approval granted
   Approval extended
   Approval refused
   Approval withdrawn
   Production definitively discontinued

of a type of vehicle of category M1 or N1 approved pursuant Part III of Regulation
No. AECS.

Approval No. .................................................. Extension No. ..........................

1. Trade name or mark of device: ......................................................................
2. Manufacturer’s name for the type of device: .................................................
3. Manufacturer’s name and address: ...............................................................
4. If applicable, name and address of manufacturer’s representative: ...............  
5. Submitted for approval on: ...........................................................................
6. Technical Service responsible for conducting approval tests: ......................

---

* Distinguishing number of the country which has granted/extended/refused/withdrawn approval (see
approval provisions in the Regulations).

* Strike out what does not apply.
7. Date of report issued by that Service .................................................................
8. Number of report issued by that Service ............................................................
9. Brief description ..................................................................................................
10. Position of the approval mark: .........................................................................
11. Reason(s) for extension (if applicable): .............................................................
12. Approval granted/refused/extended/withdrawn?:
13. Place: ................................................................................................................
14. Date: ..................................................................................................................
15. Signature: .......................................................................................................... 
16. The list of documents deposited with the Type Approval Authority which has granted approval is annexed to this communication and may be obtained on request.
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Information document relating to the type approval of an emergency call device (AECD)

The following information, if applicable, shall be supplied in triplicate and shall include a list of contents.

Any drawings shall be supplied in appropriate scale and in sufficient detail on size A4 paper or on a folder of A4 format.

Photographs, if any, shall show sufficient detail.

1. Make (trade name of manufacturer): .................................................................
2. Type and general commercial description(s): ......................................................
3. Means of identification of type, if marked on the device: ....................................
4. Name and address of manufacturer: .................................................................
5. Location of and method of affixing the approval mark: .....................................
6. Address(es) of assembly plant(s): ....................................................................
7. Arrangement (indicate components covered by the application of this type approval included in on delivery): .................................................................
8. Description of method(s) of attachment to the vehicle (for aftermarket devices only);
9. Sufficiently detailed drawings to identify the complete device, including installation instructions (for aftermarket devices only); the position for the type-approval mark must be indicated on the drawings: .................................
Annex 5 2

Information document relating to the type approval of a vehicle with regard to the installation of emergency call devices

The following information, if applicable, shall be supplied in triplicate and shall include a list of contents.

Any drawings shall be supplied in appropriate scale and in sufficient detail on size A4 paper or on a folder of A4 format.

Photographs, if any, shall show sufficient detail.

General

1. Make (trade name of manufacturer): .................................................................
2. Type and general commercial description(s): ....................................................
3. Means of identification of type, if marked on the vehicle: ................................
4. Location of the marking: .................................................................................
5. Location of and method of affixing the approval mark: ....................................
6. Category of vehicle: .........................................................................................
7. Name and address of manufacturer: .................................................................
8. Address(es) of assembly plant(s): ....................................................................
9. Photograph(s) and/or drawing(s) of a representative vehicle: ..........................
10. E-call device/system
10.1 Make (trade name of manufacturer): .............................................................
10.2 Type and general commercial description(s): ...............................................  
10.3 Arrangement (indicate components included in delivery): ............................
10.4 Description of means of automatic transmission of information about the accident (if fitted): ........................................................................................................
10.5 Description of method(s) of attachment to the vehicle: ..................................
10.6 Drawing(s) showing the position of the e-call device/system: ..........................
11. Approval procedure (I, II, III)...
Annex 6.3

Information document relating to the type approval of a vehicle with regard to AECS

The following information, if applicable, shall be supplied in triplicate and shall include a list of contents.

Any drawings shall be supplied in appropriate scale and in sufficient detail on size A4 paper or on a folder of A4 format.

Photographs, if any, shall show sufficient detail.

General

1. Make (trade name of manufacturer): .................................................................
2. Type and general commercial description(s): ......................................................
3. Means of identification of type, if marked on the vehicle: ......................................
4. Location of the marking: ....................................................................................
5. Location of and method of affixing the approval mark: ........................................
6. Category of vehicle: ...........................................................................................
7. Name and address of manufacturer: .................................................................
8. Address(es) of assembly plant(s): ......................................................................
9. Photograph(s) and/or drawing(s) of a representative vehicle: ..............................
10. E-call device/system

10.1 Make (trade name of manufacturer): ..............................................................
10.2 Type and general commercial description(s): ..................................................
10.3 Arrangement (indicate components included in delivery): ..............................
10.4 Description of means of automatic transmission of information about the accident (if fitted): .....................................................................................
Test method for resistance to mechanical impact
(paragraphs 7.6. 6.4 and 17.3. 16.2.3)

**OICA**: We still have no unified OICA position as to accept the proposed test pulse.

1. **Purpose**
   The purpose of this test is to verify the safety performance of the representative AECD installation under inertial loads which may occur during a vehicle crash.

2. **Installation**
   2.1. This test shall be conducted either with the complete representative AECD installation or with related representative AECD installation subsystem(s) including the electrical connections. If the manufacturer chooses to test with related subsystem(s), the manufacturer shall demonstrate that the test result can reasonably represent the performance of the complete representative AECD installation with respect to its safety performance under the same conditions.

   2.2. The tested-device shall be connected to the test fixture only by the intended mountings provided for the purpose of attaching the representative AECD installation.

3. **Procedures**
   3.1. General test conditions and requirements
   The following condition shall apply to the test:
   (a) The test shall be conducted at an ambient temperature of 20 ± 10 °C,
   (b) At the beginning of the test, the battery shall be charged at the level recommended by the manufacturer;
   (c) At the beginning of the test, all protection devices which effect the function of the tested-device and which are relevant to the outcome of the test, shall be operational.

   3.2. Test procedure
   The AECD shall be decelerated or, at the choice of the applicant, accelerated in compliance with the acceleration corridors which are specified in Table 1. The Technical Service in consultation with the manufacturer shall decide whether the tests shall be conducted in either the positive or negative direction or both.

   For each of the test pulses specified, a separate tested-device may be used.

   The test pulse shall be within the minimum and maximum value as specified in Table 1. A higher shock level and/or longer duration as described in the maximum value in Table 1 can be applied to the AECD if recommended by the manufacturer.
Figure 1
Generic description of test pulses

Table 1 for M1 and N1 vehicles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
<th>Acceleration (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 8.5

Test method for navigation solution (paragraphs 7.2 6.2 17.2 16.3)

1. Definitions

For the purposes of this Annex:

1.1 “Global Navigation Satellite System” (GNSS) is a satellite system that is used to pinpoint the location, speed and time of a user's receiver in any point of the Earth surface, water area of the World Ocean, air space, and in the near-Earth space environment.

1.2 “Global Navigation Satellite System receiver” (“GNSS receiver”) - a component of an AECD designed to determine time, the position and direction of the vehicle using signals from global navigation satellite systems.

1.3 “Satellite-Based Augmentation System” (SBAS) is a system ensuring the correction of local errors of GNSS systems due to interferences via a network of ground-based stations. (ex: EGNOS, WAAS, SDCM, QZSS)

1.4 “Cold” start mode” – the condition of navigation module when position, velocity, time, almanac and ephemerides data is not stored in the receiver, the navigation solution is to be calculated by means of a full sky search;

1.5 “Test mode” – the function mode of the AECD meant for the check of functions and parameters of the AECD during system operation in the vehicle and also during the AECD tests.

2. Test conditions

2.1 The test object is the AECD, which includes navigation receiver and global navigation satellite system antenna, specifying navigation characteristics and features of the tested system.

2.1.1 The number of the AECD test samples shall be at least 3 pcs.

2.1.2 The AECD is provided for the test with the installed SIM-card, operation manual and the software (provided on electronic media).

2.1.3 The attached documents shall contain the following data:

- device serial number;
- hardware version;
- software version;
- device provider identification number;
- unique device identifier, assigned by the system operator in case of the first activation of the device.

Note: For carrying out tests the originals of the operation manual containing specified convergence shall be provided.
The navigation receiver shall be able to output the navigation solution in a NMEA-0183 protocol format (RMC, GGA, VTG, GSA and GSV message) [5]. The AECD setup for NMEA-0183 messages output to external devices shall be described in the operation manual.

The purpose of the tests is to verify the compliance of navigation characteristics of the AECD calculated by its navigation module, to the following requirements:

The navigation receiver being a part of the AECD shall be capable of receiving and processing individual GNSS signals of standard accuracy in L1/E1 band from at least three global navigation satellite systems, including GLONASS, GALILEO and GPS.

The navigation receiver being a part of the AECD shall be capable of receiving and processing combined GNSS signals of standard accuracy in L1/E1 band from at least three global navigation satellite systems, including GLONASS, GALILEO, GPS and SBAS.

The navigation receiver being a part of the AECD shall be able to provide positioning information in WGS-84, PZ-90 and GTRF coordinate systems.

According to 2.2.2, horizontal position error shall not exceed:
- under open sky conditions: 15 m at confidence level 0.95 with Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP) not more than 2.5
- in urban canyon conditions: 40 m at confidence level 0.95 with Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP) not more than 4

The specified requirements for accuracy shall be provided:
- at speed range from 0 to [140] km/h;
- linear acceleration range from 0 to [2] G;

GNSS signal re-acquisition time after block out of 60 sec and signal level down to minus 130 dBm shall not exceed 20 sec after recovery of the navigation satellite visibility.

Time to first fix shall not exceed:
- 60 sec for signal level down to minus 130 dBm
- 300 sec for signal level down to minus 140 dBm.

The navigation receiver being a part of the AECD shall provide:
- GNSS signals search (detection) at the level of valid signal at the antenna input (antenna amplifier input) of minus144 dBm;
- GNSS signals tracking and navigation solution calculation at the level of valid signal at the antenna input (antenna amplifier input) of minus 155 dBm
- Re-acquisition of GNSS signals and calculation of the navigation solution at the level of valid signal at the antenna input (antenna amplifier input) of minus150 dBm.

Tests are carried out in normal climatic conditions in accordance with standard ISO 16750-1:2006:
- air temperature (23 ± 5) °C and
- relative air humidity of 25 % to 75 %.

Technical service of tested samples during tests is not conducted.

Tests of the AECD in respect of its navigation receiver shall be performed with test and auxiliary equipment specified in Table 4.

Table 4 – Recommended list of measurement instruments, test and auxiliary equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Required technical characteristics of test equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2.3

2.3.1

Tests are carried out in normal climatic conditions in accordance with standard ISO 16750-1:2006:
- air temperature (23 ± 5) °C and
- relative air humidity of 25 % to 75 %.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Scale range</th>
<th>Scale accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Global navigation satellite system simulator of GLONASS, Galileo and GPS signals | Number of simulated signals – at least 18                                     | Mean square deviation of random accuracy component of pseudo-range to GLONASS / Galileo / GPS satellites not more:  
- stadiometric code phase – 0,1 m;  
- communication carrier phase – 0,001 m;  
- pseudovelocity – 0,005 m/sec. |
| Digital stopwatch                                                   | Maximum count volume – 9h 59 min 59,99 sec                                    | Daily variation at (25+5)°C not more + 1,0sec.  
Time discreteness- 0,01sec. |
| Vector network analyzer                                            | Frequency range 300 kHz .. 4000 kHz  
Dynamic range (minus 85 .. 40) dB | AccF 1·10^-6  
AccA (0,1 .. 0,5) dB |
| Low-noise amplifier                                                 | Frequency range 1200.. 1700 MHz  
Noise coefficient not more 2,0 dB  
Amplifier gain coefficient 24 dB |                                                                              |
| Attenuator                                                         | Dynamic range (0 .. 11) dB                                                      | Acc ± 0,5 dB                                                                     |
| Attenuator                                                         | Dynamic range (0 .. 110) dB                                                     | Acc ± 0,5 dB                                                                     |
| Power source                                                       | Range of direct current voltage setting from 0,1 to 30 V  
Current intensity of output voltage at least 3A | Acc0 ± 3%  
Acc1 ± 1% |

Note – it is allowed to apply other similar types of equipment providing determination of characteristics with required accuracy.

2.3.4 Unless otherwise specified, GNSS signal simulation shall follow “Open sky” pattern as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Open sky definition
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Elevation range (deg)</th>
<th>Azimuth range (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0 – 5</td>
<td>0 – 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Area out of Zone A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Open Sky plot.

Attenuation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attenuation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-100 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Test procedures

3.1 NMEA-0183 messages output test.

3.1.1 Make connections according to Figure 2.

Figure 2 – Diagram of test stand

3.1.2 Prepare and turn on the AECD. By means of operation manual and developer software set up the navigation module for receiving signals from GLONASS, Galileo, GPS GNSS and SBAS. Set up the navigation module to output NMEA-0183 messages (messages RMC, GGA, VTG, GSA and GSV).
3.1.3 Set up the simulator according to the simulator user guide. Initialize simulator script with the parameters, given in Table 5 for GLONASS, Galileo, GPS GNSS and SBAS signals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test duration, hh:mm:ss</td>
<td>01:00:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output frequency</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AECD location:</td>
<td>Any specified land point between latitude range 80°N and 80°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troposphere:</td>
<td>Standard predefined model by the GNSS simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere:</td>
<td>Standard predefined model by the GNSS simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDOP value</td>
<td>≤ 2.5 in the test time interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulated signals</td>
<td>- GNSS GLONASS (L1 frequency band CT code);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GNSS Galileo (E1 frequency band OS);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GNSS GPS (L1 frequency band C/A code);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GNSS GLONASS/Galileo/GPS/SBAS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal strength:</td>
<td>- GNSS GLONASS;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minus 141 dBm;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GNSS Galileo;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minus 135 dBm;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GNSS GPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minus 138.5 dBm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of simulated satellites</td>
<td>- at least 6 GLONASS satellites;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- at least 6 Galileo satellites;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- at least 6 GPS satellites;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- at least 2 SBAS satellites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.4 By means of corresponding serial interface set the connection between the AECD and PC. Control the possibility of receiving navigation information via NMEA-0183 protocol.

3.1.5 Test results are considered successful if navigation information via NMEA-0183 protocol is received.

3.2 The assessment of positioning accuracy in autonomous static mode.

3.2.1 Make connections according to Figure 2.

3.2.2 Prepare and turn on the AECD. By means of developer software make sure that navigation module is set up for receiving global navigation satellite systems GLONASS, Galileo, GPS and SBAS combined signals. Set up navigation module to output messages according to the NMEA-0183 protocol (GGA, RMC, VTG, GSA and GSV messages).
3.2.3 Set up the simulator in accordance with its operational manual. Start simulation of for combined GNSS GLONASS, Galileo, GPS and SBAS signals script with set parameters, given in Table 5.

3.2.4 Upon receiving the navigation solution set up recording of NMEA-0183 messages output by navigation module to a file, up to the moment the simulation script is complete.

3.2.5 Extract coordinates: latitude (B) and longitude (L) contained in GGA (RMC) messages.

3.2.6 Calculate the systematic inaccuracy of coordinate’s determination on stationary intervals according to formulas (1), (2), for example for latitude coordinate (B):

\[ \Delta B(j) = B(j) - B_{true}, \]

\[ dB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta B(j), \]

Where \( B_{true} \) – actual value of B coordinate in j–ed time moment, angle sec.;
B(j) – determined by the navigation module value of B coordinate in j time moment, angle sec;
N – amount of GGA (RMC) messages, received during the test of navigation module.

Similarly calculate the systematic inaccuracy of L (longitude) coordinate.

3.2.7 Calculate standard deviation (SD) value according to formula (3) for B coordinate:

\[ \sigma_B = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (B(j) - dB)^2}{N - 1}}, \]

Similarly calculate the (SD) value for L (longitude) coordinate.

3.2.8 Convert calculated SD values of latitude and longitude determination from angle seconds to meters according to formulas (4) – (5):

- for latitude:

\[ dB(M) = 2 \cdot \frac{a(1-e^2)}{(1-e^2 \sin^2 \phi)^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{0.5^\circ \cdot \pi}{180 \cdot 3600^\prime} \cdot dB, \]

- for longitude:

\[ dL(M) = 2 \cdot \frac{a \cdot \cos \phi}{\sqrt{1-e^2 \sin^2 \phi}} \cdot \frac{0.5^\circ \cdot \pi}{180 \cdot 3600^\prime} \cdot dL, \]

Where \( a \) – major semiaxis of ellipsoid, m
\( e \) – first eccentricity
\( \phi \) – current latitude, rad.

3.2.9 Calculate horizontal coordinates error (at confidence level 0.95) according to formula (6):
\[ \Pi = \sqrt{dB^2(m) + dL^2(m)} + 2 \cdot \sqrt{\sigma_B^2(m) + \sigma_L^2(m)}, \]

3.2.10 Repeat test procedures according to 3.2.3 – 3.2.9 only for GLONASS GNSS signals with simulation parameters, given in Table 5.

3.2.11 Repeat test procedures according to 3.2.3 – 3.2.9 only for GPS GNSS signals with simulation parameters, given in Table 5.

3.2.12 Repeat test procedures according to 3.2.3 – 3.2.9 for GNSS Galileo signals with simulation parameters, given in Table 5.

3.2.13 Repeat test procedures according to 3.2.3 – 3.2.12 with other AECD samples, provided for the test.

3.2.14 Determine average values according to (6) obtained for all tested AECD samples.

3.2.15 Tests results are considered satisfactory if horizontal coordinates errors as defined by formula (6) obtained with all AECD samples do not exceed 15 m under open sky conditions at confidence level 0.95 for all simulation scripts.

3.3 The assessment of positioning accuracy in autonomous dynamic mode.

3.3.1 Repeat test procedures described in section 3.2, except 3.2.10 - 3.2.12 with simulation script for maneuvering movement (Table 6).

**Table 6 – Main parameters of simulation script for maneuvering movement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test duration, hh:mm:ss</td>
<td>01:00:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output frequency</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AECD location:</td>
<td>Any specified land point between latitude range 80°N and 80°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CS WGS-84;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model of movement:</td>
<td>Maneuvering movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- speed, km/h;</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turn radius, m;</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- turn acceleration, m/sec2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troposphere:</td>
<td>Standard predefined model by the GNSS simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere:</td>
<td>Standard predefined model by the GNSS simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDOP value</td>
<td>( \leq 2.5 ) in the test time interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulated signals</td>
<td>- combined GLONASS / Galileo / GPS / SBAS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Signal strength:
- GNSS GLONASS; minus 141 dBm;
- GNSS Galileo; minus 135 dBm;
- GNSS GPS minus 138,5 dBm.

Number of simulated satellites
- at least 6 GLONASS satellites;
- at least 6 Galileo satellites;
- at least 6 GPS satellites;
- at least 2 SBAS satellites

3.3.2 Determine average values according to (6) obtained for all tested AECD samples.

3.3.3 Tests results are considered satisfactory if horizontal coordinates errors obtained with all AECD samples do not exceed 15 m under open sky conditions at confidence level 0.95.

3.4 Test of movement in shadow areas, areas of intermittent reception of navigation signals and urban canyons.

3.4.1 Repeat test procedures described in section 3.3 for simulation script for movement in shadow areas and areas of intermittent reception of navigation signals (Table 7) with an urban canyon signal pattern described in Fig.3.

Table 7 – Main parameters of movement in shadow areas and areas of intermittent reception of navigation signals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test duration, hh:mm:ss</td>
<td>01:00:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output frequency</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion start point:</td>
<td>Any specified land point between latitude range 80°N and 80°S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CS WGS-84;</td>
<td>Maneuvering movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model of movement:</td>
<td>140 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- speed, km/h;</td>
<td>500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turn radius, m;</td>
<td>0.2 m/sec²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- turn acceleration, m/sec²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite visibility:</td>
<td>300 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- signal visibility intervals, sec;</td>
<td>600 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- signal absence intervals, sec.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troposphere:</td>
<td>Standard predefined model by the GNSS simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere:</td>
<td>Standard predefined model by the GNSS simulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric factor PDOP</td>
<td>≤ 4 in the test time interval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulated parameter | Value
--- | ---
Simulated signals | - combined GLONASS / Galileo / GPS / SBAS.
Signal strength:
- GNSS GLONASS; | minus 141 dBm;
- GNSS Galileo; | minus 135 dBm;
- GNSS GPS | minus 138.5 dBm.
Number of simulated satellites | - at least 6 GLONASS satellites;
| - at least 6 Galileo satellites;
| - at least 6 GPS satellites;
| - at least 2 SBAS satellites

Figure 3: Urban canyon definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Azimuth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0 – 5</td>
<td>0 – 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5 – 30</td>
<td>210 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5 – 30</td>
<td>30 – 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Area out of Zones A, B, C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2) Urban canyon plot.

Attenuation:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-40 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-40 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-100 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 dB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2 Tests results are considered satisfactory if horizontal coordinates errors obtained with all AECD samples do not exceed 40 m in urban canyon conditions at confidence level 0.95.

3.5 Cold start time to first fix test.

3.5.1 Prepare and turn on the AECD. By means of developer software make sure that GNSS module is set to receive GNSS GLONASS, Galileo and GPS signals.

3.5.2 Delete all position, velocity, time, almanac and euhemerizes data from the navigation receiver.

3.5.3 Set up the simulator according to the simulator user guide. Initialize simulator script with the parameters, given in Table 5 for GNSS GLONASS, Galileo and GPS signals.

3.5.4 By means of a stop watch measure time interval between signal simulation start and the first navigation solution result.

3.5.5 Conduct test procedures according to 3.5.2 – 3.5.4 at least 10 times.

3.5.6 Calculate average time to first fix in cold start mode based on measurements for all AECD samples, provided for the test.

3.5.7 The test result is considered to be positive, if average values of time to first fix, calculated as described in 3.5.6 do not exceed 60 sec.

3.6 Test of re-acquisition time of tracking signals after block out of 60 sec.

3.6.1 Prepare and turn on the AECD according to operational manual. By means of developer software make sure that navigation module is set up to receive GNSS GLONASS, Galileo and GPS signals.

3.6.2 Set up the simulator according to the simulator user guide. Initialize simulator script with the parameters, given in Table 5 for GNSS GLONASS, Galileo and GPS signals.
3.6.3 Wait for 15 minutes and make sure navigation module has calculated AECD position.

3.6.4 Disconnect the GNSS antenna cable from the AECD and connect it again after time interval of 60 sec.

By means of stopwatch determine time interval between cable connection moment and restoration of satellites tracking and calculation of the navigation solution.

3.6.5 Repeat test procedure according to 3.6.4 at least 10 times.

3.6.6 Calculate average value of re-acquisition time of satellite tracking signals by the AECD for all performed measurements and all AECD samples provided for the test.

3.6.7 The test result is considered to be positive, if average values of re-acquisition time after block out of 60 sec measured as described in 3.6.6 do not exceed 20 seconds.

3.7 Test of navigation receiver sensitivity in cold start mode, tracking mode, and re-acquisition scenario.

3.7.1 Turn on the vector network analyser. Calibrate the network vector analyser according to its operational manual.

3.7.2 Set up the diagram according to Figure 4.

3.7.2 Set zero signal path attenuation on attenuators. Measure the frequency response for a given signal path in the L1/E1 band of GNSS GLONASS/Galileo/GPS. Record the average path transmission factor in [dB] in this frequency band.

3.7.3 Assemble the circuit shown in Figure 5.

3.7.4 Prepare and turn on AECD according to operational manual. By means of developer software make sure that GNSS module is set to receive GNSS GLONASS, Galileo and GPS signals. Clear the navigation module RAM such that the “cold” start mode of the navigation module of the AECD is achieved.

3.7.5 Set signal path attenuation value equal to 110 dB. Prepare GNSS signals simulator according to its operation manual. Start GNSS GLONASS/Galileo/GPS signals simulation.
script, with parameters given in Table 5. Set output power level of the simulator to minus 130 dBm.

3.7.6 Check that the position, velocity and time information is reset.

3.7.7 Decrease path attenuation using attenuators in 1 dB steps (increase the navigation signal power on the navigation module input) until the AECD acquires navigation fix. Record the signal level on the AECD GNSS module input.

Note – Time interval between path attenuation changes shall not be less than 120 s.

3.7.8 Set the signal path attenuation on attenuators such that the signal on AECD antenna input is equal to minus 140 dBm. Wait for 15 min to allow the navigation module to collect the ephemerides and the GNSS almanac.

3.7.9 Increase the signal path attenuation setting on attenuators in 1 dB steps (decrease the navigation signal power) until the navigation fix is reset. Taking into account the initial transmission factor of the signal path, record such GNSS signal level on an input of the AECD GNSS module antenna that resulted in the last navigation fix of the GNSS module in tracking mode.

3.7.10 Decrease path attenuation using attenuators in 1 dB steps (increase the navigation signal power on the navigation module input) until the AECD acquires navigation fix. Record the signal level on the AECD GNSS module input.

3.7.11 The test results shall be considered positive if the GNSS signal level on the antenna input of the AECD does not exceed minus 144 dBm in cold start mode as recorded in 3.7.8, minus 155 dBm in tracking mode as recorded in 3.7.10, and minus 150 dBm in reacquisition mode as recorded in 3.7.11 for each AECD submitted to tests.
Test method for emergency call (paragraphs 7.2 7.4 and 17.5.)

1. MSD emission assessment shall include verification of at least the following:
   1.1. Vehicle location data should be transmitted correctly, and
   1.2. Trigger time (according to MSD table it should be “time stamp”?) is transmitted correctly, and
   1.3. Vehicle identification number is transmitted correctly (is VIN ok for Japan?)

2. MSD emission assessment can be performed either
   2.1. By transmitting MSD to a simulator, or
   2.2. By transmitting MSD via real PLMN (Public Land Mobile Network)

**OICA comment:** we added the reference to language and phrases for the intelligibility check

3. Hands-free voice communication assessment (subjective test) shall include verification of the following:
   3.1. Voice originating inside the vehicle can be clearly heard by the remote listener with satisfactory intelligibility, and
   3.2. Speech of the remote speaker can be clearly heard in the vehicle with satisfactory intelligibility
   3.3. The language and sentence used for the test shall be one of those listed in appendix 1 to this Annex.
   3.4. The rated performance according to appendix 2 to this Annex shall, be at least “3”

4. Hands-free voice communication assessment can be performed either
   4.1. By establishing voice communication to a simulator, or
   4.2. By establishing voice communication via real PLMN

5. HMI operation assessment shall include the following
   5.1. Manual trigger (call generation) is working correctly. This is verified by checking if manual trigger leads to
      5.1.1. MSD transmission, which is verified according to paragraph 1 of this Annex, and
      5.1.2. Voice communication being established, which is verified according to paragraph 3 of this Annex.
   5.2. Call status indication is working properly. This is verified by checking that the following statuses are displayed during test according paragraph 5.1 of the current Annex: [
      5.2.1. Call initiated (dialing)
      5.2.2. MSD transmitted
      5.2.3. Waiting for PSAP operator to answer
      5.2.4. Call in progress]

**AECS pre-meeting:**
- Russia intends that the AECS status indicator distinguishes the different steps described in 5.2.1-5.2.4
- Is there a common approach among OEM’s?
OICA comment: This par. 5.3 can be deleted according to new paragraph 16.3.4 proposed by OICA

AECS pre-meeting:
- Russia at least wants to have the operation of the malfunction indicator itself to be checked but not necessarily check all malfunctions
- According to Russia it has a benefit for the occupant to know that he can/can not rely on the AECS operation after an accident.
- Russia will provide more justification for the next meeting.
- The malfunction check itself (as a pre-crash check) will be discussed based on the EU proposal of EU MVWG of 02.07
- Will malfunction process still operate in the same way after a crash?
- OICA to explain for next meeting what is the actual problem when the malfunction indicator is required to remain operational after a crash.

5.3. Malfunction indication is working properly. This is verified by checking malfunction indication in at least one of the following conditions:

5.3.1. Communication module antenna is disconnected, or

5.3.2. GNSS receiver antenna is disconnected, or

5.3.3. Microphone(s) is (are) disconnected, or

5.3.4. Loudspeaker(s) is (are) disconnected, or

5.3.5. AECD control module is disconnected from the HMI module
Annex 7

Test method for SMS transmission
Test method for verifying the on-board AECD via functional check (paragraph 17.3.3/16.3.3.)

1. The functional check of the in-vehicle system shall be performed via one out of the four methods laid down in paragraphs 2. to 5. below.

**OICA comment:**
- We deleted the method in par. 2

2. Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by using HMI (visual control of tell-tale)

   When the in-vehicle AECD is capable to

   - check and diagnose the for all devices belonging to the AECD scope (Antennas, power supply, Mic, speaker) and
   - discriminate a network failure (*) from an internal failure of the AECD Unit

   the communication with GNSS and mobile networks and PSAP is deemed to be compliant if no failure warning is indicated by the HMI.

   (*): An obstruction of the antenna by a metallic part could be detected and indicated as a network failure. In this case, a visual control can be performed around the antenna (or AECD Unit in the case of an internal antenna), if the antenna is not within the safe zone.

**OICA comment:** alignment with other post-crash check methods

3. Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by internal memory checking

When

- the vehicle's diagnostic bus is still functioning and the AECS Unit is still powered (e.g. by main or auxiliary battery), or after AECD Unit disassembly and test bench analysis, and

- the in-vehicle AECD is capable to

  - check and diagnose all devices belonging to the AECD scope (Antennas, power supply, Mic, speaker, ...), and
  - check and diagnose the for following all devices belonging to the AECD scope (Antennas, power supply, Mic, speaker, wiring harness, information signal and manual control), and
  - memorize all steps of an emergency call transaction (e.g.: trigger signal reception, construction of MSD, etc.)

   the communication with GNSS and mobile networks and PSAP is deemed to be compliant if the AECD unit has memorized the correct emergency call transaction, and no failure is present in the memory.

**AECS pre-meeting:**
- Russia will provide some more comments/reflections on this test method
- Lacks some detail on how actually this type of testing method would be carried out in practice
- Technical services should be asked for their opinion.
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OICA comment: alignment with other post-crash check methods

4. Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by separated functional test

When

− the in-vehicle AECD is capable to memorize all steps of an emergency call transaction (e.g.: trigger signal reception, construction of MSD, etc.), and

− all devices belonging to the AECD scope (Antennas, power supply, Mic, speaker, wiring harness and manual control) are removable and/or their connectors are accessible (for electrical testing), for the visual control or/and for functional tests

the communication with GNSS and mobile networks and PSAP is deemed to be compliant if the AECD unit has memorized the correct emergency call transaction, if all electrical tests are satisfactory and if all devices belonging to the AECD scope are in good condition and functioning correctly.

AECS pre-meeting:
- include a reference that “The verification method is described in appendix 1 to this annex”
- Russia has some reservation on the way appendix 1 is described. It is not clear enough testing services to actually draft a testing protocol and perform the tests.
- Technical services should be asked for their opinion.

5. Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by functional transmission test with wired procedure

When

− the mobile phone antenna connector is accessible, and

− all other parts (wire) between this antenna and the AECD Unit are accessible or removable for the visual control or for functional tests

the communication with GNSS and mobile networks and PSAP is deemed to be compliant if the emergency call transaction is correct (with PSAP simulator) and if all the electrical tests between antenna and the AECD unit are satisfactory

AECS pre-meeting:
- include a reference that “The verification method is described in appendix 2 to this annex”
- this testing method is very close to annex 9 using the simulator except for the wired antenna connection. Russia suggested that we could use the description of annex 9 supplemented by a specific Antenna functional check

OICA comment: Appendix 1 and 2 were missing. Now added below.
This appendix defines minimum test conditions (as far as applicable) and failure criteria for verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by separate functional test.

### (3) AECD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Item subject to confirmation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Example of confirmation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AECD    | Generation of triggering (when a collision detection signal (CDS) is received) | - No record of triggering signal in AECS unit before collision test.  
- Record of triggering signal is correctly stored in the AECD memory. The time stamp of the record should be same or right after the time of collision test if unit has a capability to store time stamp of record.  
- Activation of emergency calling is confirmed. | - The record confirmation of the internal memory using a diagnostic tool.  
- The visual observation of the eCall operation display |
| Initiate transmission of MSD | MSD is correctly stored in the AECD memory. The time stamp of the record should be same or right after the time of crash test if unit has a capability to store time stamp of record. | - Confirm that MSD data (notice data of a collision transmitted to a call center) remains in the internal memory  
- The record confirmation of the internal memory |

### (2) Harness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Item subject to confirmation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Example of the confirmation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Battery and battery harness (in case of absence of sub-battery) | Battery function | Voltage above operating minimum value (at AECD side) | - Battery voltage confirmation  
- Termina[ion-off connected confirmation] |
| Sub battery (in case of presence of sub-battery) | Battery function | No damage/deformation of AECD | Visual observation of the AECD |
| Telephone antenna feeder wire | - Wire snipping  
- Wire short-circuit | a. For external antenna : No evidence of snapping damage and of wire short-circuit  
b. For internal antenna : No damage/deformation of AECD | a. By conducting the following tests:  
i. measurement of resistance value between termination-off lines  
ii. measurement of resistance value between line and vehicle structure ground or  
b. Visual observation of the AECD |

### (3) Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Item subject to confirmation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Example of the confirmation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone antenna (internal)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Function</strong></td>
<td><strong>No damage/deformation of AECD</strong></td>
<td><strong>Visual observation of AECD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone antenna (external)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Function</strong></td>
<td><strong>No damage/deformation</strong></td>
<td><strong>No breakage by visual observation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measured Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR) satisfies the prescribed value</td>
<td>When there are breakage and deformation, remove antenna and confirm receiving sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tell-tale</strong></td>
<td><strong>Display</strong></td>
<td>- No damage deformation</td>
<td>- Visual observation of the display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tell-tale lights on</td>
<td>- apply prescribed voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microphone</strong></td>
<td><strong>Possibility of voice communication</strong></td>
<td>Keep initial prescribed performance</td>
<td>- Output sound pressure measurement by the standard input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Confirm by hearing the output of speaker with input (voice) to microphone (using function confirming software)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The language and sentence used for the test shall be one of those listed in appendix 1 to this Annex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The rated performance according to appendix 2 to this Annex shall be at least “3”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speaker</strong></td>
<td><strong>The possibility of voice communication</strong></td>
<td>Keep initial prescribed performance</td>
<td>- Output voltage measurement by the standard input sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Confirm by hearing the output of speaker with input (voice) to microphone (using function confirming software)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The language and sentence used for the test shall be one of those listed in appendix 1 to this Annex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The rated performance according to appendix 2 to this Annex shall be at least “3”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wiring Harness</strong></td>
<td>- Wire snapping - Wire short-circuit</td>
<td>No damage</td>
<td>Continuity check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manual control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Function</strong></td>
<td>No damage/</td>
<td>Disconnect button and measure the open and closed condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 2  Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by functional transmission test with wired procedure (for AECS with external antenna)

This appendix defines minimum test conditions (as far as applicable) and failures criteria for verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by functional transmission test with wired procedure (for AECS with external antenna)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) AECD</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Item subject to confirmation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Example of the confirmation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AECD</td>
<td>Activation of automatic call and dial-up.</td>
<td>No calling sequence to PSAP performed before collision test</td>
<td>Connect AECD to Radio communication tester by wire or confirm status of indicator.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calling sequence to PSAP is performed after collision test</td>
<td>Confirm emergency calling sequence by PSAP simulator.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emission of MSD with antenna wire connection to simulator after the collision.</td>
<td>MSD has been emitted.</td>
<td>After completion of the emergency calling sequence, confirm the content of MSD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2) Harness</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Item subject to confirmation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Example of the confirmation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Battery and battery harness (in case of absence of sub-battery)</td>
<td>Battery function</td>
<td>Voltage above operating minimum value (at AECD side)</td>
<td>Availability of battery can be confirmed by communication test with wire.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub battery (in case of presence of sub-battery)</td>
<td>Battery function</td>
<td>Correct AECS operation AECS with regard to prescribed functional items</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone antenna feeder wire</td>
<td>- Wire snapping</td>
<td>No evidence of snapping damage and of wire short-circuit</td>
<td>By conducting the following tests: 3. measurement of resistance value between termination of lines  ii. measurement of resistance value between line and vehicle structure ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) Component</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Item subject to confirmation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Example of the confirmation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone antenna (external)</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>No damage/deformation</td>
<td>No breakage by visual observation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measured Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR) satisfies the prescribed value</td>
<td>When there are breakage and deformation, remove antenna and confirm receiving sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell-tale</td>
<td>Display</td>
<td>- No damage deformation</td>
<td>- Visual observation of the display</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tell-tale lights on</td>
<td>- apply prescribed voltage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microphone</td>
<td>Possibility of voice communication</td>
<td>Voice message from vehicle can be confirmed at PSAP simulator.</td>
<td>- Confirm voice condition during talking test with wire procedure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- One inspector of the technical service makes telephone call to another inspector of PSAP simulator side through PSAP simulator.
- The language and sentence used for the test shall be one of those listed in appendix 1 to this Annex.
- The rated performance according to appendix 2 to this Annex shall be at least “3”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Possibility of voice communication</th>
<th>Voice message from PSAP simulator can be confirmed at vehicle side.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manual control</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>No damage/deformation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition of Minimum Set of Data – MSD (paragraph 13.7 42.7.)**

The following table lists the minimum set of data (MSD) that shall be conveyed during the Ecall data transmission to the PSAP.

The exact format and content is subject to national regulations.

Additional mandatory or optional elements may be subject to national regulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Name of MSD Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>automaticActivation</td>
<td>Indicates whether a call was automatically or manually triggered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>testCall</td>
<td>Indicates whether the call is a test call or a real emergency call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positionCanBeTrusted</td>
<td>Indicates whether the position given in the position elements can be trusted or has only low confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vehicleType</td>
<td>Provides a vehicle type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIN</td>
<td>Vehicle Identification number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vehiclePropulsionStorageType</td>
<td>Provides the propulsion type of the vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timeStamp</td>
<td>Timestamp of the initial data message generation within the current Ecall incident event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positionLatitude</td>
<td>The last known vehicle Latitude position determined at the latest moment possible before message generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positionLongitude</td>
<td>The last known vehicle Longitude position determined at the latest moment possible before message generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vehicleDirection</td>
<td>The vehicle’s last known real direction of travel determined at the latest moment possible before message generation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HMI (AEC/ AECS control) testing
Annex 9, appendix 1

Language and sentence for Hands free voice assessment

- Test sentence pairs, as defined in ITU-T P.501, Annex B, shall be used for the exchange of test messages in the send and receive directions.

- Test sentence pairs in the language most commonly spoken by the testers shall be selected from a list.

Test sentences
All speech samples are processed such that the levels measured using a speech level voltmeter according are equal.

[Examples, only languages relevant for Contracting parties signing UNECE AECS are relevant

- B.3.2 Dutch (fullband)

Female 1:
Dit produkt kent nauwelijks concurrentie.
Hij kende zijn grens niet.

Female 2:
Ik zal iets van mijn carriere vertellen.
Zijn auto was alweer kapot.

Male 1:
Zij kunnen de besluiten nehmen.
De meeste mensen hadden het wel door.

Male 2:
Ik zou liever gaan lopen.
Willem gaat telkens naar buiten.

- B.3.3 English (fullband)

Female 1:
These days a chicken leg is a rare dish.
The hogs were fed with chopped corn and garbage.

Female 2:
Rice is often served in round bowls.
A large size in stockings is hard to sell.

Male 1:
The juice of lemons makes fine punch.
Four hours of steady work faced us.

Male 2:
The birch canoe slid on smooth planks.
Glue the sheet to the dark blue background.

- B.3.4 English (American)

Female 1:
We need grey to keep our mood healthy.
Pack the records in a neat thin case.

Female 2:
The stems of the tall glasses cracked and broke.
The wall phone rang loud and often.

Male 1:
The shelves were bare of both jam or crackers.
A joy to every child is the swan boat.

Male 2:
Both brothers were the same size.
In some form or other we need fun.

- B.3.5 Finnish (fullband)

Female 1:
Ole ääneti tai sano sellaista, joka on parempaa kuin vaikeneminen.
Suuret sydämet ovat kuin valtameret, ne eivät koskaan jäädy.

Female 2:
Jos olet vasara, lyö kovaa. Jos olet naula pidä pääsi pystyssä.
Onni tulee elää, ei ostaen.

Male 1:
Rakkaus ei omista mitään, eikä kukaan voi sitä omistaa.
Female 1: On entend les gazouillis d'un oiseau dans le jardin.
Male 2: Sydämellä on syynsä, joita järki ei tunne.
On opittava kärsimään voidakseen elää.

Female 1: Le client s'attend à ce que vous fassiez une réduction.
Male 1: Ma mère et moi faisons de courtes promenades.

Female 2: Vous avez du plaisir à jouer avec ceux qui ont un bon caractère.

B.3.6 French (fullband)

Female 1: Zarter Blumenduft erfüllt den Saal.
Male 2: Überquere die Strasse vorsichtig.

B.3.7 German

Female 1: Zarter Blumenduft erfüllt den Saal.
Female 2: Die drei Männer sind begeistert.

Female 1: Im Fernsehen wurde alles gezeigt,
Male 1: Mit einem Male kam die Sonne durch.

Female 2: Alle haben nur einen Wunsch.
Male 2: Das Telefon klingelt wieder.

B.3.8 German (fullband)

Female 1: Non bisogna credere che sia vero tutto quello che dice la gente. Tu non conosci ancora gli uomini, non conosci il mondo.
Female 2: Questo tormento durerà ancora qualche ora. Forse un giorno poi tutto finirà e tu potrai tornare a casa nella tua terra.

B.3.9 Italian (fullband)
Female 1:
彼は鮎を釣る名人です。
Kare wa ayu wo tsuru meijin desu.
古代エジプトで十進法の原理が作られました。
Kodai ejipto de jushinhou no genri ga tsukuraremashita.
Female 2:
読書の楽しさを知ってください。
Dokusho no tanoshisa wo shitte kudasai.
人間の価値は知識をどう活用するかで決まります。
Ningen no kachi wa chishiki wo dou katsuyou suruka de kimarimasu.
Male 1:
彼女を説得しようとしても無駄です。
Kanojo wo settoku shiyouto shitemo mudadesu.
その昔ガラスは大変めずらしいものでした。
Sono mukasi garasu wa taihen mezurashii monodeshita.
Male 2:
近頃の子供たちはひ弱です。
Chikagoro no kodomo tachi wa h iyowa desu.
イギリス人は雨の中を平気で濡れて歩きます。
Igirisujin wa ameno nakawo heikide nurete arukimasu.
Female 1:
Pielęgniarki były cierpliwe.
Przebiegał szybko przez ulicę.
Female 2:
Ona była jego sekretarką od lat.
Dzieci często płaczą kiedy są głodne.
Male 1:
On był czarującą osobą.
Lato wreszcie nadeszło.
Male 2:
Większość dróg było niezmiernie zatłoczonych.
Mamy bardzo entuzjastyczny zespół.
Female 1:
No arroje basura a la calle.
Ellos quieren dos manzanas rojas.
Female 2:
No cocinaban tan bien.
Mi afeitadora afeita al ras.
Male 1:
Vé y siéntate en la cama.
El libro trata sobre trampas.
Male 2:
El trapeador se puso amarillo.
El fuego consumió el papel.
Annex 9, appendix 2

Intelligibility/listening effort (ITU P1100)

Description:
The rating table is applied to evaluate the effort required to understand the meaning of words and sentences. The applicable test cases are single talk and the rating scale is given in Table C.5.

The question heading this scale could be, for example:

*How would you judge the effort required to understand words and sentences of your remote partner?*

**Table C.5 – Intelligibility/listening-effort**
**Rating description**  Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating description</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Every word was clearly understood with no effort required</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech of the other side was understood with no appreciable effort required</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some words were hard to understand, moderate effort was required</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many words were hard to understand, considerable effort was required</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No meaning understood with any feasible effort</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Justifications:

Paragraph 1.1: the Regulation is compartmented in three parts according to the decision made at AECS-01:
- Part I aims the approval of a type of Ecall device (AECD)
- Part II aims the approval of a vehicle type when fitted with Ecall device (AECD) approved to Part I
- Part III aims the approval of a vehicle type when fitted fully integrated Ecall system (AECS)

Paragraph 1.2: according to the decision of AECS-06, the regulation covers a restricted scope i.e. the capabilities of the ecall to connect to the mobile phone networks shall not be part of the approval. This was agreed by the informal group on the following reasons:
- Mobile phone networks legislation is not under the control of UNECE/WP29
- Mobile phones networks evolve too rapidly to be regulated by a UN regulation in the frame of the 58 Agreement.
- Regulating the capabilities of the ecall to connect to the mobile phone networks would jeopardise the efforts of the vehicle and AECD manufacturers to best match the evolution of technology.

At the 7th meeting of the informal group, the experts agreed to clearly identify the boundaries of the regulation by listing the items not covered by the regulation.

Paragraph 1.3: In order to avoid extra costs due to the implementation of the Ecall, only the vehicles that are designed by construction to be fitted with an automatic triggering system related to the detection of an impact, are included in the scope of the regulation. For defining these vehicles, it is assumed that all vehicles approved, or subject to the approval to the regulations dedicated to the assessment of the resistance to impact, are fitted with an automatic triggering system. This is because, in the current state of the technology, there is no other way to fulfil the requirements of these regulations than by equipping the vehicles in stake with “airbags” whose inflation must be triggered by an automatic triggering system. The informal group was keen to take the opportunity of the pre-existence of these airbag automatic triggering systems for triggering the ecall intervention.

Paragraph 1.4: At AECS-08, the idea of “if fitted” requirements for GNSS connectivity assessment was adopted. Some experts requested that the text confirm freedom for Contracting Parties to nationally apply GNSS connectivity approval for the case the UN regulation applicant opts for approval without GNSS connectivity assessment.

Paragraph 1.5: proposal for exemptions:
- Armoured vehicles: For security reasons GNSS related devices are usually not installed on these vehicles. They are in addition often equipped with scrambling equipment because their users usually refuse tracing systems. A definition of “armoured vehicle” does already exist in RE.3: “Vehicle intended for the protection of conveyed passengers and/or goods and complying with armour plating anti-bullet requirements”.
- M1 vehicles with a total permissible mass > 3.5t:
  o The current version of the draft Regulation includes vehicles of category N1 (limited to total permissible mass < 3.5 t) and vehicles of category M1 (without mass limit).
The vehicle fleet includes a small number of M1 vehicles with a GVW > 3.5t which are based on vehicles of category N2, N3, M2 or M3.

- Limiting the scope of the regulation to the same maximum masses for commercial vehicles and passenger cars would reduce the burden for those manufacturers producing vehicles of category M1 as a variant of N2, N3, M2, M3 vehicles.

Paragraph 2: All definitions are taken from the CLEPA documents AECS-06-02-Rev.1 and AECS-07-08 and were further amended at AECS-08 for making an accurate list of minimum parameters.

Paragraphs 3, 4 and 5: Provisions taken from the CLEPA document AECS-06-02-Rev.1

Paragraph 6: Basic description of the sequence of operation of the Ecall. The references to the TPS are still subject to debate in the informal group.

Paragraph 6.1: Decision taken at AECS-05 to refer to the last series of amendments to UN R10, and to introduce the sentence in [], until the group has solved the question at a future step. AECS-07 decided to request guidance to GRSG.

Paragraph 6.2: Provisions taken from the CLEPA documents AECS-06-02-Rev.1 and AECS-07-02 (RUS, GSA, CLEPA). The group at its 8th meeting agreed on the addition of if-fitted requirements for GNSS receiver supporting at least three GNSS including GLONASS, Galileo and GPS. “If-fitted” requirements permit the manufacturer to have the flexibility in the positioning technology. The amendments in paragraph 1.4. make the second subparagraph unnecessary.

Paragraph 6.3: SIM-chip or similar technology is targeted in this paragraph for identification and authentication on the mobile phone network or the PSAP. No need for further details as telecommunication performances requirements are out of the scope of the regulation.

Paragraph 6.4: Basic description of the sequence of operation of the Ecall. The references to the TPS are still subject to debate in the informal group. For the sake of logic in the text, paragraph 6.4. is deleted and its provisions can now be found in the introductory paragraph of Section 6.

Paragraph 6.5: Provisions with regard to Human Machine Interface. Consistency necessary with the provisions of Part II (installation section). The introductory paragraph addresses the necessary link between Part I and Part II of the regulation, taking into account that the supplier is unable to know, at the time of AECF Type Approval, whether the parts will be approved to Part II. The wording is then linked to paragraph 14.1. (AECF approval procedure) and to the new Annexes XX, YY and ZZ (Communication Forms).

The group agreed that the Ecall regulation should focus on when the warning shall be given to the driver, while a dedicated regulation (i.e. UNR121 – controls and tell-tales) should address how the warning shall be given.

The group also agreed to limit the warning signals to those providing the status of the emergency call (whether automatic or manual) and the presence of an internal malfunction.

Paragraph 6.6: Provisions with regard power supply. AECS-06 reached the proposed text as an agreement in principle. AECS-08 held a debate on the best way to assess the power supply performances. Either the AECF has a self-supporting energy supply, or energy supply is outsourced. And either the vehicle is subject to regulations Nos.94/95 crash tests, or it is not. The group found logical:

- At device level: if fitted approach, device test.
- At vehicle level:
  - Verification of performance before impact (perhaps nonsense as this is assumed to be fulfilled anyway)
  - State of power source and its relevant connections after impact.
Paragraph 6.7: Provisions with regard to the assessment of the resistance to impact. The informal group did not yet reach consensus on the test procedures and pass/fail criteria. These items are subject to Annex 4. At AECS-07, CLEPA proposed further references. AECS-08 adopted the final provisions and references: paragraph 6.7.1. provides a list of components subject to mandatory tests, paragraph 6.7.2. provides a list of components subject to optional test, at the request of the applicant.

Paragraph 6.8: Proposal from RUS to add audio performance requirements, referring to the ITU-T recommendations.

Paragraph 7: Performance requirements: item deleted per the proposal from CLEPA AECS-06-02-Rev.1

Paragraphs 8 to 12: conventional paragraphs per the 58 Agreement.

Paragraph 12: Definitions that are considered necessary for Part II. This section is still under construction, some new definitions may need to be added. The proposed definitions include the OICA proposal for a “safe zone” that was introduced at AECS-05; there is currently no final decision of the group with regard to this principle. AECS-07 agreed to delete “trade name and mark” from the definition of type of vehicle. Exclude the glazing from the safe zone.

Some further additional definitions (MSD, AECS, multi-task display) are still under discussion.

Paragraph 13: conventional text per a regulation annexed to the 58 Agreement.

Paragraph 14: Approval provisions.

Paragraph 14.1: The text of paragraph 14.1. was amended at AECS-07 for improving the link between Part I and Part II with regard to the verification of information and warning signal, which can be performed either under Part I or Part II of the regulation.

Attempt to capture the approval procedures as proposed by OICA per their document AECS-05-04. Some solution must still be found for limiting the application of Procedure III to the vehicles not subject to UN R94 or 95, and not fitted with any triggering mechanism.

The footnote 2 second indent focuses on vehicles being already approved to to UN R94 or 95. These vehicles must be exempted from the obligation of a destructive test for the sake of AECD installation approval, but it is legitimate that the Contracting Parties could assess their AECD performances.

Footnote 2 second indent directs Procedure III to vehicles already approved to UN R94 and 95.

Paragraph 14.2 to 14.5: conventional text per a regulation annexed to the 58 Agreement.

Paragraph 15.1.1: according to the definition of Part II of the regulation, the requirements for vehicles equipped with an approved AECD should apply only when the vehicles is indeed equipped with an AECD approved to Part I of the regulation.

Paragraph 15.1.2: provision per the initial proposal AECS-01-05 (RUS), as a guarantee that the AECD has sufficient power supply at all time.

Paragraph 15.1.3: requirement that the AECD capabilities with regard to localisation and access to mobile phone networks, which are assumed to be demonstrated par Part I of the regulation, are not jeopardised by the AECD installation in the vehicle.

It is deemed beneficial that some information on the performances of the true AECD, with regard to the connections to the networks, are provided to the Technical Services.

Paragraph 15.1.4: attempt to capture the provisions for vehicles of category M1 per the table established as in document AECS-04-14 paragraph 7.3. The references to the requirement paragraphs are updated.
Paragraph 15.1.5: attempt to capture the provisions for vehicles of category N1 per the table established as in document AECS-04-14 paragraph 7.3. The references to the requirement paragraphs are updated.

Paragraph 15.1.6: Verification of the triggering signal emission due to side impact is not relevant when the vehicle complies with the provisions of UN R94 despite the absence of airbag, because such vehicle does not have any triggering system. The references to the requirement paragraphs are updated.

Paragraph 15.2: AECD manual control is not required on all vehicles and the informal group still must define a common position with regard to the manual control installation.

Paragraph 15.2.1: it is of common understanding that the particular regulations must regulate WHETHER the manual control must be installed, while the UN R121 (controls and tell-tales) must regulate HOW the manual control must be installed.

Paragraph 15.2.2: in spite of the comments above, when a regulation covers an item particularly related to safety in case of accident, this regulation may add specific requirements.

Paragraph 15.2.3: this paragraph is included for avoiding continuous display of the AECS control on the multi-task display, hence avoiding mishandling and accidental AECS activation (see paragraph 15.2.2).

Paragraph 15.2.4: agreement within the informal group that the assessment of the manual control can be conducted either per Part I of the regulation (paragraph 6.5.3), or per Part II of the regulation (paragraph 15.2.3).

Paragraph 15.3: Provisions with regard to Human Machine Interface. Consistency necessary with the provisions of Part I (device section). The group agreed that the ecall regulation should focus on when the warning shall be given to the driver, while a dedicated regulation (i.e. UNR121 – controls and tell-tales) should address how the warning shall be given. A reference to the relevant HMI test methods is added for consistency with the new regulation structure.

Paragraph 15.3.1: this paragraph is included because it is necessary that the AECS warning information respect the relevant provisions of UN R121, similarly to the AECS control.

Paragraph 15.3.2: the information regarding the status of the call transaction is relevant, rather than that of the connection itself.

Paragraph 15.4: this paragraph addresses functionality of the AECS post-crash; it is necessary for covering all the aspects of AECS i.e. before and after crash, in the frame of the OICA proposal for re-structuring the section 16 (see justifications to paragraph 16 below).

Paragraph 15.5: Proposal from RUS to add audio performance requirements, referring to the ITU-T recommendations.

Paragraph 16.1: Verification of the Human Machine Interface per visual inspection: the presence of HMI can be visually verified. The tests to be performed prior the crash test are indicated in paragraph 15.3.

Paragraph 16.2: All references to the communication with the mobile phone networks has have been deleted due to the decision of the informal group to restrict the scope to the “red components”. This proposal indeed endorses the option that communication with GNSS shall be assessed as an option at manufacturer’s choice. OICA proposed at AECS-07 that an assessment of connection with “a” GNSS constellation is performed, but the OICA proposal does not specify any particular GNSS constellation; this remains at the full choice of the manufacturer for the sake of Type Approval.

Paragraph 16.3: performance requirements for resistance of AECS to vehicle impact. The verification shall be performed according to the approval procedure chosen per paragraph 14.1: frontal or lateral collision, or demonstration with functional
provisions. This latter procedure should be primarily used for extensions of existing approvals and when the vehicle is already approved to the frontal/lateral impact regulations prior the entry into force of this regulation. In this regard, OICA proposed at AECS-07 inserting an additional sub-paragraph in 14.1. with a dedicated footnote 2/.

OICA additionally proposed compliance with the requirements of a new annex 4 (see justifications to Annex 4 below).

Paragraph 16.4: performance requirements for triggering signal emission. The verification shall be performed according to the approval procedure chosen par paragraph 14.1: frontal or lateral collision, or demonstration with documentation. This latter procedure should be primarily used for extensions of existing approvals and when the vehicle is already approved to the frontal/lateral impact regulations, and were fitted with an AECD prior the entry into force of this regulation.

Paragraph 16.4.4: this new provision is necessary for vehicles out of UN R94 and UN R95, but equipped with a triggering system, because they need to meet some requirements. As they are not subject to any crash test, the manufacturer must demonstrate by proper means the generation of a triggering signal for the purpose of AECS.

Paragraph 16.5: as per the re-structuration proposed by OICA, a new paragraph 16.5 is inserted with the purpose of checking the proper functioning of the AECS after crash in the proper sequence:
1. activation of automatic call and dial-up;
2. when existing, manual emergency call control operation;
3. the generation of a warning signal and the operation of the microphone; and
4. checking the correct recording, content and emission of the Minimum Set of Data (MSD).

All these performances shall be verified according to the relevant test procedures of Annex 8.

Paragraph 16.6: power supply performances assessment. Paragraph should apply only when the tests were not performed under the device section (Part I of the regulation).

Paragraph 16.6.1: when the vehicle is eligible to the test of UN R94, the assessment can be performed by a real test just after the real crash test, or a demonstration of the capacity of the power supply together with the absence of damage. “All” vehicle systems means “all systems that are expected to be powered post-crash”, in a realistic worst case scenario.

Paragraph 16.6.2: when the vehicle is eligible to the tests of UN R94 and R95, the assessment shall be performed by a demonstration of the capacity of the power supply together with the absence of damage. “All” vehicle systems means “all systems that are expected to be powered post-crash”, in a realistic worst case scenario.

Paragraph 16.6.3: when the vehicle is not eligible to the tests of UN R94 nor R95, the assessment shall be performed by a demonstration of the capacity of the power supply together with the absence of damage.

Paragraph 16.6.4: describes the conditions for the cases when the vehicle is eligible to the tests under at least one out of UN R94 and R95.

Paragraphs 17 to 20: Conventional wording per regulations under the 58 Agreement

Part III: Section still to be developed. It will be largely inspired from Part II of the regulation.

Annexes XX, YY, ZZ: AECS-07 agreed to the addition of Annexes XX, YY and ZZ as Communication Forms which permit the Type Approval authorities to communicate with each other on the approvals granted. A sub item to item 9 in Annexes XX and YY provides an entry for indicating whether
the AECD approval contains assessment of the AECD information and warning signal. Should the answer be “no” in Annex XX, then the Communication form for AECS in Annex YY should contain a “yes” to this entry, and vice-versa. Such discrimination is not necessary when the AECS is fully integrated in the vehicle (Annex ZZ).

A new entry “10” was added to Annex XX was added for addressing the AECD sled test of Annex 4.

Annexes 1 to 3: Conventional annexes per regulations under the 58 Agreement.

Annex 4: Annex dedicated to the assessment of the resistance to mechanical impact. The group agreed in principle on a sled test ensuring that AECS deliver high societal benefits to those casualties who need it most because it covers collision configurations that are more challenging to AECD than full-scale tests (UN R94 &95). The proposal is based on the decelerations a sample of full-scale crash test results of superminis, MYs 2012 and 2013 (56 km/h, rigid barrier, full-width).

Annex 5: Annex dedicated to the assessment of the navigation solution, at the request of the manufacturer. Proposal per document AECS-07-13 (GSA). GSA volunteer to update their proposal in extracting the performance requirements and placing them into the text of the regulation.

Annex 6: Annex dedicated to the assessment of the emission of an emergency call signal, and of the presence and content of the MSD. Content of Annex 6 as proposed by RUS at AECS-07. Russian Federation believes Annex 6 is required to describe methods to assess post-crash operation. Needs to be high level.

Annex 7: Could be deleted

Annex 8: OICA proposed the following at AECS-07:

- For consistency, this annex should relate to the AECS, because it addresses “the installation of an AECD” (Part II of the regulation).

- The procedure for verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by separated functional test is described in the detailed separate appendices 1 and 2.

- Paragraph 2: Verification by simple Human Machine Interface should be sufficient if the AECD is capable of diagnosing all its components and discriminating the failures coming from the environment (e.g. network failures) from internal failures.

- Paragraph 3: verification, per post-crash memory checking, that the correct MSD and emissions to networks properly occurred. This can be permitted only if the AECD as the relevant capabilities (energy supply, self-diagnosis, event sequence memorization, etc.)

- Paragraph 4: the separate functional test of Appendix 1 should be permitted if the AECD has the capabilities of memorizing all steps of an emergency call transaction and if all the AECD components are removable for laboratory inspection.

- Paragraph 5: functional transmission test when the connectivity components are accessible for functional testing.

Appendix 1: Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by separated functional test: the proposed criteria and confirmation methods are elaborated keeping in view the need for practical provisions. Provisions for AECD with internal antenna must be different to those with external antenna as the latter can be accessible to the operator.

Appendix 2: Verification of functional state of the in-vehicle system by functional transmission test with wired procedure (for AECS with external antenna): these provisions are inspired from those of appendix 1, with some changes due to
wire connection. This appendix indeed is restricted to AECD with external antenna.

Annex 9: Definition of the minimum set of data (MSD). As agreed at the 2nd meeting of the informal group, this dedicated annex provides the minimum requirements for the MSD, i.e. the mandatory part of CEN15722 Standard, Table 1. The annex is limited to a list of the basic minimum data to be conveyed to PSAP; the exact format of MSD is still under the responsibility of the manufacturer, in relation with the countries were the vehicle is intended to be marketed.

Annex 10: HMI (AECD/AECS control) testing. RUS proposed at AECS-07 to add such annex.