

ADS IWG Working Document
Change Proposal Form
One major comment per form
(Shaded blocks for use by the IWG Secretariat)

Document Reference

ADS-14-27

Date

8 October 2025

Agenda item

Proposed by (affiliation only—no personal information)

Canada

Summary of Change (25 words or less)

In order to conform with the standards for regulatory text of each agreement, the secretariat used the text from ADS-12-03r3, split it into two columns and adapted it to use GTR & UNR language (ADS-13-03 & ADS-14-03). In some cases, the secretariat added square brackets around paragraphs where the adaptations would need to be more substantial in nature.

Reason for Change (Justification)

This document proposes draft text for the some of the square bracketed paragraphs in the GTR in an attempt to preserve the original intent with the new language.

Location

Various paragraphs in sections 6.2-6.4

Original text

(See comparison table below)

Revised text

(see comparison table below)

Paragraph number	Original Text	Suggested Text
6.2.1.2.2.	[The assessor may request to witness the execution of the simulation toolchain(s) and the generation of results to verify the evidence produced by the manufacturer and to understand the use of the simulation toolchain(s).]	The manufacturer may be required to demonstrate the execution of the simulation toolchain(s) and the generation of results to verify that the evidence complies with the provisions under paragraph 5.2.1 of the Regulation and to understand the use of the simulation toolchain(s).
6.2.1.2.3.	[The assessor shall audit the information provided by the manufacturer and may request additional testing to verify their claims. The results of the audit and from any additional tests shall be reviewed and any concerns or discrepancies shall be documented and reviewed with the manufacturer.]	The information provided by the manufacturer shall be audited and the manufacturer may be required to perform additional testing to verify their claims. The results of the audit and from any additional tests shall be reviewed and any concerns or discrepancies shall be documented and reviewed with the manufacturer.
6.2.1.2.3.1.	[If the assessor is unable to confirm that there is an appropriate level of consistency between the information provided by the results and those of the manufacturer or raise other concerns and the manufacturer cannot provide a reasonable explanation for the discrepancies, then the assessor shall inform the manufacturer that they need to undertake their own review to identify the reasons.]	If the process in 6.2.1.2.3 is unable to confirm an appropriate level of consistency between the information provided by the results of additional testing and those of the manufacturer or, raises other concerns for which the manufacturer cannot provide a reasonable explanation of the discrepancies, the manufacturer shall undertake a review to identify the reasons for those discrepancies .
6.2.1.2.3.2.	[The manufacturer can resubmit once they have identified and resolved the issues and updated the information and evidence. The manufacturer shall explain the issue and its impact. The assessor shall conduct a further review that will include an assessment of the additional information supplied by the manufacturer.]	Upon the discrepancies in 6.2.1.2.3.1 being identified and resolved, the manufacturer shall explain their findings and impact. The manufacturer shall add this new information and associated updates to the material being assessed. Updates to previously assessed material shall be re-assessed.
6.2.1.2.4.	The assessor shall document their finding and if successful the simulation toolchain(s) will be accepted as suitable to undertake virtual testing. If not and the manufacturer cannot provide an explanation for any gaps or discrepancies then the assessor shall provide their finding to the manufacturer and inform them that they need to undertake their own internal review to identify the underlying causes.	The assessment shall determine and document if the simulation toolchain(s) are deemed suitable for virtual testing. If the simulation toolchain(s) are not deemed suitable and the manufacturer cannot provide an explanation for the gaps or discrepancies, the documented assessment for that simulation toolchain(s) shall be shared with the manufacturer and they shall need to undertake their own internal review to identify the underlying causes and submit changes for re-assessment.

6.2.2.2	[The assessor may request to witness the execution of some of the track tests performed by the manufacturer to confirm compliance with the provisions under paragraph 5.2.2. and sub-paragraphs.]	The manufacturer may be required to demonstrate the execution of track tests to verify that the evidence complies with the provisions under paragraph 5.2.2 of this Regulation.
6.2.3.2	[The assessor may request to witness the execution of some of the real-world tests performed by the manufacturer to confirm compliance with the provisions under paragraph 5.2.3.]	The manufacturer may be required to demonstrate the execution of real-world tests to verify that the evidence complies with the provisions under paragraph 5.2.3 of this Regulation.
6.3.1.2	[The assessor may request that the manufacturer provide supporting documentation, assist in repeating/reproducing evidence or subject the ADS to confirmatory tests the assessor deems necessary for this task.]	The manufacturer may be required to provide supporting documentation and assist in repeating/reproducing evidence or subject the ADS to confirmatory tests.
6.3.1.3	The assessment shall check the manufacturer’s safety case for completeness ensuring that at least the following criteria have been met: [UNR text uses “review”]	The assessment shall include a review of the manufacturer’s safety case for completeness ensuring that at least the following criteria have been met:
6.3.3.2.2.	[The assessor may request to use the virtual testing environment used by the manufacturer to carry out confirmatory virtual testing.]	The manufacturer may be required to make the virtual testing environment it has used to produce evidence in its safety case available to carry out confirmatory virtual testing.
6.3.3.3.2.1.	[The assessor may request to use the testing ground used by the manufacturer to carry out confirmatory track testing.]	The manufacturer may be required to make the testing grounds it has used to produce evidence in its safety case available to carry out confirmatory track testing.
6.4.3.	The assessment shall review the manufacturer’s data processing (for example: filtering and conditioning) procedure during occurrence investigation and agree on the steps undertaken to deliver the data supporting the report.	The assessment shall include a review of the manufacturer’s data processing (for example: filtering and conditioning) procedure during occurrence investigation and agree on the steps undertaken to deliver the data supporting the report.
6.4.5.	The assessment, where necessary, may verify the information provided and, if needed, the assessor may require further investigations and evidence, including test, before closing the occurrence.	The assessment may include , where necessary, verification of the information provided and, if needed, the manufacturer may be required to complete further investigations and produce further evidence, before closing the occurrence