

ADS IWG Change Request

*One request per form
Please submit requests as separate documents
Shaded blocks for use by secretariat*

Date	30 April 2026	<i>Please use month name (e.g., "January")</i>
Document	ADS-20-51	
Agenda Item	5.20	
Submitted by	OPI	<i>Affiliation only—No personal information</i>
Title		<i>25 words or less (used to post document)</i>
Location	8.3.3.3.8., 8.3.3.3.9.	<i>e.g., impacted section, paragraph number(s)</i>
Summary	The proposal aims to tackle some residual comments around the wording used to derive scenarios for confirmatory testing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accepted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deferred (further discussion) <input type="checkbox"/> Not accepted <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn
Original Text	The applicability and relevance of specific scenarios selected as part of the confirmatory testing depend on the ADS feature, its ODD, and the scenarios used to demonstrate the safety case. When selecting the list of scenarios/situations for confirmatory testing, the assessor may consider the following general guiding principles to establish the relevance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ADS system description and its safety concept: this guiding principle aims at ensuring that the intended use(s) of the ADS and its architecture are considered when planning confirmatory testing; • The safety claims presented in the safety case: this aims at ensuring meaningful and robust confirmatory testing through the selection of tests relevant to safety claims in the safety case. The choice of tests with high-severity hazards, complex mitigation strategies, or critical architectural assumptions helps to provide reassurance that some of the more critical manufacturer tests have been confirmed as trustworthy. Confirmatory testing may be undertaken using virtual testing. The testing performed is expected to be within the scope and capability of the toolchain that is being used. As with other confirmatory testing it can be within, at the boundary of or outside the ODD.	
Revised Text	The applicability and relevance of specific scenarios selected as part of the confirmatory testing depend on the ADS feature, its ODD, and the scenarios used to demonstrate the safety case. When selecting the list of scenarios/situations for confirmatory testing, the assessor may consider the following general guiding principles to establish the relevance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ADS system description and its safety concept: this guiding principle aims at ensuring that the intended use(s) of the ADS and its architecture are considered when planning confirmatory testing; • The safety claims presented in the safety case: this aims at ensuring meaningful and robust confirmatory testing through the selection of tests relevant to safety claims in the safety case. The choice of tests with high-severity hazards, complex mitigation strategies, or critical architectural assumptions helps to provide reassurance that some of the more critical manufacturer tests have been confirmed as trustworthy. Confirmatory testing may be undertaken using virtual testing. The testing performed is expected to be within the scope and capability of the toolchain that is being used. As with other confirmatory testing it can be within, at the boundary of or outside the ODD.	

Rationale

The proposal removes some terminology which is not used in the regulation to avoid mis-interpretations.

Discussion

--