

# EURO 5 EFFECT STUDY FOR L-CATEGORY VEHICLES

UN L-EPPR October 2016





innovation for life





# **PROJECT OUTLINE**

- Tender ID:
  - > Title: Euro 5 Effect study for L-category vehicles
  - > Tender No: 465/PP/GRO/IMA/15/11825
  - Contract No: SI2.713570
  - Client: European Commission DG-GROWTH

#### • Consortium performing the work:

- > TNO The Netherlands
- > EMISIA Greece
- > Laboratory of Applied Thermodynamics (LAT) Greece
- > Heinz Steven Data Analysis and Consultancy (HSDAC) Germany



# MAIN REQUIREMENTS OF THE STUDY

- Perform an experimental assessment and verification programme to underpin Euro 5 stage.
- Assess the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of possible post Euro 5 elements:
  - > in-service conformity testing requirements
  - **off-cycle emission** requirements
  - Expand PM limit scope and introduction of a PN emission limit for certain (sub-)categories of L-category vehicles.
  - > A cost-benefit analysis is currently on going in these issues
- This presentation contains the preliminary conclusions on the introduction of the Euro 5 limit in 2020



# **DIFFERENT PHASES OF THE STUDY**





# **EURO 5 LIMIT INTRODUCTION IN 2020**

#### **TECHNOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**



# EMISSION LIMITS (REGULATION (EU) 168/2013)

Euro 4	Vehicle category	Vehicle category name	Propulsion class	Euro level	Mass of carbon monoxide (CO)	Mass of total hydrocarbons (THC)	Mass of oxides of nitrogen (NO <sub>x</sub> )	Mass of particulate matter (PM)	Test cycle
					L <sub>1</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>2</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>3</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>4</sub> (mg/km)	
	L1e-A	Powered cycle	PI/CI/Hybrid	Euro 4	560	100	70		ECE R47
	L1e-B	Two-wheel moped	PI/CI/Hybrid	Euro 4	1 000	630	170	—	ECE R47
	L2e	Three-wheel moped	PI/CI/Hybrid	Euro 4	1 900	730	170	—	ECE R47
	L3e	3e — Two-wheel motorcycles with and 4e ( <sup>7</sup> ) without side-car 5e-A — Tricycle — Heavy on-road guad	PI/PI Hybrid, v <sub>max</sub> < 130 km/h	Euro 4	1 140	380	70	—	WMTC, stage 2
	LTec() without side- L5e-A — Tricycle L7e-A — Heavy on-roa		PI/PI Hybrid, v <sub>max</sub> ≥ 130 km/h	Euro 4	1 140	170	90	—	WMTC, stage 2
		inary on road quad	CI/CI Hybrid	Euro 4	1 000	100	300	80 ( <sup>8</sup> )	WMTC, stage 2

	•	,
1	C	2
1	È	
	_	3
L	I	

Vehicle category	Vehicle category name	Propulsion class	Euro Level ( <sup>4</sup> )	Mass of carbon monoxide (CO)	Mass of total hydro- carbons (THC)	Mass of Non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC)	Mass of oxides of nitrogen (NO <sub>x</sub> )	Mass of particulate matter (PM)	Test cycle
				L <sub>1</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>2A</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>2B</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>3</sub> (mg/km)	L <sub>4</sub> (mg/km)	
Lle-A	Powered cycle	PI/CI/Hybrid	Euro 5	500	100	68	60	4,5 ( <sup>9</sup> )	Revised WMTC ( <sup>10</sup> )
Lle-B-L7e	All other L-category vehicles	PI/ PI Hybrid	Euro 5	1 000	100	68	60	4,5 ( <sup>9</sup> )	Revised WMTC
		CI/CI Hybrid		500	100	68	90	4,5	Revised WMTC



# WHERE CURRENT TYPE APPROVAL VALUES STAND

Already ~40% of L3e TAs comply Euro 5 numerical HC/NOx limits CO compliance reaches 96%



Source: Sept. '16 Kraftfahrt-Bundesamt L3e Type Approval data Note: Euro 5 limit uncertainty range due to 0.5/0.5 weighing factors



# EXPECTED TECHNOLOGY NECESSITATED

- Motorcycles
  - > Marginally larger catalyst and/or higher Platinum Group Metals (PGM) loading
  - > Improved engine tuning for cold-start emission suppression
  - In some models: Closed-couple pre-cat + main catalyst or closer placement of main catalyst
- Mopeds
  - > Elimination of two-stroke engines
  - > Four-stroke engines with electronic fuel injection
  - > Thermally optimized three-way catalyst for fast light-off



## **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT** COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS (CBA)



# **OVERVIEW OF CBA APPROACH**





#### BASELINE SCENARIO FOR THE FLEET/ACTIVITY DATA

- Motorcycles: their contribution to activity dominates, mainly due to shrinkage of mopeds sector and higher mileage (annual distance driven)
- Mopeds: their contribution to activity presents a continuous decrease from 2010 to 2040
- Mini-cars and ATVs: Small overall contribution to total activity (but effects on local air quality)
  - 'Business as usual' scenario after an initial sales rebound
  - Consistent with statistical data for historical years, projections based on historical data and justified estimation of future trends





# HC EMISSIONS SAVINGS BY INTRODUCING EURO 5 LIMITS



> ~509 kt HC can be saved when Euro 5 is introduced in 2020 for all L-vehicles

> ~52% emission savings over Euro 4

2020-2040 period: HC savings / Euro 4 vehicle emissions = 509kt / 979kt = 52%

~26% emission savings of the whole L-category fleet emissions 2020-2040 period: HC savings / total L-fleet emissions = 509kt / 1,950kt = 26%



## NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS SAVINGS BY INTRODUCING EURO 5 LIMITS



 $\sim$  -141 kt NO<sub>x</sub> can be saved when Euro 5 is introduced in 2020 for all L-vehicles

~34.5% emission savings over Euro 4

2020-2040 period: NO<sub>x</sub> savings / Euro 4 vehicle emissions = 141kt / 408.5kt = 34.5%

~25% emission savings of the whole L-category fleet emissions 2020-2040 period: NO<sub>x</sub> savings / total L-fleet emissions = 141kt / 566kt = 25%



### PM EMISSION SAVINGS BY INTRODUCING EURO 5 LIMITS



> ~6.6 kt PM will be saved when Euro 5 is introduced in 2020 for all L-vehicles

~51.5% emission savings over Euro 4

2020-2040 period: PM savings / Euro 4 vehicle emissions = 6.6kt / 12.8kt = 51.5%

~24% emission savings of the whole L-category fleet emissions 2020-2040 period: PM savings / total L-fleet emissions = 6.6kt / 27.3kt = 24%



# **COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS RESULTS**



> Total costs of Euro 5 (not price!) per vehicle (2020-2040 period):

- Mopeds: 83 to 93 €/vehicle
- Motorcycles: 39 to 48 €/vehicle



# **PELIMENARY CONCLUSIONS**

- Proposed Euro 5 emission limits are technically feasible to be reached by 2020
- New limits entail thermal optimization of currently available technology
  Stoichiometric combustion and three way catalyst
- Cost-benefit analysis suggests societal benefits in monetary terms exceed associated costs over a 20-year assessment period ne
- Implementation costs are marginal for motorcycles and relatively more significant for mopeds



# **NEXT STEPS**

- Oct 2016 draft final report submitted to DG GROW
- Nov 2016 present preliminary study results to industry specialists / stakeholders
- Dec 2016 final report submitted to DG GROW
- Jan 2017 presentation of the final report in European Parliament
- Jan 2017 presentation of final results in UN L-EPPR
- April 2017 presentation of final results in MCWG