

Meeting Summary

CLIV IWG – 16th session

17:00 – 19:00 AEST, 23 April 2026

Location: Online Via Teams Meeting, hosted by Australia

The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed all CLIV IWG delegates, including new members and GRE experts in attendance. As no objections were raised with the agenda, the agenda was adopted.

The Chair provided a brief overview of the outcomes from the recent in-person meeting held in South Korea.

The Secretariat then started and presented on feedback arising from that meeting:

Consumers International (CI) raised an issue regarding the definition of the SOS Morse code. CI noted that the correct definition is a continuous sequence of three dots, three dashes, and three dots, which differs from the wording currently included in the draft UN Regulation. This discrepancy was acknowledged and will be amended accordingly.

Written information from GRE experts then led a discussion on how the CLIV IWG should approach the lighting sequence, including consideration of potential alternative CLIV visual lighting solutions and which Lighting UN Regulations may need amendments.

The next item is on the feedback on Mr Maeng's CLIV document submission to GRSG, after which Mr Maeng took the floor. He delivered a high-level presentation on the outcomes from GRSG discussions. Key issues raised included ambiguity in the scope for M2 and M3 category vehicles, particularly that the scope was not limited only to vehicles designed or equipped primarily for the carriage of children. He also highlighted the need to explicitly exclude camper vans and caravans. Several IWG delegates, including CI, OICA, BIC, and Michael Becker all agreed that the scope requires clearer definition to avoid unintended application. It was agreed that all CLIV IWG delegates would review the presentation, which will be made available on the Wiki site, and provide feedback and suggestions to support a well-defined scope. This item will be revisited at the May online session.

The Chair then invited updates from the homework teams on tasks assigned at the South Korea meeting:

- **Definitions order:** Japan confirmed agreement that definitions in the UN Regulation should remain in alphabetical order.
- **Operational isolation of physical inspection system:** BIC advised it would provide proposed wording before the next online meeting.
- **Vehicle master control switch definition:** OICA and several delegates agreed that the latest UN Regulation definitions should be reviewed and adopted into the current draft to ensure suitability for buses and coaches.
- **Transitional provisions:** Irina Dausse (Renault) recommended to reference previous Australian-led work on pole side impact testing as a basis for potential wording.
- **Type approval test:** OICA recommended to totally remove the type approval test clause.
- **Paragraph 5.4.4.6.2:** OICA and BIC will undertake further research and return with proposals at the next online meeting.

Following agenda item 5, the Vice Chair from South Korea advised that a Korean delegate will attend GRE to present the proposed document on the lighting requirements. South Korea had also explained their reasoning for amending the initial proposal for GRE in support for their GRE expert to present on.

The Chair raised the next agenda item concerning the September in-person meeting. Due to ongoing conflict in the Middle East, alternative locations outside Europe should be considered. Japan, Canada, and the United States were discussed as potential options; however, Caitlin McKeighan (NHTSA) advised that the US would not be able to host. UTAC has currently confirmed Paris as a possible location, with a final decision to be made by the end of July.

Under Any Other Business (AOB), the Chair opened the floor for additional updates, including feedback from Thierry Mousel regarding ISO activities. Thierry advised that work under the ISO-CPD group has resumed for the year and encouraged delegates to contact the Secretariat for further details. The Chair noted that it would be beneficial for ISO work to be progressed ahead of the September in-person meeting, particularly in preparation for light vehicle drafting.

The Chair concluded the meeting by reiterating the homework to be completed before the next online session and thanked delegates for their continued work, commitment, and participation. The meeting was then formally closed.

Participants:

1. Abdul Nilar
2. Nae-young Jung
3. Daniel Kutschkin
4. Stratos Kyriakou
5. Bin Zhao
6. CATARC (delegates)
7. Cristian Cojocar
8. Irina Dausse
9. Hyounggu Kim
10. Monika Isaksson
11. Ishii Sadayuki
12. Janette Fennell
13. Jinli Song
14. Jitendra Gaur
15. Khalid Al Abri
16. Koichi Uesaka
17. Kil ho Maeng
18. Caitlin McKeighan
19. Daniela Maiwald
20. Cesar Ocampo Lecaros
21. Mooath Mohammed Aldossari
22. Paul Jones
23. Peng Weiqiang
24. Ronald Vroman
25. Florian Savona
26. Thierry Mousel
27. Simone Bambagioni

28. Tosa Yoshiyuki
29. Sebastian Weber
30. Yihu sun
31. Zhe Xu
32. KyungRyeol Min
33. Vladimir Zlokolica
34. Takashi Sawamura
35. Michael Becker
36. Changjin Lee
37. Dean Moule
38. Alessia Silano
39. Marina Drikic
40. Liping Liu
41. Simone Bambagioni
42. Alessia Bolla