

From: Guiting, Tim [mailto:TGuiting@rdw.nl]
Sent: 16 November 2016 12:54
To: 'GTB-Geoff Draper'
Cc: 'Sven.Paeslack@kba.de'; 'Michel Loccufier'; 'Konstantin Glukhenkiy'; 'GTB Secretary - Davide Puglisi'; Rovers, Derwin; RYUZO OSHITA (ryuzo_oshita@mail.toyota.co.jp); 'Margarida.TELES-ROMAO@ec.europa.eu'

Subject: Info for GTB on DETA and Unique Identifier

Dear Geoff,

Herewith an update on last week's DETA session:

First of all, at this moment **we are very uncertain when DETA will become available or when it can deliver a Unique Identifier.**

We discussed how to apply the UI, for example as a marking. Some of this could not be confirmed by the DETA meeting or by the subgroup 58 Agreement session. **Especially we are not sure whether it shall be allowed to obligate the UI marking. The intention has always been that a manufacturer may choose to use the UI marking instead of the ECE markings.** We will confirm this during next subgroup 58 Agreement session of 10 Mar 2017.

The report of the DETA meeting:

<https://www2.unece.org/wiki/download/attachments/40829198/DETA-28-02e%20rev1.doc?api=v2>

The answers from IWG DETA and SG58 to the GTB understanding of the UI:

https://www2.unece.org/wiki/download/attachments/35356721/GRE-76-24e_IWG%20DETA.pdf?api=v2

The first draft for guidelines on the application of the UI (will be further discussed and confirmed next session):

<https://www2.unece.org/wiki/download/attachments/35356721/SG58-22-XX%20revised.docx?api=v2>

Then about the third bullet point of **page 3 of doc GRE-76-24** where it reads that CP's have the freedom to choose to adopt, or not to adopt, particular devices within the UN lighting Regulation: **This is not part on the DETA scope so we didn't discuss it in detail but anyway comments had been received. There is real doubt whether this is a possibility within the 1958 Agreement. In the subgroup 58 Agreement we are already investigating other approaches.** Last week we discussed OICA's suggestion for an "attestation concept". Several CP's indicated being reluctant to accept such a concept. This is just for your information.

And finally about your proposal to incorporate the UI into R6:

<https://www2.unece.org/wiki/download/attachments/35356721/DETA-27-03e.pdf?api=v2>).

Like mentioned **we are not sure whether it shall be allowed to obligate the UI marking. This should maybe be introduced just as an alternative (especially until DETA is ready).** Also we are not sure yet whether all UN Regulations should specify all details (e.g. definition) about the UI marking as this is already part of the 1958 Agreement Revision 3. We have to confirm this during the SG58 session on 10 March 2017.

(Other DETA docs: <https://www2.unece.org/wiki/display/trans/DETA+27th+session>).

Just let me know in case you have any more questions or remarks.

Met vriendelijke groet / Kind regards

Tim Guiting
Secretary DETA