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CLEPA Offices
• Paragraph 6.3.3.3. currently defines that a ‘Partial Latching indication” is needed:

6.3.3.3. Partial latching indication

*The ISOFIX Enhanced child restraint System shall incorporate means by which there is a clear indication that both of the ISOFIX attachments are completely latched with the corresponding ISOFIX lower anchorages. The indication means may be audible, tactile or visual or a combination of two or more. In case of visual indication it shall be detectable under all normal lighting conditions.*

• However for non intregral seats a partial connected ISOFIX system is not a significant safety reduction. Can therefore this requirement be omitted for Non-intregral seats?
Proposal to exempt non-integral seats

- by limiting the application only to Integral systems:

  6.3.3.3. Partial latching indication

  The **An Integral** ISOFIX Enhanced child restraint System shall incorporate means by which there is a clear indication that both of the ISOFIX attachments are completely latched with the corresponding ISOFIX lower anchorages. The indication means may be audible, tactile or visual or a combination of two or more. In case of visual indication it shall be detectable under all normal lighting conditions.

- or by excluding non-integral systems:

  6.3.3.3. Partial latching indication

  The ISOFIX Enhanced child restraint System shall incorporate means by which there is a clear indication that both of the ISOFIX attachments are completely latched with the corresponding ISOFIX lower anchorages. The indication means may be audible, tactile or visual or a combination of two or more. In case of visual indication it shall be detectable under all normal lighting conditions. **This paragraph is not applicable for non-integral enhanced child restraint systems.**

- Treatment of integral / Non-Integral combination seats?

  **This paragraph is not applicable for non-integral ECRS, and also not applicable for the non-integral mode of an ECRS combining both integral and non–integral modes.**
Proposal to exempt non integral seats

Paragraph 6.7.5.2. currently defines that a ‘Child lock” is needed:

6.7.5.2.
ISOFIX attachments shall have a locking mechanism which complies with the requirements specified in (a) or (b) as follows:
(a) Release of the locking mechanism of the complete seat, shall require two consecutive actions, the first of which should be maintained while the second is carried out; or
(b) The ISOFIX attachment opening force shall be at least 50 N when tested as prescribed in paragraph 7.2.8. below.

CLEPA proposes to limit it to Integral ECRS only.
“6.7.5.2.
ISOFIX attachments of Integral Enhanced child restraint systems shall have a locking mechanism which complies with the requirements specified in (a) or (b) as follows: ....”