A proposal for the Definitions of Automated Driving under WP.29 and the General Principles for developing a UN Regulation

- O The following table reflects the general principles for automated driving systems as WP.29. These principles will be treated as guidelines for developing a new regulation related to automated driving systems at WP.29.
 - The control systems that intervening in case of emergency (AEB, ESC, Deadman, etc.) are not included in these definitions of automated driving.
- The control functions that avoid dangers caused by unpredictable traffic conditions (goods/luggage dropping, frozen road, etc.) or other drivers' illegal driving behaviors are not considered in this table.
- O The regulation on automated driving needs to have new specific performance requirements and verification tests under various conditions depending on each level.
- O In discussing system requirements, it is desirable to organize them by level as well as by road way type (1: parking area; 2: motorway; 3: urban and interurban road).
- O The following table shows the distinguish way of level of automated driving under WP.29 at this present considering the results of discussions so far and the assumed use cases. This table should be reconsidered appropriately in accordance with each concept of automated driving system to be placed on the market in the future.

	Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR) by the driver The driver may not perform secondary activities			Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR) by the system The driver may perform secondary activities			
	Monitor by Driver	Monitor by Driver (a)	Monitor by Driver (b)	Monitor by System (Return to Driver Control on System Request)	Monitor by System Full Time under defined use case	Monitor by System only	
Ref. SAE Level (J3016)	1		2	3	4	5	
Outline of Classification	longitudinal or lateral control. Monitoring by the	The system takes can and lateral control. Monitoring by driver the system is not abl situations in the use The driver shall be all any time.	e to detect all the case.	dynamic driving tasks within its designed use-case* or will otherwise transition to the driver offering sufficient lead time (driver is fallback). The system drives and monitors (specific to the use-case) the environment. The system detects system limits and issues a transition demand if these are	The system is able to cope with any situations in the concerned use case (fallback included). The driver is not necessarily needed during the specific usecase, e. g. Vallet Parking/Campus Shuttle. The system may however request a takeover if the use case boundaries are reached (e.g. motorway exit).	The system is able to cope with any situations on all road types, speed ranges and environmental conditions. No driver necessary.	
Vehicle Tasks	longitudinal (acceleration/braking)			1. Execute longitudinal (accelerating/braking) and lateral (steering) portions of the dynamic driving task when activated. Shall monitor the driving environment for operational decisions when activated.	1. Execute longitudinal (accelerating/braking) and lateral (steering) portions of the dynamic driving task when activated. Shall monitor the driving environment for any decisions happening in the use case (for example Emergency vehicles).	1. Monitor the driving environment	
		request by the human driver.		2. Permit activation only under conditions for which it was designed. System deactivated immediately at the request of the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate human takeover could compromise safety	2 Permit activation only under conditions for which it was designed. System deactivated immediately at the request of the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate human takeover could compromise safety	2. Execute longitudinal (accelerating/ braking) and lateral (steering)	
		3. No transition dem warnings.	and as such, only	3. System automatically deactivated only after requesting the driver to take-over with a sufficient lead time; may – under certain, limited circumstances – transition (at least initiate) to minimal risk condition if the human driver does not take over. It would be beneficial if the vehicle displays used for the secondary activities were also used to improve the human takeover process.	are no longer met and must be	3. Execute the OEDR subtasks of the dynamic driving task- human controls are not required in an extreme scenario.	
		(could be realized, for on detection or mon detect the driver's he eyelid movement etc driver's involvement	or example, as hands- itoring cameras to ead position and c.) could evaluate the	4. Driver availability recognition shall be used to ensure the driver is in the position to take over when requested by the system. Potential technical solutions range from detecting the driver's manual operations to monitoring cameras to detect the driver's head position and eyelid movement.	4. Driver availability recognition shall be used to ensure the driver is in the position to take over when requested by transition demand. This can however be lighter solutions than for level 3 because the system is able to transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition in the use case.	4. System will transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition.	
				5. Emergency braking measures must be accomplished by the system and not expected from the driver (due to secondary activities)	5. Emergency braking measures must be accomplished by the system and not expected from the driver (due to secondary activities)		

(ITS/AD 11th meeting, 16 March 2017, agenda item 3-2)

			(115/AL	J 11th meeting, 16 March 2	017, agenda item 3-2)
Driver Tasks	1. Determine when activation or deactivation of assistance system is appropriate	1. Determine when activation or deactivation of the system is appropriate.	1. Determine when activation or deactivation of the automated driving system is appropriate.	1. Determine when activation/deactivation of the automated driving system is appropriate.	1. Activate and deactivate the automated driving system.
		2. Execute the OEDR by monitoring the driving environment and responding if necessary (e.g. emergency vehicles coming).	longitudinal, lateral driving tasks and monitoring of the environment for	2. Does not need to execute the longitudinal, lateral driving tasks and monitoring of the environment in the use case.	2. Does not need to execute the longitudinal, lateral driving tasks and monitoring of the environment during the whole trip.
	executed by driver assistance system and intervening immediately when required by the	3. Constantly supervise the dynamic driving task executed by the system. Although the driver may be disengaged from the physical aspects of driving, he/she must be fully engaged mentally with the driving task and shall immediately intervene when required by the environment or by the system (no transition demand by the system, just warning in case of misuse or failure).	3. Shall remain sufficiently vigilant as to acknowledge the transition demand and, acknowledge vehicle warnings, mechanical failure or emergency vehicles (increase lead time compared to level 2).	3. May be asked to take over upon request within lead time. However the system does not require the driver to provide fallback performance under the use case.	3. Determine waypoints and destinations
	4. The driver shall not perform secondary activities which will hamper him in intervening immediately when required.	4. The driver shall not perform secondary activities which will hamper him in intervening immediately when required.	4. May turn his attention away from the complete dynamic driving task in the use case but can only perform secondary activities with appropriate reaction times. It would be beneficial if the vehicle displays were used for the secondary activities.	4. May perform a wide variety of secondary activities in the use case.	4. May perform a wide variety of secondary activities during the whole trip.
	Same as current principle (manner)	Consider whether regulatory provision for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary.	for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary including the monitoring of the driving environment.	1. Consider which regulatory provision for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary including the monitoring of the driving environment for any decisions happening in the use case (for example Emergency vehicles).	Note: Preliminary analysis only- subject further review. 1. Consider which regulatory provision for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary including the monitoring of the driving environment for any decisions (for example Emergency vehicles).
		2. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system is deactivated immediately upon request by the human driver.	ensure the system: i) Permits activation only under conditions for which it was designed, and ii) Deactivates immediately upon request by the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate driver takeover could compromise safety.	2. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system: i) Permits activation only under conditions for which it was designed, and ii) Deactivates immediately upon request by the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate driver takeover could compromise safety.	2. Depending upon the vehicle configuration, consider regulatory provision to ensure the system: i) Permits activation only under conditions for which it was designed, and ii) Deactivates immediately upon request by the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate driver takeover could compromise safety.
		3. Consider the warning strategy to be used.	ensure the system automatically deactivates only after requesting the driver to take-over with a sufficient lead time; including – under certain, limited circumstances – transition (at	3. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system automatically transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition preferably outside of an active lane of traffic if design/boundary conditions are no longer met.	3. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system automatically transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition preferably outside of an active lane of traffic.
		4. Consider the driver availability recognition function to evaluate the driver's involvement in the monitoring task and ability to intervene immediately. For example, as hands-on detection or monitoring cameras to detect the driver's head position and eyelid movement etc.	driver availability recognition is used to ensure the driver is in the position to take over when requested by the system	4. Consider regulatory provision for driver availability recognition is used to ensure the driver is in the position to take over when requested by the system transition demand at the end of the use case.	
			emergency braking measures by the system	5. Consider regulatory provision for emergency braking measures by the system.	4. Consider regulatory provision for emergency braking measures by the system.

(ITS/AD 11th meeting, 16 March 2017, agenda item 3-2)

		Exam	ples of the necessary	system performance requirements	D 11th meeting, 16 March 2		
Override (e.g. steering, braking, accelerating) function by the driver		1	Necessary in general		Unnecessary when driverless mode. Otherwise necessary in general. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate human takeover could compromise safety.	Unnecessary	
arrangement that	Detection of hands- off when Level 1 addresses LKAS	Detection of hands-off	Detecting the driver availability recognition function to evaluate the driver's involvement in the monitoring task and ability to intervene immediately (e.g. hands off detection, head and/or eye movement and/or input to any control element of the vehicle)	Detection of driver's availability to take over the driving task upon request or when required: e.g. seated/unseated, driver availability recognition system (e.g. head and/or eye movement and/or input to any control element of the vehicle)	Unnecessary when driverless operation/use case. Necessary when driver is requested to take over at the end of use case. In these circumstances, this can be lighter solutions than for level 3 because the system is able to transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition in the use case.	Unnecessary	
Aspects of arrangement that ensures the driver's resumption of dynamic driving tasks (transition periods to the driver, etc.) Aspect of transition demand procedure.	not applicable			Consideration of the methods used to reengage the driver following system request (including minimal risk maneuver and cognitive stimulation- if applicable the vehicle infotainment system showing non-driving relevant content to be deactivated automatically when transition demand is issued).	Unnecessary when driverless operation/use case but level 3 requirement when the end of the use case is reached.	Unnecessary	
System reliability	Consideration shall be given to evaluation of the system reli				edundancy as necessary.		
recognition of surrounding	The area to be monitored (depends on the system function).	lateral and longitudinal control (depends on the system function, while recognizing it is the task of the	longitudinal control	The area to be monitored depends on the lit is the task of the system to perform O	the system function (Lateral and longitudinal directions). OEDR.		
Recording of system status (inc. system behavior) (DSSA-Data Storage System for ACSF, EDR, etc.)	Unnecessary	Unnecessary	The driver's operations and the system status (incl. system behavior)	The driver's operations and the system status (incl. system behavior)	The system status (incl. system behavior))		
Cyber-Security		Necessar	y if the information cor	mmunication in connected vehicles, etc.	affects the vehicle control		
Compatibility with traffic law (WP.1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	[WP.1-IWG-AD recommends WP.1 to state that the use of these functions remain within the requirements of the Conventions.]	[WP.1-IWG-AD recommends WP.1 to state that the use of these functions remain within the requirements of the Conventions. These are functions whereby a driver is still available at the end of the use-case. Functions that do not require a driver (e.g. campus shuttle) at all (driverless) are still in discussion – except for those that do not interact on/with public roads.]	Further consideration necessary to reflect driverless systems before a conclusion can be made.	
		Summary of the	current conditions an	d the issues to be discussed (specific u	ise cases)		
	Already put into practice: • Parking Assist • LKA (draft	ractice: remote control (monitoring) (RCP- Remote Control Parking, CAT. A under Parking Assist ACSF amendment of R79)					
Roads exclusively for motor vehicles with physical separation from oncoming traffic (e.g. motorway)	standards) ACC (no specific performance requirements) ACSF Cat.B1 (Steering Function hands-on)	Under discussion: Categories [B2], C, (amendment of R7 Category B1 in con longitudinal contro ACC+ACSF (Cat.B1, Cat.C [Basic Lane Change Assist], Cat.D [Smart LCA])	nbination with	Under discussion: Categories B2, B2+E under ACSF (amendment of R79)	Requirements need to be deve	loped	
Urban and interurban roads		 Category B1 in corlongitudinal Control To be discussed by Cat. B1 in combina 	ol R79 IWG ACSF:	Requirements need to be developed			