Document No. ITS/AD-12-05 (ITS/AD 12th meeting, 22 June 2017, agenda item 3-2)

A proposal for the Definitions of Automated Driving under WP.29 and the General Principles for developing a UN Regulation

O The following table reflects the general principles for automated driving systems as WP.29. These principles will be treated as guidelines for developing a new regulation related to automated driving systems at WP.29.

- The control systems that intervening in case of emergency (AEB, ESC, Deadman, etc.) are not included in these definitions of automated driving.
- The control functions that avoid dangers caused by unpredictable traffic conditions (goods/luggage dropping, frozen road, etc.) or other drivers⁻ illegal driving behaviors are not considered in this table.
- O The regulation on automated driving needs to have new specific performance requirements and verification tests under various conditions depending on each level.
- O In discussing system requirements, it is desirable to organize them by level as well as by road-way type (1: parking area; 2: motorway; 3: urban and interurban road).

O The following table shows the distinguish way of level of automated driving under WP.29 at this present considering the results of discussions so far and the assumed use cases.

This table should be reconsidered appropriately in accordance with each concept of automated driving system to be placed on the market in the future.

	Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR) by the driver The driver may not perform secondary activities			Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR) by the system The driver may perform secondary activities			
	Monitor by Driver	Monitor by Driver (a)	Monitor by Driver (b)	Monitor by System (Return to Driver Control on System Request)	Monitor by System Full Time under defined use case	Monitor by System only	
Ref. SAE Level (J3016)	1	2		3	4	5	
Outline of Classification	System takes care of longitudinal or lateral control. Monitoring by the driver.	The system takes care of both longitudinal and lateral control. Monitoring by driver necessary because the system is not able to detect all the situations in the <u>use caseODD</u> . The driver shall be able to intervene at any time.		The system is able to cope with all dynamic driving tasks within its designed use case operational design domain* or will otherwise transition to the driver offering sufficient lead time (driver is fallback). The system drives and monitors (specific to the use case ODD) the environment. The system detects system limits and issues a transition demand if these are reached. *The Level 3 system is e.g. not expected to	The system is able to cope with any situations in the concerned use caseODD (fallback included). The driver is not necessarily needed during the specific use- case, e. g. Vallet Parking/ Campus Shuttle. The system may however request a takeover if the use caseODD boundaries are reached (e.g. motorway exit).	The system is able to cope with any situations on all road types, speed ranges and environmental conditions. No driver necessary.	
				provide a corridor for emergency vehicle access or to follow hand signals given by traffic enforcement officers. The driver needs to remain sufficiently vigilant as to acknowledge and react on these situations (e. g. when he hears the sirens of an emergency vehicle in close vicinity).			
Vehicle Tasks	1. Execute either longitudinal (acceleration/braking) or lateral (steering) dynamic driving tasks when activated . The system is not able to detect all the situations in the use case. and operating within the ODD	1. Execute longitudi braking) and lateral driving tasks when a is not able to detect <u>ODDs</u> situations in t	(steering) dynamic activated. The system - <u>function in</u> all the	1. Execute longitudinal (accelerating/braking) and lateral (steering) portions of the dynamic driving task when activated. Shall monitor the driving environment for operational decisions when activated.	1. Execute longitudinal (accelerating/braking) and lateral (steering) portions of the dynamic driving task when activated. Shall monitor the driving environment for any decisions happening in the use case_ODD (for example Emergency vehicles).	1. Monitor the driving environment	
	2. System deactivated immediately at the request of the driver	2. System deactivate request by the hum		2. Permit activation only under conditions for which it was designed. System deactivated immediately at the request of the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate human takeover could compromise safety	2 Permit activation only under conditions for which it was designed. System deactivated immediately at the request of the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate human takeover could compromise safety	 Execute longitudinal (accelerating/ braking) and lateral (steering) 	
		3. No transition den warnings.		3. System automatically deactivated only after requesting the driver to take-over with a sufficient lead time; may – under certain, limited circumstances – transition (at least initiate) to minimal risk condition if the human driver does not take over. It would be beneficial if the vehicle displays used for the secondary activities were also used to improve the human takeover process.	3. Shall deactivate automatically if design/boundary conditions are no longer met and must be able to transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition. May also ask for a transition demand before deactivating.	3. Execute the OEDR subtasks of the dynamic driving task- human controls are not required in an extreme scenario.	
		4. A driver availabili	ty engagement	4. Driver availability recognition shall	4. Driver availability	4. System will transfer th	

コメントの追加[BP1]: The ITS/AD definitions and SAE offer primarily technical descriptions of functionality, which are important for consistency in discussions, but may not capture the perceptions or mental models of the user. The user may only distinguish between their need to supervise the automation or not, and users may not understand subtle variations in functionality (e.g., 2a or 2b). Driver misunderstanding of functionality could risk issues such as mode confusion and out-of-the-loop driving. There is a difference between what drivers need to know and what the system developers and regulators need to know. Perhaps it should be noted somewhere that these descriptions are technical and not intended for purpose of informing consumers.

コメントの追加 [BP2]: Specify if these definitions include both automated passenger cars, heavy vehicles (i.e., existing vehicle classes) and, low-speed shuttle buses and pod cars (i.e., new classes of vehicles)?

コメントの追加 [**BP3]:** Explain the distinction more clearly between Level 2a and 2 b.

コメントの追加 [BP4]: Use SAE J3016 terms to be more

consistent: operational design domain (ODD).

コメントの追加 [BP5]: "provide unequivocal information on the
system status/operation mode,
ii warn/inform in due time when an intervention by the driver is
needed,
iii. , recognize road traffic regulations relevant for system-controlled
driving manoeuvres." (ITS/AD-11-07)

	and a duration of the second s	Driver availability recognition shall	1. Driver availability	1. System win crunsier the
	detectionrecognition function (could be	be used to ensure the driver is in the	recognition shall be used to	vehicle to a minimal risk
	realized, for example, as hands-on	position to take over when requested	ensure the driver is in the	condition.
	detection or monitoring cameras to	by the system. Potential technical	position to take over when	
	detect the driver's head position and	solutions range from detecting the	requested by transition	
	eyelid movement etc.) could evaluate the	driver's manual operations to	demand. This can however be	
	driver's involvement in the monitoring	monitoring cameras to detect the	lighter solutions than for level	
	task and ability to intervene immediately.	driver's head position and eyelid	3 because the system is able	
		movement.	to transfer the vehicle to a	
			minimal risk condition in the	
			use case<u>ODD</u>.	
		5. Emergency braking measures must	5. Emergency braking	
		be accomplished by the system and	measures must be	
		not expected from the driver (due to	accomplished by the system	
		secondary activities)	and not expected from the	

nterrace design on some current Level 2 systems are inadequate

This could also refer to WP.29 warnings guidelines. Note, the

and would not meet the above requirements.

Document No. ITS/AD-12-05 (ITS/AD 12th meeting, 22 June 2017, agenda item 3-2)

				driver (due to secondary activities)	
Driver Tasks	1. Determine when activation or deactivation of assistance system is appropriate	1. Determine when activation or deactivation of the system is appropriate.	 Determine when activation or deactivation of the automated driving system is appropriate. 	 Determine when activation/deactivation of the automated driving system is appropriate. 	 Activate and deactivate the automated driving system.
		 Execute the OEDR by monitoring the driving environment and responding if necessary (e.g. emergency vehicles coming). 	2. Does not need to execute the longitudinal, lateral driving tasks and monitoring of the environment for operational decisions in the use caseODD.	2. Does not need to execute the longitudinal, lateral driving tasks and monitoring of the environment in the use caseODD.	2. Does not need to execute the longitudinal, lateral driving tasks and monitoring of the environment during the whole trip.
	executed by driver assistance system and intervening	3. Constantly supervise the dynamic driving task executed by the system. Although the driver may be disengaged from the physical aspects of driving, he/she must be fully engaged mentally with the driving task and shall immediately intervene when required by the environment or by the system (no transition demand by the system, just warning in case of misuse or failure).	3. Shall remain sufficiently vigilant as to acknowledge the transition demand and, acknowledge vehicle warnings, mechanical failure or emergency vehicles (increase lead time compared to level 2).	3. May be asked to take over upon request within lead time. However the system does not require the driver to provide fallback performance under the use caseODD.	3. Determine waypoints and destinations
	4. The driver shall not perform secondary activities which will hamper him in intervening immediately when required.	4. The driver shall not perform secondary activities which will hamper him in intervening immediately when required.	4. May turn his attention away from the complete dynamic driving task in the <u>use caseODD</u> but can only perform secondary activities with appropriate reaction times. It would be beneficial if the vehicle displays were used for the secondary activities.	 May perform a wide variety of secondary activities in the use case<u>ODD</u>. 	4. May perform a wide variety of secondary activities during the whole trip.
Consideration points on development of vehicle regulation	Same as current principle (manner)	1. Consider whether regulatory provision for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary.	and lateral control (steering) are	1. Consider which regulatory provision for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary including the monitoring of the driving environment for any decisions happening in the use case (for example Emergency vehicles).	Note: Preliminary analysis only- subject further review. 1. Consider which regulatory provision for longitudinal (accelerating, braking) and lateral control (steering) are necessary including the monitoring of the driving environment for any decisions (for example Emergency vehicles).
		2. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system is deactivated immediately upon request by the human driver.	 Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system: Permits activation only under conditions for which it was designed, and Deactivates immediately upon request by the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate driver takeover could compromise safety. 	 Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system: Permits activation only under conditions for which it was designed, and Deactivates immediately upon request by the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate driver takeover could compromise safety. 	 Depending upon the vehicle configuration, consider regulatory provision to ensure the system: Permits activation only under conditions for which it was designed, and Deactivates immediately upon request by the driver. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate driver takeover could compromise safety.
		3. Consider the warning strategy to be used.	3. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system automatically deactivates only after requesting the driver to take-over with a sufficient lead time; including – under certain, limited circumstances – transition (at least initiate) to minimal risk condition if the driver does not take over. It would be beneficial if the vehicle displays used for the secondary activities were also used to improve the human takeover process.	3. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system automatically transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition preferably outside of an active lane of traffic if design/boundary conditions are no longer met.	3. Consider regulatory provision to ensure the system automatically transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition preferably outside of an active lane of traffic.
		4. Consider the driver availability recognition function to evaluate the driver's involvement in the monitoring task and ability to intervene immediately. For example, as hands-on detection or monitoring cameras to detect the driver's head position and eyelid movement etc.	4. Consider regulatory provision for driver availability recognition is used to ensure the driver is in the position to take over when requested by the system	4. Consider regulatory provision for driver availability recognition is used to ensure the driver is in the position to take over when requested by the system transition demand at the end of the use caseODD.	

I

Ι

Document No. ITS/AD-12-05 (ITS/AD 12th meeting, 22 June 2017, agenda item 3-2)

					•		
				5. Consider regulatory provision for emergency braking measures by the system	5. Consider regulatory provision for emergency braking measures by the system.	 Consider regulatory provision for emergency braking measures by the system. 	
	l	Exam	ples of the necessary	y system performance requirements	<u> </u>	l	
Override (e.g. steering, braking, accelerating) function by the driver	Necessary in general				Unnecessary when driverless mode. Otherwise necessary in general. However the system may momentarily delay deactivation when immediate human takeover could compromise safety.	Unnecessary	
Aspects of arrangement that ensures the driver's involvement in dynamic driving tasks (driver monitoring, etc.)	Detection of hands- off when Level 1 addresses LKAS	Detection of hands-off	Detecting the driver availability recog- nition function to evaluate the driver's involvement in the monitoring task and ability to intervene immediately (e.g. hands off detection, head and/or eye movement and/or input to any control element of the vehicle)	Detection of driver's availability to take over the driving task upon request or when required: e.g. seated/unseated, driver availability recognition system (e.g. head and/or eye movement and/or input to any control element of the vehicle)	Unnecessary when driverless operation/use case. Necessary when driver is requested to take over at the end of use caseODD. In these circumstances, this can be lighter solutions than for level 3 because the system is able to transfer the vehicle to a minimal risk condition in the use caseODD.	Unnecessary	
Aspects of arrangement that ensures the driver's resumption of dynamic driving tasks (transition periods to the driver, etc.) Aspect of transition demand procedure.	not applicable			Consideration of the methods used to reengage the driver following system request (including minimal risk maneuver and cognitive stimulation- if applicable the vehicle infotainment system showing non-driving relevant content to be deactivated automatically when transition demand is issued).	Unnecessary when driverless operation/use case but level 3 requirement when the end of the use case <u>ODD</u> is reached.	Unnecessary	
System reliability		Consider	ration shall be given to	evaluation of the system reliability and r	edundancy as necessary.	I	
Comprehensive recognition of surrounding environment (sensing, etc.)	The area to be monitored (depends on the system function).	on the system function, while recognizing it is the task of the driver	The area to be monitored necessary for lateral and longitudinal control (depends on the system function, while recognizing it is the task of the driver to perform OEDR). Additionally the system may perform OEDR function.	The area to be monitored depends on t It is the task of the system to perform C		longitudinal directions).	
Recording of system status (inc. system behavior) (DSSA-Data Storage System for ACSF, EDR, etc.)	Unnecessary	Unnecessary	The driver's operations and the system status (incl. system behavior)	The driver's operations and the system status (incl. system behavior)	The system status (incl. system	behavior))	
Cyber-Security	Necessary if the information communication in connected vehicles, etc. affects the vehicle control						
Compatibility with traffic law (WP.1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	[WP.1-IWG-AD recommends WP.1 to state that the use of these functions remain within the requirements of the Conventions.]	[WP.1-IWG-AD recommends WP.1 to state that the use of these functions remain within the requirements of the Conventions. These are functions whereby a driver is still available at the end of the use caseQDD. Functions that do not require a driver (e.g. campus shuttle) at all (driverless) are still in discussion – except for those that do not interact on/with	Further consideration necessary to reflect driverless systems before a conclusion can be made	

					public roads.]				
	Summary of the current conditions and the issues to be discussed (specific use cases)								
Parking area Already put into practice:	 Automated parking by the driver's remote control (monitoring) (RCP- Remote Control Parking, CAT. A under ACSF amendment of R79) 		Requirements need to be developed						
Roads exclusively for motor vehicles with physical separation from oncoming traffic (e.g.	 LKA (draft standards) ACC (no specific performance requirements) 	Under discussion: • Categories [82], C, D and [E] under ACSF (amendment of R79) • Category B1 in combination with longitudinal control		Under discussion : • Categories B2, B2+E under ACSF (amendment of R79)	Requirements need to be developed				

Document No. ITS/AD-12-05 (ITS/AD 12th meeting, 22 June 2017, agenda item 3-2)

motorway)	 ACSF Cat.B1 (Steering Function hands-on) 	• ACC+ACSF (Cat.B1, Cat.C [Basic Lane Change Assist], Cat.D [Smart LCA])	 [ACSF Cat. B2] [ACSF Cat.E] (Continuous Lane Guidance hands- off) 		
Urban and interurban roads		 Category B1 in con longitudinal Contr To be discussed by Cat. B1 in combined 	ol / R79 IWG ACSF:	Requirements need to be developed	