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## Industry interest

$>$ At GRRF-85 of December, the text of ACSF-C (former ACSF-C1) was adopted.
> Industry still have a strong interest for ACSF-C2, e.g. regarding:

- C 2 is a more natural HMI, closer to manual lane change: the driver has full control on the timing of the 2 steps of a LC. This permits to increase the maximum time between the LCP and the LCM.
- Automatic deactivation of direction indicator causes unnecessary technical problems that can be corrected with ACSF-C2.
- Current ACSF-C requirements are design restrictive regarding HMI
- HCVs have a particular interest for ACSF-C2
$>$ Industry expectations from ACSF IG is to start the drafting phase to cover C2.

Description of ACSF C2

$1^{\text {st }}$ Deliberate action by the driver on the direction indicator

## Comparison of concepts

|  | Manual driving | ACSF C1 |  | ACSF C2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> action | Activation of the direction indicator to inform the other users | Activation of the direction indicator to inform the other users and initiate the lane change procedure |  | Activation of the direction indicator to inform the other users and initiate the lane change procedure |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ action | Action on the steering control to change lane | Automatic start of the lane change manoeuver, 3 to 5 s after the driver action. |  | Second deliberate action to initiate the lane change manoeuver. |
|  | Given the LCM starts automatically up to 5 s (i.e. 180 m at $130 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) after the driver deliberate action... <br> backward looking sensors have been judged necessary |  |  | en the LCM only starts a $2^{\text {nd }}$ deliberate action of ver, no backward looking sors are necessary... <br> are other ways to ensure level of safety, see next slides |

## Philosophy of ACSF-C2 Safety measures

$>$ ACSF C2 is Level-2 system: the driver is hands-on and drives, the system only assists
$>$ The driver is expected to get quickly used to an HMI which is quite similar to that of the manual driving
$>$ The driver masters the exact time when the vehicle starts moving towards the lane, and consequently when the manoeuver starts, depending the surrounding traffic, similarly to the manual driving
$>$ The lane change assistance does not start automatically, thus "no surprise"
$>$ Unintentional activation is prevented thanks to:

* ON/OFF switch
* 2-action activation
$>$ The overriding force remains low (<50 N)
> Blind zone detection sensors ensure safety regarding the area not covered by the mirrors (and minimize over-reliance risks)


## Philosophy of ACSF-C2

Why only short range sensors are needed?
> From previous slide:

* The driver masters the exact time when the vehicle starts moving towards the lane.
* With two actions HMI, the system requires two decisions from the driver, which are assumed to be based on the monitoring of the rear traffic.
> The driver is aware of the vehicle environment thanks to direct Field Of Vision (FOV) and indirect FOV (R46 mirrors):

The system must only monitor the zones out of mirror coverage:

- M1/N1: Class I and III FOV
- M2/M3 : Class II FOV
- N2/N3: Class II, IV and V FOV

Proposed blind zone detection example of N3 vehicles


OICA
Proposed blind zone detection M1/N1


## OICA 雨为 <br> Mode confusion issue

What happens if the driver has wrong perception of the system installed in the vehicle?


The driver believes to have
C1
C2

C1
B

The vehicle is equipped with



## Mode confusion issue

Scenario A: The driver believes to be in a C1 while being in a C2 equipped vehicle.

The driver uses the command to initiate the procedure and nothing happens (the system waits for a second action).
$\rightarrow$ No safety issue

Scenario B : The driver believes to be in a C 2 while being in a C1 equipped vehicle.

The driver uses the direction indicator to initiate the procedure and the system starts a manoeuver only if the situation is deemed not critical.
$\rightarrow$ No safety issue

## Technical Proposal

> "3m x 3m" blind spot detection area (ISO17387 - see sketches) for M1/N1
> "3m x 3m" blind spot detection area inspired from ISO17387 - see sketches) for M2M3/N2N3 *
> If approaching vehicle detected in blind spot, then
o Warn the driver
o Lane change procedure suppressed automatically.

* For vehicles fitted with class IV mirrors, no Blind Spot sensor is needed since mirrors cover the whole zone

ANNEX

## Radar vs. US sensors

## Ultra-sonic sensor capabilities

> Range: 0-5 m
> Sensor-to-target relative speed: < 10km/h
$>$ Angle of detection: $\sim 120^{\circ}$
> Target movement: stationary and moving
> Target nature: any solid, no distinction
> HMI: ON/OFF detection
> Sensitivity to wheather conditions: low

## Radar sensor capabilities

$>$ Range: 55 m (motorbikes)
> Sensor-to-target relative speed: 0-max speed
$>$ Angle of detection: $150^{\circ}$
> Target movement: stationary and moving
> Target nature: any solid, no distinction
> HMI: can transmit distance and speed
> Sensitivity to weather conditions: low

