

Informal Group on GTR9 Phase2 (IG GTR9-PH2) 6th Meeting

**JASIC Comments on
Alliance and JP Research Documents
(GTR9-6-15 and GTR9-6-16)**

March 19-20, 2013

Japan Automobile Standards Internationalization Center (JASIC)

JASIC Comments to Develop a Common Recognition

- In the GTR9-5-14, JASIC conducted a cost benefit analysis “for Japan” using traffic accident data “in Japan” because Japan has no intention to conduct any cost benefit analysis for other countries.
- To conduct a cost benefit analysis for a country, we recommend to do that using their country’s traffic accident data and best method which is suite for their counties.
- It has a high chance to be differ the traffic accident situation between in US and in Japan significantly.
- Therefore, it is inadequate to make comments on the JASIC analysis methods/results using “US traffic accident data”.

Reference: Comments on Alliance and JP Research Documents

- In the GTR9-5-14, we basically use “nationwide” Japan traffic accident data to avoid bias by “regional” Japan traffic accident data.
- However, we had to use “regional” Japan traffic accident data to lead the “tibia injury ratio” among the lower limb injuries because “nationwide” traffic accident data does not include such detailed information to lead “tibia injury ratio” among the lower limb injuries.
- On the other hand, number of “regional” Japan traffic accident data is “very limited”. Therefore, if we separate the “regional” Japan traffic accident data by impact speed based on the Alliance and JP Research comments, we can NOT obtain “reliable” tibia injury ratio in Japan.

Thank you for your attention