Proposal for an Injury Parameters/Criteria on the reduction of neck injury from rear-end crashes for the GTR7 (Informal HR-GTR)

based on research collaboration with NHTSA (VRTC)

- Injury Risk Curve (IV-NIC (R)) Accident Reconstruction Simulation based on 20 cases -

> <u>J-MLIT / JARI</u> JAPAN

> > Japan Automobile Research Institute

Contents

- 1. Research Flow
- 2. Calculation of IV-NIC(R) for 20 accident cases by FE Simulation
 - 1) 20 accident cases of FE Simulation
 - 2) IV-NIC(R) as calculated from 20 accident cases of FE simulation
- 3. Correlation between IV-NIC (R) and Strain (Rate)
 - 1) Correlation between Strain (Rate) and IV-NIC(R) at the Extension
 - 2) Correlation between Strain (Rate) and IV-NIC(R) at the Flexion
- 4. Injury Risk Curve (IV-NIC(R)) based on 20 cases FE Simulation
 - 1) Relationship between IV-NIC(R) and WAD
 - 2) Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R) at Extension and Flexion
 - 3) Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R) in NHTSA
 - 4) WAD and AIS
 - 5) WAD and AIS (continued-1)
 - 6) WAD and AIS (continued-2)
 - 7) WAD and AIS (continued-3)
 - 8) Selection of IV-NIC values at Flexion and Extension
 - 9) Selection of IV-NIC values at Flexion and Extension (continued-1)
 - 10) Selection of IV-NIC values at Flexion and Extension (continued-2)
 - 11) Risk Curve at Flexion
- 5. Correlation between Neck Force/Moment and IV-NIC (R)
 - 1) Neck Force/Moment and IV-NIC (R)
 - 2) Risk Curve of NIC, Neck Force/Moment and Reference Values
 - 3) WAD2+95% value calculated from the Risk Curve of neck force/moment
- 6. <u>Summary</u>

1. Research Flow



Japan Automobile Research Institute

1) 20 accident cases of FE Simulation

| (| CASE RECORDED CRASH PULSE | | | REPORTED INJURY | | | PASSENGER CHARACTERISTICS | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------|--------|-----|--------|--------|
| No. | D/P | ⊿v [km/h] | Mean Acc.[g] | Peak Acc.[g] | Neck/Spine | Symptoms | WAD | Gender | Age | Height | Weight |
| 1 | Driver | 28.2 | 5.8 | 10.6 | Injured | 1-6 m | 2 | F | 26 | 175 | 55 |
| 4 | Driver | 26.0 | 5.6 | 12.6 | Injured | >6 m | 3 | М | 57 | 178 | 100 |
| 4 | Passenger | 26.0 | 5.6 | 12.6 | Injured | >6 m | 3 | F | 57 | 168 | 80 |
| 2 | Driver | 23.3 | 6.7 | 14.7 | Injured | >6 m | 2 | F | 59 | 156 | 60 |
| 8 | Driver | 20.4 | 5.2 | 12.8 | Injured | <1 m | 1 | F | 22 | 171 | 63 |
| 8 | Passenger | 20.4 | 5.2 | 12.8 | Injured | <1 m | 2 | М | 18 | 179 | 80 |
| 7 | Driver | 19.5 | 4.0 | 9.2 | No injuries | no | 0 | М | 67 | 167 | 84 |
| 7 | Passenger | 19.5 | 4.0 | 9.2 | Injured | <1 m | 1 | F | 72 | 165 | 63 |
| 10 | Driver | 17.6 | 5.0 | 12.4 | Injured | 1-6 m | 1 | М | 74 | 175 | 62 |
| 10 | Passenger | 17.6 | 5.0 | 12.4 | Injured | 1-6 m | 2 | F | 74 | 160 | 57 |
| 6 | Driver | 16.3 | 4.9 | 12.1 | No injuries | no | 0 | F | 59 | 165 | 65 |
| 6 | Passenger | 16.3 | 4.9 | 12.1 | Injured | <1 m | 1 | М | 88 | 170 | 70 |
| 11 | Driver | 16.3 | 6.5 | 15.2 | No injuries | no | 0 | М | 61 | 176 | 77 |
| 11 | Passenger | 16.3 | 6.5 | 15.2 | No injuries | no | 0 | F | 61 | 154 | 69 |
| 21 | Driver | 14.3 | 4.5 | 10.6 | No injuries | no | 0 | М | 50 | 171 | 85 |
| 23 | Driver | 11.1 | 3.7 | 8.9 | Injured | <1 m | 1 | F | 35 | 178 | 65 |
| 20 | Driver | 10.8 | 3.7 | 7.1 | Injured | <1 m | 1 | М | 65 | 176 | 82 |
| 20 | Passenger | 10.8 | 3.7 | 7.1 | No injuries | no | 0 | М | 68 | 176 | 77 |
| 24 | Driver | 8.8 | 3.5 | 7.5 | Injured | 1-6 m | 1 | F | 35 | 165 | 55 |
| 3 | Driver | 14.7 | 5.2 | 7.5 | Injured | >6 m | 2 | М | 35 | 165 | 55 |

Japan Automobile Research Institute

2) IV-NIC(R) as calculated from 20 accident cases of FE simulation.

| No | ⊿v | Mean | Peak | | Extension Mean | | Flex | kion |
|------|--------|------|------|-----|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| INO. | [km/h] | [g] | [g] | WAD | | | Mean | |
| 1_D | 28.2 | 5.8 | 10.6 | 2 | C6/C7 | 0.478 | C5/C6 | 0.926 |
| 4_D | 26.0 | 5.6 | 12.6 | 3 | C6/C7 | 0.123 | C5/C6 | 0.775 |
| 4_P | 26.0 | 5.6 | 12.6 | 3 | C6/C7 | 0.258 | C5/C6 | 0.691 |
| 2_D | 23.3 | 6.7 | 14.7 | 2 | C3/C4 | 0.181 | C5/C6 | 0.900 |
| 8_D | 20.4 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.414 | C5/C6 | 0.922 |
| 8_P | 20.4 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 2 | C2/C3 | 0.087 | C5/C6 | 0.817 |
| 7_D | 19.5 | 4.0 | 9.2 | 0 | C6/C7 | 0.343 | C5/C6 | 0.465 |
| 7_P | 19.5 | 4.0 | 9.2 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.311 | C5/C6 | 0.614 |
| 10_D | 17.6 | 5.0 | 12.4 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.300 | C5/C6 | 0.969 |
| 10_P | 17.6 | 5.0 | 12.4 | 2 | C3/C4 | 0.169 | C5/C6 | 0.807 |
| 6_D | 16.3 | 4.9 | 12.1 | 0 | C2/C3 | 0.179 | C5/C6 | 0.639 |
| 6_P | 16.3 | 4.9 | 12.1 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.088 | C5/C6 | 0.810 |
| 11_D | 16.3 | 6.5 | 15.2 | 0 | C2/C3 | 0.122 | C6/C7 | 0.843 |
| 11_P | 16.3 | 6.5 | 15.2 | 0 | C2/C3 | 0.121 | C6/C7 | 0.402 |
| 21_D | 14.3 | 4.5 | 10.6 | 0 | C2/C3 | 0.019 | C5/C6 | 0.468 |
| 23_D | 11.1 | 3.7 | 8.9 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.382 | C5/C6 | 0.729 |
| 20_D | 10.8 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.018 | C5/C6 | 0.507 |
| 20_P | 10.8 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 0 | C2/C3 | 0.121 | C5/C6 | 0.578 |
| 24_D | 8.8 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 1 | C2/C3 | 0.252 | C5/C6 | 0.361 |
| 3_D | 14.7 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 2 | C3/C4 | 0.246 | C5/C6 | 0.659 |

Note) The gray part were from last year.

As for IV-NIC(R) values, Flexion was higher than Extension.

3. Correlation between IV-NIC (R) and Strain (Rate)

1) Correlation between Strain (Rate) and IV-NIC(R) at the Extension



Max. Principal Strain Rate



0.120 0.100 • • • • •



Max. Shear Strain Rate



As for the correlation coefficient at the Extension, the strain is around 0.2, the strain rate is 0.05, and doesn't have correlations.

3. Correlation between IV-NIC (R) and Strain (Rate)

2) Correlation between Strain (Rate) and IV-NIC(R) at the Flexion



Max. Principal Strain Rate





Max. Shear Strain Rate



As for the correlation coefficient at the Flexion, the strain is around 0.9, strain rate is 0.8, and has correlation.

Japan Automobile Research Institute

1) Relationship between IV-NIC(R) and WAD

Extension

Flexion



As for Extension and Flexion, the IV-NIC(R) values tended to increase when the value of WAD increases.

The tendency to an increase of Flexion is more remarkable than the Extension.

The Risk Curve of IV-NIC is shown in the next pages.

4. Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R)

2) Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R) at Extension and Flexion

100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% probability of WAD2+ R=0.49 70% 70% 60% 60% 50% 50% 40% 40% 30% 30% 20% 20% R=0.06 10% 10% 0% 0% 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.2 1.6 1.8 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.2 1.6 18 0 0.8 1.4 2 0.8 14 IV-NIC_R(Ext_Mean) IV-NIC_R(Flx_Mean)

Extension

Flexion

Extension:

probability of WAD2+

- There is no difference in the IV-NIC(R) value between WAD2+ and less than WAD2.
- The correlation coefficient is low at "0.06".

Flexion:

- The risk curve was established in the injury of WAD2+ and the injury of less than WAD2.
- The correlation coefficient is "0.49".

3) Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R) in NHTSA

0

0

0.5

| IV-NIC (Rotation) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| | PMI | ISO3 | PM | HSO4 | PN | 1HS05 | PMI | HS06 | PMI | 1507 | PM | HS08 | |
| | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | |
| c_2/c_2 | -0.85 | -0.79 | -1.06** | -0.87 | -1.84 | -1.52 | -0.40 | -0.49 | -0.90 | -0.99 | -0.34 | -0.45 | |
| 12/13 | +0.26 | +0.67 | +0.17 | +0.26 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 0.23 | +0.41 | +0.21 | +0.30 | +0.04 | +0.19 | |
| <u>C3/C4</u> | -1.18 | -1.07 | -0.27 | -0.41 | -1.59 | -1.54 | -0.09 | -0.07 | -0.87 | -1.00 | -0.68 | -0.90 | |
| | +0.48 | +1.05 | +1.02** | +0.51 | +0.05 | +0.18 | +0.54 | +0.94 | +0.15 | +0.10 | +0.19 | +0.28 | |
| C4/C5 | -1.47 | -1.87 | -1.17 | -1.40 | -1.37 | -1.16 | -0.13 | -0.19 | -1.48 | -1.44 | -0.54 | -0.79 | |
| C47 C3 | +0.01 | +0.01 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.05 | +0.39 | +0.62 | +0.05 | +0.09 | +0.05 | +0.10 | |
| C5/C6 | -0.56 | -0.40 | -0.96 | -1.00 | -1.08 | -1.19 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.85 | -0.91 | -0.69 | -0.69 | |
| | +0.27 | +0.30 | +0.00 | +0.01 | +0.01 | +0.00 | +0.36 | +1.00 | +0.00 | 0.00 | +0.00 | +0.50 | |
| <u>(6/(7</u> | -0.33 | -0.54 | -1.15 | -1.33 | -0.84 | -1.20 | -0.16 | -0.16 | -0.72 | -0.73 | -0.79 | -1.05 | |
| 0,07 | +0.05 | +0.68 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.44 | +0.20 | +0.05 | +0.47 | +0.06 | +1.69 | +0.00 | +0.35 | |
| 1 0.9 | | | | *** | | | 0 | | | المام ماما | - : 4h | ia tabl | |
| 208 | | | | | | RISK | Curve | was c | reated | the dat | a in th | iis tadie | e . |
| nin ² | | | | | | > The | highes | st value | of eith | er Flex | ion ar | d Exte | nsion |
| | | | | | | were | selec | ted as | IV-NIC | (R) an | d the l | Risk Cı | irve of |
| SIA 10.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 0.5 | | | | | | AIS' | i + and | IV-INIC | (R) wa | is creat | ea. | | |
| £0.4 | | | H | | | | | | | | | | |
| iq 0.3 | | | | PMHS Data | | Difforor | oce of I | Rick Cu | inve in | lanan | and N | нтел | |
| 2 0.2 | | / | _ | CT Method | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 | 1) WAD (Japan) and AIS (NHTSA) | | | | | | | | 10 | | | | |

Weibull-Survival

2

2.5

1.5

1

IV-NICu

2) Selection of IV-NIC values of either Flexion and Extension Japan Automobile Research Institute

4) WAD and AIS

In most commonly, the symptom of the occupants in the automobile accidents is evaluated at the WAD. On the other hand, neck injury of the PMHS tests in NHTSA, is evaluated at the AIS. Therefore, the relationship between WAD and AIS were as follows.

> WAD classification (Risk curve of Japan)

AIS classification (Risk curve of NHTSA)

| | WAD | | AIS | | | | |
|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Clinical classification | | Grade | Clinical classification | | | |
| 0 | The neck has no symptoms, and the physical finding is normal. | | 0 | No Iniury | | | |
| 1 | The neck has pain and stiffness, but the physical finding is normal. | | Ŭ | No injury | | | |
| 2 | In addition to neck symptoms, there is a limit of motion space of the cervical vertebra and a localized tender point, suggesting neck symptoms from the musculoskeletal system. | | 1 | Strain, acute with no fracture or dislocation Inter-spinous ligament laceration | | | |
| 3 | In addition to neck symptoms, there are neurological findings such as the tendon reflex disorder, Adynamia, and perception disorder. | | 2 | Dislocation (subluxation) without fracture facet unilateral Disc injury | | | |
| 4 | Dislocation and fracture of the cervical vertebra. | | 3 | Dislocation (subluxation) without fracture facet bilateral | | | |

Japan Automobile Research Institute

5) WAD and AIS (continued-1)

The cervical spine injuries in the PMHS tests (NHTSA) are as follows.

| | Injury Documentation | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | PMHS03 | PMHS03 PMHS04 PMHS05 PMHS06 | | PMHS07 | PMHS08 | | | | | | |
| C2/C3 | No injury | No injury | Subluxation bilateral@ FJ (AIS3) No injury | | No injury | No injury | | | | | |
| C3/C4 | Subluxation bilateral@ FJ (AIS3) | No injury | •Subluxation@ FJ (AIS2) •Subluxation bilateral@ LF | on@ FJ (AIS2) No injury | | No injury | | | | | |
| C4/C5 | Subluxation bilateral@ FJ (AIS3) | Subluxation bilateral@ FJ (AIS3) | Subluxation bilateral@ FJ (AIS3) | No injury | •Subluxation @ FJ (AIS2) •Subluxation bilateral@ LF •Subluxation @ IL | No injury | | | | | |
| C5/C6 | No injury | •Subluxation bilateral@ FJ (AIS3) •Subluxation bilateral@ LF •Subluxation @ IL | •Subluxation @ FJ (AIS2) •Subluxation bilateral@ LF •Subluxation @ IL | FJcapsule tear on right side Mild subluxation due to separation of degenerative disc→Maybe AIS2 or AIS1 | No injury | No injury | | | | | |
| C6/C7 | No injury | •Subluxation bilateral@ LF •Subluxation @ IL →Maybe AIS1 | Subluxation @ Interspinous lig →Maybe AIS1 | No injury | Anterior longitudinal lig. tear (posterior intact), Severe Subluxation @ FJ on both sides, ligamentum flavum tear, Disc injury w ruptured (AIS3), Interspinous lig. tear (AIS1) | Seperation of degenerative disc, ligamentum flavum tear @ right side close to spinous process, facet joint capsule tear on the right side | | | | | |

6) WAD and AIS (continued-2)

The AIS classifications of the cervical spine injuries in the PMHS tests (NHTSA) are as follows.

| Injury Documentation | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|----------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | PMHS03 | PMHS04 | PMHS05 | PMHS06 | PMHS07 | PMHS08 | | | |
| C2/C3 | No injury | No injury | AI53 | AIS3 No injury | | No injury | | | |
| C3/C4 | AIS3 | No injury | AIS2 | No injury | No injury | No injury | | | |
| C4/C5 | AIS3 | AIS3 | AIS3 | No injury | AIS2 | No injury | | | |
| C5/C6 | No injury | AIS3 | AIS2 | AIS2 | No injury | No injury | | | |
| C6/C7 | No injury | AIS1 | AIS1 | No injury | AIS3 | AIS1 | | | |

Note) In the PMHS tests, the evaluation of the inflammation of the soft tissues and the neurologic signs etc. are impossible. Those output might indicate no-injury.

Japan Automobile Research Institute

7) WAD and AIS (continued-3)

The table where the AIS codes as the cervical spine injuries of PMHS was replaced at the WAD, is as follows.

| Injury Documentation | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | PMHS03 | PMHS04 | PMHS05 | PMHS06 | PMHS07 | PMHS08 | | | |
| C2/C3 | No injury | No injury | WAD4 | No injury | No injury | No injury | | | |
| C3/C4 | WAD4 | No injury | WAD4 | No injury | No injury | No injury | | | |
| C4/C5 | WAD4 | WAD4 | WAD4 | No injury | WAD4 | No injury | | | |
| C5/C6 | No injury | WAD4 | WAD4 | WAD2 | No injury | No injury | | | |
| C6/C7 | No injury | WAD2 | WAD2 | No injury | WAD2 | WAD2 | | | |

Note) In the PMHS tests, the output that cannot be detected by AIS codes might indicate no-injury.

8) Selection of IV-NIC values at Flexion and Extension

- Relationship between Flexion and Extension in IV-NIC(R) -
- The Risk Curve of NHTSA was created by the IV-NIC(R) maximum value of either Flexion or Extension.
- On the other hand, the Risk Curve created by Japan was made separately for Flexion and Extension of IV-NIC(R).
- The Risk Curve was re-created by using the following data (NHTSA) separately for Flexion and Extension.

| | IV-NIC (Rotation) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|-------|----------|
| | PMHS03 PMHS04 | | PMHS05 | | PMHS06 | | PMHS07 | | PMHS08 | | | |
| | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate | Low | Moderate |
| <u>ca/ca</u> | -0.85 | -0.79 | -1.06** | -0.87 | -1.84 | -1.52 | -0.40 | -0.49 | -0.90 | -0.99 | -0.34 | -0.45 |
| 12/13 | +0.26 | +0.67 | +0.17 | +0.26 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 0.23 | +0.41 | +0.21 | +0.30 | +0.04 | +0.19 |
| <u>C3/C4</u> | -1.18 | -1.07 | -0.27 | -0.41 | -1.59 | -1.54 | -0.09 | -0.07 | -0.87 | -1.00 | -0.68 | -0.90 |
| 05/04 | +0.48 | +1.05 | +1.02** | +0.51 | +0.05 | +0.18 | +0.54 | +0.94 | +0.15 | +0.10 | +0.19 | +0.28 |
| CA/C5 | -1.47 | -1.87 | -1.17 | -1.40 | -1.37 | -1.16 | -0.13 | -0.19 | -1.48 | -1.44 | -0.54 | -0.79 |
| C47 C3 | +0.01 | +0.01 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.05 | +0.39 | +0.62 | +0.05 | +0.09 | +0.05 | +0.10 |
| CE/C6 | -0.56 | -0.40 | -0.96 | -1.00 | -1.08 | -1.19 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.85 | -0.91 | -0.69 | -0.69 |
| 05/00 | +0.27 | +0.30 | +0.00 | +0.01 | +0.01 | +0.00 | +0.36 | +1.00 | +0.00 | 0.00 | +0.00 | +0.50 |
| 66/67 | -0.33 | -0.54 | -1.15 | -1.33 | -0.84 | -1.20 | -0.16 | -0.16 | -0.72 | -0.73 | -0.79 | -1.05 |
| C6/C7 | +0.05 | +0.68 | +0.00 | +0.00 | +0.44 | +0.20 | +0.05 | +0.47 | +0.06 | +1.69 | +0.00 | +0.35 |

Note) In the table, Flexion is (-), Extension is (+). Japan Automobile Research Institute

9) Selection of IV-NIC values at Flexion and Extension (continued-1)

Relationship between WAD and IV-NIC(R) in NHTSA data is as follows.

Extension

Flexion



- As for Extension and Flexion, the IV-NIC(R) values tended to increase when the value of WAD increases.
- The tendency to an increase of Flexion is more remarkable than the Extension.

4. Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R)

<u>10) Selection of IV-NIC values at Flexion and Extension (continued-2)</u> The Risk Curve was created separately for Flexion and Extension, and the result is as follows.



Extension:

- There is no difference in the IV-NIC(R) value between WAD2+ and less than WAD2.
- The correlation coefficient is low at "0.03".
 Flexion:
- The risk curve was established, because there was difference in the IV-NIC value in the injury of WAD2+ and the injury of less than WAD2.
- The correlation coefficient is "0.72".

11) Risk Curve at Flexion

- The correlation occurred in Flexion of the Risk Curve of NHTSA (previous page).
- The Risk Curves in Japan (Accident simulation) and NHTSA (PMHS tests) are shown as follows.



<u>Flexion</u>

<Comparison of WAD2+ probabilities at the IV-NIC"1">

- Risk Curve of Japan (blue line) is "73%".
- Risk Curve of NHTSA (red line) is "53%".

<Different definition between AIS and WAD>

AIS was replaced with WAD and the Risk Curve was created. However, slight injury AIS1 that is a neurological symptom, a strain etc. of the neck cannot be evaluated from the PMHS tests. Therefore, such slight injury that will be evaluated as WAD2 is not counted. As a result, it will be assumed that the data of WAD2+ increased.

1) Neck Force/Moment and IV-NIC (R)

- The correlation between IV-NIC(R) and Strain(rate) were almost "1".
- The Risk Curves of IV-NIC(R) were obtained by the accident simulation and the PMHS test, as follows.
 1) The Risk Curve from accident simulation (Japan)
 2) The Risk Curve from PMHS tests(NHTSA)
- However, because neither the neck force nor neck moment were measured in the PMHS tests, the Risk Curve of NIC and neck force/moment from PMHS tests cannot be obtained.
- Then, the Risk Curve of NIC and neck force/moment were examined from the ratio of the WAD2+95%ile values of IV-NIC(R • Flx) based on two Risk Curves.
- Two Risk Curves and WAD2+95% values of IV-NIC(R,Flx) calculated from Risk Curve are shown in next page.

2) Risk Curve of NIC, Neck Force/Moment and Reference Values



Flexion

The method of calculating neck force/moment was calculated from the ratio in the WAD2+95%ile value of IV-NIC(R•Flx). For example, in NHTSA, it is a ratio of values in the red frame to the value in the blue frame in the table on the right.

3) WAD2+95% value calculated from the Risk Curve of neck force/moment

| | | WAI | D2+ | | | |
|-------|------------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| Injur | y Criteria | 95% Value | | | | |
| | | Japan | NHTSA | | | |
| IV-I | NIC R Flx | 1.34 | 1.66 | | | |
| N | IC Max | 30 | 37 | | | |
| | FX | 730 | 907 | | | |
| Upper | FZ | 1130 | 1404 | | | |
| Neck | MY(Flx) | 40 | 50 | | | |
| | MY(Ext) | 40 | 50 | | | |
| | FX | 730 | 907 | | | |
| Lower | FZ | 1480 | 1839 | | | |
| Neck | MY(Flx) | 40 | 50 | | | |
| | MY(Ext) | 40 | 50 | | | |

- > The result of WAD2+95%ile value in NHTSA was shown in the table.
- The NHTSA's WAD2+95%ile value of IV-NIC was higher, the WAD2+95%ile value of NIC and neck force/moment was also higher.

- 1. Calculation of IV-NIC(R)
- Relationship between IV-NIC(R) and WAD were analyzed from accident simulation.
- 2. Correlation between IV-NIC (R) and Strain (Rate)
- As for the correlation coefficient at the Flexion, the strain is around 0.9, strain rate is 0.8, and has correlations.

3. Risk Curve of IV-NIC(R)

- The Risk Curves of IV-NIC(R) for Flexion were obtained by the accident simulation and the PMHS test, as follows.
 - 1) The Risk Curve (R=0.49) from 20 cases accident simulation
 - 2) The Risk Curve (R=0.72) from PMHS tests (17km/h and 24km/h)
- 4. Injury Parameters and Injury Criteria
- Two Risk Curves and WAD2+95% values of IV-NIC(R,Flx) calculated from Risk Curve are proposed as Injury Parameters and Injury Criteria.
- The comparison with the new Risk Curve based on new PMHS tests in the near future and the Risk Curve currently will be needed.