

Draft Notes - GTR13 Informal Working Group Meeting (June, 2018)

	<p>a. Scope: Categorization of vehicle classes based on mass (GVWR >4,536 kg or GVWR >3,500 kg); post-crash fuel system integrity (required for LDV but HDV different depending on location of CHSS); static rollover test (if necessary, same as UNR66); side impact test; changes to test procedures due to increase in storage capacity.</p> <p>b. IWG comment: CP agree to identify scope of TF work, starting with vehicle categories, narrowing down to list of priority items</p> <p>c. Next steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collect opinions of the TF1 participants - By August • 1st web meeting - Early September • 2nd web meeting - October 10 		
11	Taskforce #2 (Receptacle) Update	L. Gambone (CSA)	GTR13-3-10
	<p>a. Presented proposed language to GTR Rationale section and the Performance Requirements sections</p> <p>b. Propose to refer to ISO 17268 or SAE J2600 for receptacle profile and performance, citing safety reasons</p> <p>c. EC agree to TF2 proposal on profile but US self-cert style requesting review of safety-critical requirements</p> <p>d. IWG comment: TF2 to identify critical safety requirements and refine proposal</p> <p>e. Next meeting: In-person session on Monday 15 October in Brussels</p>		
12	Long-term Stress Rupture	A. Tchouvelev / G. Scheffler (SAE)	GTR13-3-13
	<p>a. Presented modification of durability (hydraulic) test protocol that allows for confirmation of minimum acceptable stress rupture withstand</p> <p>b. Allows for verification of both Type 3 and 4 cylinders regardless of fiber (carbon vs glass) with reduced test time</p> <p>c. SAE has not verified proposal with testing; seeking funds, may know in Aug/Sept. TF5 to work with SAE</p>		
13	Maximator Company Presentation	P. Schulte	GTR13-3-15
	<p>a. German-based company that manufactures hydrogen refueling station components, test stands, testing services</p>		
14	Linamar Company Presentation	V. Kovalevsky	GTR13-3-06
	<p>a. Volute (manufacturer of conformable tanks) transferred technology to Linamar in May 2018. Focus on manufacturing and vehicle integration. Involved in codes/standards work via NGV2, J2601, GTR13</p>		
15	Proposed Test Method to Establish Hydrogen Compatibility of Materials for FCV	G. Scheffler (SAE)	GTR13-3-07 GTR13-3-05
	<p>a. Material experts developed tests to qualify material for basic strength requirement for design. Now incorporated in SAE J2579:2018.</p> <p>b. Experts awaiting CP feedback on issues including fatigue life test specimen (smooth vs notched) and allowance of welds. Requesting 20 Aug as deadline to send comments to Chris San Marchi (cwsanma@sandia.gov).</p> <p>c. CP discussion on how to include material compatibility, which is more applicable for type approval countries.</p> <p>d. KHK prefers smooth specimen on FLT due to ability to compare results in previous testing.</p> <p>e. KHK believes welds involve issues that require more data collection and further discussion (e.g., types, methods, quality assurance) to show that welds can be acceptable. For now, JPN, EC: “No” on welds; China and Korea requested for more time and discussion on welding</p> <p>f. China believes 1.25 NWP → 1.5 NWP in SSRT is not necessary. However, JARI believes there is not a big difference and 1.5 NWP is consistent with the pressure of a hydrogen station accident.</p>		
16	Humid Gas- Stress Corrosion Cracking (HG-SCC) for Aluminum Alloys	A. Ishizuka (OICA/Honda)	GTR13-3-02
	<p>a. Aluminum alloys used for CHSS are susceptible to hydrogen embrittlement-type SCC.</p> <p>b. ISO 7866 Annex B provides testing for SLC (sustained load cracking) but not in a humid environment.</p> <p>c. 5 ppm water condition can exist during refueling, creating a humid environment in which SCC is greatly accelerated.</p> <p>d. HPIS E 103:2018 (Japan’s standard) proposed to include in Phase 2. But more testing to be done to determine if 5 ppm is equivalent to 85% relative humidity and to evaluate SCC under hydrogen environment.</p> <p>e. IWG comment: How will the materials be referenced in GTR? Perhaps add the J2579 table in annex and update as new materials are added (along with the published journal reference). Above validation tests should be conducted.</p>		

19	Material Compatibility Wrap-up	N. Nguyen	GTR13-3-05
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. At what level does CP need to regulate materials? How to avoid hindering technology innovation or making it too expensive? b. Industry concern about how the manufacturers can prove their materials to witness service for type approval authorities. Avoid unnecessary/duplicate testing. c. IWG comment: Review SAE proposal (see GTR13-3-05) and comment by 20 Aug to Chris San Marchi. 		
20	Initial Burst Pressure Requirement	H. Tamura (JARI)	GTR13-3-03
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Following JARI results presented at last IWG, Japan proposed text for reducing minimum burst pressure from 225% NWP to 200% NWP for carbon fiber containers. Glass fibers remain 350% NWP (no change). b. The expectation is that GTR sets minimum requirement, and manufacturers are expected to set higher minimum burst pressure beyond 200% NWP as they see fit for their production processes. c. JPN, US, EC: OK with 200%NWP. d. KOR, CN: Need more time to review 		
21	Importance of Compression Frequency & Fluid Fill-up Procedure in Pressure Cycling Test for Composite Vessel	S. Cho (KGS)	GTR13-3-22
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Proposal to include text air removal during fill (hydraulic) and to perform cycles no faster than 2.5 cycles per minute. b. IWG comment: Discuss in TF3. 		
22	Taskforce #3 (Test Procedure Improvements) Update	L. Gambone (CSA)	GTR13-3-21
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. TF #3 met on Monday, 25 June. TF leader provided update of status of proposals to date. b. Shell suggested raising test temperature from 85°C to 140°C as part of durability test cycle. Rationale is that 140°C can be seen by cylinder during station failure and there are currently no allowances made in GTR for this situation. EIGA proposing to engage industry in further discussion. c. IWG comment: Fueling protocol developed to make sure tank <85°C and GTR already tests to 1.5NWP so ensures tank is robust enough. Rationale for raising testing temperature is unclear. Proposal may be making changes without real cost benefit. 		
23	Taskforce #4 (Fire Test) Update	G. Scheffler (SAE)	GTR13-3-09
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. TF leader restated that goal of task force is to improve reproducibility of current fire test, which requires that PRD activate and vent contents before the CHSS is weakened and bursts. b. Fire test for HD vehicles will need to be discussed because of higher loads. c. Batteries could also be considered as a fire source. d. Taskforce to meet in-person in September in Troy, MI after SAE FC Safety Taskforce meetings. 		
24	Fire Safety	V. Molkov (Ulster)	Not available
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Presentation on modeling hydrogen storage tank rupture in a tunnel fire b. Quantitative risk assessment: requirements for acceptable risk of onboard storage. 		
25	Taskforce #5 (Recommendations from ISO TC197)	A. Tchouvelev	GTR13-3-18
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Taskforce leader reviewed proposals received from JAMA and IWG provided feedback. 		
26	China's Hydrogen System Storage	Y. He (China)	Not available
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provided verbal update on "liquid hydrogen" at ambient temperature in which hydrogen is in an organic liquid like gasoline and used on a vehicle/bus. Provides refueling time of 4 minutes. Presentation to be made at next IWG. 		
28	Action Items	N. Nguyen	GTR13-3-24
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See last page or GTR13-3-24. 		
29	Next IWG meetings		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018 October 16-18: Brussels 2019 March 5-7: USA (location TBD) 2019 June 18-20: China 2019 Oct/Nov: TBD 		

Draft Notes - GTR13 Informal Working Group Meeting (June, 2018)

30 APPENDIX: Attendees List			
CATARC	JARI Japan	OICA/Audi	SAE
CLEPA	KHK/Japan	OICA/BMW	Shell Hydrogen
CSA Group	Kiwa Nederland	OICA/ Daimler AG	TK-Fujikin
DOE (US)	Korea Auto Testing & Research Inst.	OICA/GM	Tongji Univ.
Donhee Industries	Korea Gas Safety Corporation	OICA/ Honda R&D	TUV Nord Korea
European Commission	Korea Research Inst. Std & Science	OICA/Hyundai Europe	US/NHTSA
EC-Joint Research Centre	Linamar	OICA/Hyundai R&D Korea	Univ.of Ulster
Hexagon Lincoln	Maximator	OICA/Toyota	Young Do Valve
ILJIN Composites Korea	METI/ Japan	Powertech Labs	Zhejiang Univ
ISO TC197	MLIT Japan	Refine China	