

JAMA comments on EVE Group View

as of March 2018

		FC/CO2/EC	Range
	pollutants	[General] Some of CPs has no regulatory scheme. How these CPs justify to incorporate into regulation ?	
NOVC-HEV	for higher threshold : oppose, should follow each CP need. for ICE and HEV should be the same : agree	for higher threshold : oppose, should follow each CP need. should be the same as air pollutant : regulatory scheme should be defined first.	
OVC-HEV	should have same as HEV : agree	should have same as HEV : agree	<EAER> to ensure minimum number of ICE km displaced by all electric operation : regulatory scheme should be defined first to be most important range durability aspect : don't know whether most important or not. Recognize the affect on durability performance
PEV		associated requirement to assess/include upstream emissions : out of industry control	should have same range durability lifetime as for others : technically impossible under the current technology consider longer durability requirement (i.e. ...;) consider defining durability requirement as a function of base range, within a threshold (i.e. ...) : inappropriate to have a specific criteria because a bunch of factor affect durability performance. JAMA will be positive to work on developing the possible solutions.