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## Washington State Transit Insurance Pool

Presented $6^{\text {th }}$ Session (Available on working group's webpage)

## Relevant Effectiveness Trials

In a project involved field testing and evaluation of the NDAS in revenue service over a three-month period: xii

- No NDAS (Mobileye's Shield +) equipped buses were involved in any collisions with bicyclists or pedestrians
- For each warning type, there were fewer warnings per 1000 miles for the active fleet compared with the control group.
- The rates for PCW's (and PDZ's were combined to yield $43.32 \%$ fewer pedestrian collision warnings.
- The net result was an estimated reduction in vehicular claims of $\$ 13.1$ million and a reduction in pedestrian claims of $\$ 6.9$ million. The total reduction of $\$ 20.0$ million amounted to an estimated 58.5\% potential reduction in claims due to collisions for all buses insured by WSTIP.


## Alert Data Analysis

|  | Number of Indications | Indication Histogram | Relation - <br> Information <br> /TTC | Number of Indications | Indication Histogram | Relation Information /TTC | Number of Indications | Indication Histogram | Relation Information /TTC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Contry 1 |  |  | Country 2 |  |  | Contry 3 |  |  |
| Front - Information | 53,722 | 59\% | 1\% | 42,112 | 60\% | 2\% | 58,747 | 71\% | 7\% |
| Passenger - Information | 17,128 | 19\% | 15\% | 13,924 | 20\% | 31\% | 13,433 | 16\% | 12\% |
| Driver - Information | 20,264 | 22\% | 9\% | 14,079 | 20\% | 10\% | 10,831 | 13\% | 8\% |
| Front - TTC Alert | 466 | 10\% |  | 995 | 15\% |  | 4,263 | 63\% |  |
| Passenger - TTC Alert | 2,523 | 53\% |  | 4,352 | 65\% |  | 1,659 | 25\% |  |
| Driver - TTC Alert | 1,774 | 37\% |  | 1,400 | 21\% |  | 822 | 12\% |  |


|  | Number of Indications | Indication Histogram | Relation Information /TTC | Number of Indications | Indication Histogram | Relation Information /TTC | Number of Indications | Indication Histogram | Relation Information /TTC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ses / Mixed |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conutry 1 |  |  | Contry 2 |  |  | Contry 3 |  |  |
| Front - Information | 222,675 | 81\% | 3\% | 351,217 | 57\% | 5\% | 720,277 | 64\% | 4\% |
| Passenger - Information | 40,022 | 15\% | 12\% | 217,348 | 35\% | 5\% | 289,533 | 26\% | 1\% |
| Driver - Information | 11,484 | 4\% | 9\% | 51,889 | 8\% | 11\% | 116,308 | 10\% | 3\% |
| Front - TTC Alert | 7,324 | 56\% |  | 18,336 | 52\% |  | 31,497 | 80\% |  |
| Passenger - TTC Alert | 4,738 | 36\% |  | 11,399 | 32\% |  | 4,088 | 10\% |  |
| Driver - TTC Alert | 1,031 | 8\% |  | 5,705 | 16\% |  | 4,027 | 10\% |  |

## Alert Data Analysis

Trucks vs. Buses, Western Europe

- Information Analysis:
- Trucks: 60: 20:20 Ratio (Front / Passenger / Driver )
- Buses: Lower Driver indication ratio on Driver Side
- TTC Based Alert Analysis:
- Trucks: Substantial TTC impact on passenger side
- Buses: Most Alerts are on the front Side
- Ratio - TTC / Information
- Trucks: 1:10 Ratio in Passenger side
- Lower Ratios on Front and Driver Side


## BSIS with HGVs

Longer Vehicle vs. Passenger

- Longer Vehicles - More Information
- Limited View, Low Mirror View - Limited Decision Making
- All current product offer same HMI for cyclist and pedestrians - Not Scalable
- So - What is the influx of Information?


## Real Life Scenarios

Right Side traffic, Lateral Distance, Traffic Lights, Multi-agent Scenarios


## Real-Life Scenarios

Right Side traffic, Lateral Distance, Traffic Lights, Multi-agent Scenarios

$\sqrt[\pi]{7}$

## Driver Side Multi Cycle Scenario Straight Movement

- Driver Side: Multiple cyclists, Danger due to parking truck (no 'almost hit'). Cyclist appear in less than 3 sec difference (see lower right image)



## Multi Cycle Scenario - Straight Movement

- Another example - Passenger Side: Cyclist and motor appear in less than 3 sec difference (see lower right image)
- Lack of differentiation by the driver
- Driver Side: Danger due to parking truck and lane differentiation.



## Multi Cycle Scenario - One Sided Traffic

- Multi cycle Scenario - the driver might not wait 3 secs.
- (look at upper and lower right cameras)



## Bypassing Cyclists - Straight Movement

- Another example with driver side movement.



## Opposite Traffic Scenario - Turning

- Bicycle travelling on passenger side approaching the vehicle from the opposite - No Alert (no visual - compare upper and lower cameras)
Driver won't identify and not be notified - prevented with dual coverage.



## TTC Based Additional Layer of Alert

- On straight movement the TTC needs to be no more than 1 sec
- On turnings movement the TTC needs to be 2.5 sec .


## Smart falking bus




## Drive Safely

