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1. **Approval of the draft Agenda:**

Document: GRE-TF-EMC-14-01e Draft Agenda\_Rev00

Aleksander Lazarevic from Commission will only join from 11h for the Transitional Provisions issue.

France submitted during the night before some comments. At least, the 2 documents are downloaded on UNECE website. However, due to late submission, we will discuss about those documents during the next session in July.

Conclusion:

No comment. Agenda is adopted.

1. **Approval of the meeting minutes of last session:**

Document: [GRE-TF-EMC-13-08 Draft minutes.docx](https://wiki.unece.org/download/attachments/58525924/GRE-TF-EMC-13-08e%20Draft%20minutes.docx?api=v2)

Quick review of the meeting minutes without any comment.

Conclusion:

The report is adopted.

1. **Presentation to GRE 79:**

Documents: [GRE-79-04 (TF EMC) - Status report](http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/trans/doc/2018/wp29gre/GRE-79-04e.pptx)

[GRE-79-05 (TF EMC) - Correction of transitional provisions in ‘ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2018/5](http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/trans/doc/2018/wp29gre/GRE-79-05e.pdf)

[GRE-79-27 (France) - Proposal for a Supplement to Regulation No. 10  
 (Electromagnetic compatibility)](http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/trans/doc/2018/wp29gre/GRE-79-27e.pdf)

[GRE-79-21 (India) - Comments on ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2018/5](http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/trans/doc/2018/wp29gre/GRE-79-21e.pdf)

Discussion:

Short explanations on the Status Report of last GRE session in April (**GRE-79-04**):

Transitional Provisions were not agreed due to comments from Aleksander Lazarevic.

Some comments made by the representative of USA (NHTSA).

**GRE-79-05**: agreed during the GRE April session.

**GRE-79-27**: late informal document submitted by France to GRE April session.

Spain supports.

Japan can only support the AECS issue but cannot comment on the other functions proposed by France.

**GRE-79-21**: mistake from UNECE secretariat as the formal proposal submitted by GRE TF-EMC has the correct drawing.

Conclusion:

* **GRE-79-05** and **GRE-79-27** are already integrated in the Revision 6 of the R10.06 consolidated document.

1. **Development of R10.06:**

Documents: [TF-EMC-14-02\_R10.06\_Consolidated\_Rev6\_May\_31.docx](https://wiki.unece.org/download/attachments/62227560/TF-EMC-14-02_R10.06_Consolidated_Rev6_May_31.docx?api=v2)

[TF-EMC-14-03-ECE-TRANS-WP29-GRE-2018-05eALZ.docx](https://wiki.unece.org/download/attachments/62227560/TF-EMC-14-03-ECE-TRANS-WP29-GRE-2018-05eALZ.docx?api=v2)

Review of the Consolidated version - **TF-EMC-14-02**:

Yellow modifications: already agreed in GRE session (kept for traceability).

Blue modifications: proposals from Contracting Parties 🡺to be discussed.

Green modifications: OICA EMC TF proposals 🡺to be discussed.

In paragraph 2.12: OICA TF proposes to not include ‘blind spot systems’ because the referenced UN Regulation doesn’t exist yet. When it will be published, to be included again.

Spain wishes to keep it as example.

Italy thinks that ‘blind spot system’ is included into the ‘indirect vision systems’.

Japan supports OICA position.

No feedback from Germany/UK.

🡺For ‘blind spot systems’: no consensus yet. To keep it for next session.

🡺To send an email to get a feedback from all Contracting Parties, before the meeting on that ‘blind spot system’ (JMP).

Paragraphs 2.16 to 2.24: additional definitions, for harmonisation with CISPR12 future edition.

Spain/Italy support. 🡺Agreement.

Paragraph 7.1.3.: ‘harness’ (also in Annex 2A in few places).

Italy/Spain support.

Paragraph 7.1.4.: reference to ‘Appendix 8’.

Spain/Italy support.

Paragraph 7.6.: ‘wired network port’. Editorial modification in CISPR12. Same modifications to other following paragraphs: 7.14. / 7.14.1./7.14.1.2./Table 16/

7.20.1 to 7.20.3.

Spain/Italy support.

Paragraphs 13. – Transitional Provisions:

Aleksander Lazarevic proposes to 2 modifications:

* Deletion of ‘or extensions thereof.’ At the end of paragraphs 13.1.5. and 13.2.4.: as extension issue is in two paragraphs (e.g. 13.1.5 and 13.1.3 / 13.2.3. and 13.2.4) it is needed to delete one or the other.

🡺To be more in line with the guideline of Revision 3 of 1958 Agreement, decisions to keep the 13.1.5. and 13.2.4. and delete paragraphs 13.1.3. and 13.2.3.

* For paragraphs 13.1.3.X. and 13.2.3.X, the proposal allows any Contracting Parties to have the choice to not accept any extension due to local/national legislation (e.g. GSR for EU).

After some discussion, it was needed to clarify the meaning of ‘granting’ and ‘accepting’:

‘Granting’ means performing the measurement (or using test report) which proves that a vehicle/ESA meets the UN R10 requirements and therefore can be considered UN R10 compliant and get the official “E making” confirming officially its compliance (e.g an OEM can perform or ask for measurements to confirm that a vehicle is UN R10.03 or UN R10.04 or … compliant).

‘Accepting’ means that a Contracting Party / Country accept compliance in regards to a given version of UN R10 and not necessarily to previous versions of UN R10 for official authorization to put on the market (e.g if an OEM has “granted” a vehicle in regard to UN R10.03 but that in some countries the UN R10.04 is officially required , the UN R10.03 official “granting “ will not be “accepted” by these countries for commercialization)

For EU Commission, ‘granting’ is not a problem, but acceptance is the most important.

One proposal could be to merge the Transitional Provisions for acceptance of type-approval (13.1.2.) with the paragraph for extension (13.1.3.X.).

Conclusion:

🡺Merge of 13.1.2. with 13.1.3.X.

🡺Remove references to previous paragraphs in 13.1.4.

🡺Same process for paragraphs 13.2.

🡺To renumber paragraphs.

Annex 2A/ Annex 2B: OICA proposes to allow electronic submissions.

Spain/Italy support.

Annex 4, paragraph 2.1.1.: OICA proposes to add new sub-paragraph. To allow to disconnect the belt or chains for long vehicles only. It is to align with the agreement of CISPR12.

Spain/Italy support.

Annex 4, paragraphs 2.3. till 3.: to be more specific and in line with the new CISPR12.

Spain/Italy support.

Annex 4, paragraph 3.2.: new wording proposed, to be in line with the new CISPR12.

Italy support. Spain wants to verify first. 🡺Kept till consensus.

Annex 4, paragraph 4.6.

Explanations stopped there. 🡺To come back on this issue in July.

Annex 8: updated with more wording on ‘Artificial Networks’. No technical change.

Spain/Italy support

1. **Next meeting dates**

* 16th session: 12th **and** 13th of July – 9:00 to 12:00. Teleconference.
* 17th session: To be defined if needed. Teleconference.

Invitation to **active members** for teleconferences have been already sent.

\* \*