Regional Options in WLTP

dis-harmonised ← → harmonised Possible Scenario in UNR WLTP Section brief description EU JPN(original) JPN(updated) **Additional comments** Annex JPN method level 1/2 concept definition 3.7.1. R85 TRIAS, R85, ISOetc Rated engine power (TRIAS, R85, ISO) (R85 for Level2) Only some EU Member States are CPs to UNR68 - not EU as a whole. Maximum speed' (vmax) TRIAS, calculate 1PN method means the maximum speed of 3.7.2. Maximum speed level 1/2 concept a vehicle as declared by the ? (TRIAS, calculate, R68) Japan to consider what to do in manufacturer. relation to maximum speed once IWVTA is in place. class3 only 1 2 Vehicle classifications Υ (no class1/2 vehicle in TBD JPN will consider the market) include ex-H $3.1. \sim 3.3.$ Test cycles exclude ex-H \leftarrow level 1/2 concept 3.5 Υ JPN will consider WLTC city cycles NA **TBD** NA For class2/3 vehicle, ExH only. 8 Downscaling Υ (no vehicle in the TBD JPN will consider JPN will consider if JPN accept class1 market) vehicles. 9 Υ Capped speed (no vehicle in the TBD JPN will consider market) under the study 3 reference fuel EU/UN Japan unique **TBD** level 1/2 concept, if dis-harmonised 4 4.1.1.2. Atmospheric temperature not allow +5℃ not allow +5℃ \leftarrow harmonized RRC values in EU 1222/2009 and GTR15 are the same. EU RRC currently under review -R No. 117 - 02 R No. 117 -02 4.2.2. Tvre selection harmonised implications for UNR WLTP? EU 1222/2009 GTR15 JATMA link: http://www.jatma.or.jp/english/labeli ng/outline.html Vehicle warm up level 1/2 concept 7.3.4.1 (90% of maximum Y(ExH) Y(H) Y(H or ExH) (ExH for level2) speed) 5 NB: PN is not just in Annex 5 but in level 1/2 concept e.g. 4.3 Particle Number Not required several other sections of GTR15. Required (required for level2) Additional sampling and Section 7 Not required ? Not required harmonized Deleted in EU-WLTP analysis methods

→ harmonised

Regional Options in WLTP

						dis-harmonised \leftarrow \rightarrow harmonised	
Annex	Section	brief description	EU	JPN(original)	JPN(updated)	Possible Scenario in UNR_WLTP	Additional comments
6	1.2.	criteria pollutant and limit value	EU unique	JPN unique	←	level 1/2 concept (should meet both criteria for level2)	
	1.2.2.	other regional corrections	Y (14℃ and target speed corrections)	NA	←	EU will consider	ATCT and Target speed correction in Level 1a and Level 2 for 4 phase cycle only (not 3 phase cycle)? - TBD
	1.2.3.8.	dCO2 determination.	Y(0.99)	Y(1.00)	←	level 1/2 concept (0.99 with 4phase for level2)	
	2.1.3.1.1.	Subtraction of background PM	Y	?	Y	harmonized	"Where the manufacturer requests and the Contracting Party permits subtraction of either dilution air or dilution tunnel background particulate mass from emissions measurements,"
	1.2.4.2.1. 2.4.2.1.	Auxiliary devices	Y(DRL) against GTR text	N	TBD	To be discussed	EV noise generator and other devices may need to be considered. The way to turn off may be discussion point.
	2.4.	4WD requirement	Υ	no mandate	TBD	JPN will consider	
	Appendix 1 1.5. & 1.6.	regenerative factor Ki	include ex-H	exclude ex-H	accept both 3-phase Ki and 4-phase Ki	harmonized (EU accept 3_phase_Ki, on the other hands, JPN accept 4_phase_Ki)	
7	Table A7/1 Step 8	Averaging of criteria emissions	EU 2017/1151 "In the case of the combined THC+NOx emissions, the highest value of the sum referring to either the VH or VL is to be used"	?	N (criteria emissions are the same for VH and VL)	level 1/2 concept ?	GTR15:"At request of a contracting party, the averaging of the criteria emissions may be omitted and the values of H and L remain separated." Calculate the average. Region can decide whether to use average or individual value. Japan 3-phase, EU 4-phase - allows the option to exist in Level 2 - TBD.
	7.3.	RMSSE threshold	used.	0.8	←	level 1/2 concept (1.3 for 1a, 0.8 for 1b and level2)	
8	4.2.1.2.4., 4.2.1.2.5., Table A8/7 & Appendix 7 para 2.2.7.	Phase specific fuel consumption	not required	Required	←	level 1/2 concept (required for level2)	Deleted in EU-WLTP
	4.3.4. & 4.4.	Electric energy consumption	? Y - EU do not allow exclusion	exclude city test	TBD	JPN will consider	

dis-harmonised \leftarrow \rightarrow harmonised

Annex	Section	brief description	EU	JPN(original)	JPN(updated)	Possible Scenario in UNR_WLTP	Additional comments
8	Table A8/8~10	ECDC	? Y - required in EU (e.g. Table A8/8 Step 12)	N	Y	harmonized	
	OVC-HEV	calculation formula	follow GTR	unique	←	level 1/2 concept (both method for level2)	
		СОР	Y (Europe)	Y (Japan)	TBD	on going under COP TF	
	Appendix 3 para 1.4 c)	GTR15: "(c) Any procedure which may be required by a Contracting Party"	Y (ATCT)	?	N	EU will consider	
	Appendix 5	Utility factors	Y (Europe)	Y (Japan)	←	level 1/2 concept	
	Appendix 6 paras 2.3(d), 3.3(d) & 4.3(d)	Option to replace reference test cycle with applicable WLTP city test cycle.	N - option not allowed in EU	Not applicable	TBD	JPN will consider	can be omitted if no CP apply?

ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2018/73 GTR19 Evaporative Emissions

Annex	Section	brief description	EU	JPN	JPN(updated)		Additional comments
	6.1.	Limits	Limits in Table 3 of Annex I of EU 715/2007	Will use same limits as EU	←	harmonized	Option in GTR19 relates to '1 day limit' or '2 day limit'. EU and Japan will both use 2 day limit so option not applicable for UNR WLTP.
1	5.3.10.	Calculation	2day total	<	←	harmonized	
2	Table A2/1	mutual recognition fuel	Y	Y	Y and JPN fuel	level 1/2 concept (mutual recognition fuel for level2)	Currently EU allows use of reference fuel for Type 1 test - but this would change when there is a new UNR WLTP - with a mutual recognition fuel.

^{*}JPN is considering modifications and discussion points other than above.