<u>Draft Minutes of the 39th meeting of the Informal Group on</u> <u>Child Restraint System</u>

Date: Start June 18th 9:30 End June 18th 5:00 PM

Place:

CLEPA offices

Boulevard Brand Whitlock 87/B1 1200 BRUSSELS

Tel.: +32 2 743 91 31

Techsec@clepa.be

www.clepa.eu

- 1. Welcome and Roll call (Doc. <u>CRS-39-07e</u>)
- 2. Adoption of the agenda (Doc. CRS-39-01e)
- 3. Adoption of the last minutes meeting (Doc. <u>CRS-38-13e</u>)
- 4. Status report to GRSP (Doc. CRS-39-02e) (Chairman)
 - Term of reference for phases 2& 3
 - Issues concerning ToR
 - Phase 2 proposed philosophy
 - "Universal Booster" proposed definition / Geometry of Boosters
 - On going issues for Phase 2
 - Phase 3 Open issues
 - Pros & Cons
 - Draft proposal for Transitional Provisions
 - Proposed time- table for phase 2 & 3

- 5. Decision on the philosophy of the Phase 2 & 3 (All)
 - CLEPA support to have only one regulation but need to take care about the communication.
 - Richard DAMM: Germany join the option to have only one regulation but remind the group that we still need to use R44 products for the next 10-15 years and we have to take care of the compatibility of the CRS with the car during this interim period.
 - CYBEX: there is an issue on the iSize car seat product with not iSize car. This remark will be discussed later in point 7.
 - The IWG CRS decided of the following resolutions:
 - o Resolution 1 (<u>CRS-39-05e</u>): about one single regulation ECE R (129) instead of having 2 parallel regulations
 - o Resolution 2 (CRS-39-06e): definition of infant carrier

6. Interim period

- 6.1. Letter from ANEC (Doc. CRS-39-03e).
- Need to anticipate phase 3 for belted products.
- CYBEX: comes back on the travel system according to the R44 product & iSize.
- R44 baby infant carrier can be placed on iSize base until phase 3 will be finish. In order to have all in only one regulation.
- Chairman: We need to found a way to clarify type approval of the baby infant carrier with belted installation during a short period (P dummy & Q dummy?).
- Ronald: we have to be careful due to the responsibility of the misuse possibility.
- Cybex : what about a base with belt routing or Isofix routing : iSize approval not possible
- Only the base will be marked iSize and the Type approval N° and there is only the type approval N° on the baby infant carrier.
- Add definition of the baby infant carrier into the regulation iSize.
- What we need to do for phase 3:
 - Define anchorage points (already start with TRL)
 - Belt length
 - Do we need to change performance criteria?
 - Installation method for the belted product.

6.2. Presentation from HTS: Not performed

6.3. CLEPA Presentation (Doc. CRS-39-04e)

- Proposition to position the iSize labelling on iSize base and the R44 labelling on baby infant carrier: once baby infant carrier is placed on iSize base, we can only see the iSize Label on the base (the R44 label under the baby infant carrier should not be visible).
- R44 vs iSize: do nothing or add a consumer information label in a visible location.
- Chairman: do not speak about iSize (label, sizing,...) on the R44 baby infant carrier.

- DOREL, we just add a extra information on the shell (mass group)
- 7. ETA (Event Tree Analysis) for communication purpose and legal issues
 - 7.1. I-Size CRS on ISOFIX positions
 - 7.2. ISOFIX CRS on I-Size positions
 - ◆ Back on documents: <u>CRS-24-07e</u> & <u>CRS-24-08e</u> and review of R16-06 Annex 17 Appendix 3 for the Isofix class identification.
 - Chairman: if car manufacturer asks for an extension to declare iSize seating position they need to add table 3 described in R16-06 Annex 17 Appendix 3 in the user manual. If there is no iSize seating position they do not need to add table 3 given in R16-06 annexe 17 appendixes 3.
 - Chairman: iSize is considered as a "super" Isofix then:
 - For forward facing CRS with top tether: compatible with all ISOFIX IUF positions in cars.
 - o For forward facing CRS with support leg: put a list with specifics cars (seats) compatible.
 - For rearward facing CRS with top tether: compatible for all cars with ISOFIX "IL" positions declared "D" = R2.
 - o For rearward facing CRS with support leg: not compatible for all cars with ISOFIX positions declared "D" = R2 => put a list with specific cars (seats) compatible.

Note according to R16 definition: IUF= suitable for ISOFIX forward child restraints systems of universal category approved for use in the mass group.

- 8. Work on Phase 2 (and 3 if needed) (Doc. GRSP-53-21): not performed
 - 8.1. Seat Belt Guidance (LAB)
 - 8.2. NTSEL Presentation moving / fixed ISOFIX anchorages
- 9. Time table for deliverables: not performed
- 10. Next meetings
 - 10.1. 40th meeting will be held in Bergisch Gladbach BASt office September ,the 18
- 11. A.O.B.