

## Overview of Pros & Cons for supplemental test procedure development options

Options	Pros	Cons
<p>Option 1: Stand-alone new 'GTR Low Temp' (i.e. Full GTR15 text modified for Low Temp Test)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ No x-references needed</li> <li>✓ Stand alone document – everything in one place</li> <li>✓ ...</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>× Similar document size to GTR#15 (Amendment 5 is 376 pages long)</li> <li>× Future Amendments to common Type1/6 text would have to be made in 2 documents (not just 1)</li> <li>× ...</li> </ul>
<p>Option 2: New 'GTR Low Temp' which relies on x-refs to GTR15 to avoid duplication of common elements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Easier drafting with focus on differences/deviations from Type 1 test in GTR15</li> <li>✓ Reduced administrative upkeep than having two 'full' GTRs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>× Not common practice for a GTR to x-ref to another GTR</li> <li>× GTR would be hard to use in practice – constant switching from one GTR to another.</li> </ul>
<p>Option 3: Optional new annex to GTR#15 which relies on x-refs to other parts of GTR15 to avoid duplication of common elements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Easier drafting with focus on differences/deviations from Type 1 test</li> <li>✓ Concept already used in UNR83</li> <li>✓ Smoother transposition into UNR WLTP (in phase 3)</li> <li>✓ Less initial admin. - because no new GTR</li> <li>✓ Reduced administrative upkeep</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>× Makes GTR15 even larger</li> <li>× Could having 'optional annexes' have unintended consequences for CPs not requiring the test within those annexes?</li> <li>× ...</li> </ul>