OICA contribution to HV safety

Part 1: OICA replies to NHTSA's question Part 2: OICA's proposal for low energy content in Ycapacitances for in-use (i.e. active driving mode) and post-crash situations

Part 1: OICA replies to NHTSA's questions for HV Safety

0.2 J low energy option for current duration greater than 10 msec: The 60479-2 Section 11.4.2 suggests using IEC 60479-1 Figure 20. I questioned the validity of using Figure 20 instead of Figure 22 on IEC 60479-1. I believe it was OICA who reanalyzed and said that the answers are the same whether Figure 20 or 22 are used – the 0.2 J energy values fall in AC-2 and DC-2. We did this analysis and we get different results if Figure 20 is used instead of Figure 22. We would like discussion/clarification on this matter. Our thought

is that since the capacitor discharge is unidirectional, Figure 22 (DC current) should be used.

REPLY: The statement is correct, Figure 22 of IEC 60479-1:2018 from should be used.

DC AND AC TIME/CURRENT ZONE GRAPH COMPARISON, IEC 60479-1:2018

Sample calculated energy plots shows the .2 joule limit crosses into the zone 3 boundary on the AC signal graph.

- Coordinates plotted are:
- 1. (50mA, 160ms)-> .2 Joules
- 2. (100mA, 40ms) -> .2 Joules
- Based on this data, there is a difference in safety assessment using Figure 20 and Figure 22 of IEC 60479-1.







NHTSA Comment on Industry Proposal



Further investigations are necessary (see expected presentation from U.S.) to define a value.

NHTSA Comments on the proposed energy limit driving mode

Correct derivation of maximum capacitance

SAE J1772-2017 (maximum capacitance for vehicle and charging column)



| Figure | 14 - | Combined | γ | capacitance limit | |
|--------|------|----------|---|-------------------|--|
|--------|------|----------|---|-------------------|--|

| SAE INTERNATIONAL J1772™ O | | | J1772™ OCT20 | 017 | | Page 99 of 116 |
|---|------------------|------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| APPENDIX J - DC Y CAPACITANCE REQUIREMENT DERIVATION - INFORMATIVE | | | | | | |
| J.1 INTRODUCTION | | | | | | |
| This appendix describes the derivation of Y capacitance limits, as a function of voltage, from the limits given in IEC 60479-2. The IEC standard references quantities called specific fibrillating energy and specific fibrillating charge: | | | | | | |
| derived from C1 limit (IEC 60479-2): | | | | V _{max} | C _{t,max} | |
| ſ | 0.4 | 0.2J | (V < 240V) V < 240 | | 500 V | 3,2 μF |
| | $V_{\rm max}^2$ | max | max | | 1000 V | 1,387 μF |
| $C_{t,\max} = \langle$ | V _{max} | for | $240\!\le\!V_{\rm max}<\!612$ | | | |

(Ct,max = sum of capacitances of both HV potentials, contains 1 µF for $0.01387 \cdot V_{\text{max}}^{-4/3}$ for $612 \le V_{\text{max}}$ charging column; see also IEC 61851-23:2014) 0.5J (V = 612V)

0.7J (V = 1000V)

Please note: detailled derivation of formulae and figure can be found in SAE J 1772-2017, Appendix J.

Mitigation from current energy requirement of 0.2J

provisional version

NHTSA Comments

Is the industry proposing that the 0.2J energy limit should only apply to bus voltages less than 240V in active driving mode?

OICA: YES.

- 240V is the maximum voltage assuming 10ms discharge time or 6.67uF capacitance. This voltage limit can be increased with lower capacitor values without having to shift the energy threshold.
 - **Refer to Voltage plot on slide 5.** 0 These values were derived using SAEJ1772 equations.

Where do .5J and .7J lie on the IEC • 60470-2 Figure 20 boundary map, compared to .2J?

See Figure "22 – Threshold of perception and threshold of pain for the current resulting from the discharge of a capacitor (dry hands, large contact area)" IEC TS 60479-2, Edition 4.0 2017-10 (all of those values are not shown there)

NHTSA Energy and Voltage plots based on SAEJ1722 along the C1 boundary in Fig 20 of IEC 60479-2



· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

NHTSA Voltage vs Capacitance plots based on SAEJ1722 for Energy = 0.2 J



NHTSA Comments on Chinese Isolation Resistance proposal

8

NHTSA Comments

- This new proposal introduces and additional uncertainty which reduces the accuracy of the measurements.
- The current GTR Method only requires measuring one reference point to chassis when conducting the test.
- Based on these considerations, we believe the method currently used in the GTR is preferred.



NHTSA Comments

- We would like to open a discussion regarding the use of high impedance voltmeters for this test. Possibly
- >100Mohm.
- The use of these high impedance meters would make the measurements more reliable by making '1/ra' more negligible.

NHTSA: "In response to the Chinese proposal on an alternative method of calculating electrical isolation by using two different voltmeter readings, JARI showed that the resistance of the two voltmeters need not be the same but that the voltmeter resistance influences the computed electrical isolation value. We would like to discuss the need for including such a method in the GTR as JARI suggested. We are concerned that if the voltmeter resistance is not high enough, it could result in inaccurate values of electrical isolation and reduce the reproducibility of the assessment."

OICA REPLY:

see next slides (as provided by Kinoshita-san)

GTR20 measurement procedures



- The place of a voltmeter needs to be changed several times for the isolation resistance measurement.
- Rn > Ri >> Internal resistance of the voltmeter → Measured voltage values (U1 and U2) are almost zero.
 → In some cases, the values may be too huge for a proper calculation.

$$Ri = R0 \times Uress \times \left(\frac{1}{U2} + \frac{1}{U1}\right)$$



- Two voltmeters can stay as they are during the isolation resistance measurement.
- *Rn > Ri >> Internal resistance of the voltmeter*
 - \rightarrow Measured voltage values (U1,U2, U1',U2') are no problem for calculation.

$$Ri_f = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{R0\left(\frac{U2'}{U2}, \frac{U1'}{U1}\right)} - \frac{1}{10M\Omega}}$$

Appropriately sized values for calculation

Internal resistance of voltmeters are commonly around 10 Mohms.

周上した

YOKOGAWA DIGITAL MULTIMETER (TY520, TY530)

3.2 確度

温度/湿度:23±5℃,80%RH以下 確度:±(% of reading+digits) ※応答時間は、各レンジ内で確度に入る時間

直流電圧測定 📻 V

| | レンジ | 分解能 | 確度 | 入力抵抗 | 電圧 |
|---|----------------|------------------|---------|------------|--------|
| 1 | 600mV | 0.1mV | | 10M Ω | 1000V |
| | 6V | 0.001V | 0.00.2 | 11M O | DC |
| | 60V | 0.01V | U.U.S+L | | |
| | 600V | 0.1V | (| 10M Ω | 1000V |
| | 1000V | 1V | 0.15+2 | | rms AC |
| 1 | NMRR : 60dB LX | 1: 50/60Hz ± 0.1 | 1% | \searrow | |

CMRR: 120dB 以上 50/60Hz(Rs=1kΩ) 応答時間: 1 秒以内

HIOKI DIGITAL MULTIMETER (DT4281, DT4282)

2 直流電圧 (DCV、DCmV)



Result: OICA supports NHTSA's concerns.

Part 2: OICA's proposal for low energy content in Ycapacitances for in-use (i.e. active driving mode) and post-crash situations

General situation

In the 18th IWG meeting, the question came up whether requirements for Ycapacitances for in-use and post-crash situations should be treated separately and if OICA suggested a change in post-crash requirements (despite of intensive discussions in GTR 20 phase 1).

After internal reflections, OICA suggests:

 - to align post-crash and in-use requirements for Y-capacitances on SAE 1772-2017 level

- to go away from an energy criterion and to define **<u>a charge amount criterion</u>**
- but taking into account that this is only an additional possibility and always to allow other technical solutions that protect the customer.

Justifications for new OICA proposal (1)

- the Biegelmeier curves represent the reference point for the determination of a danger for a human being
- thus, the omnipresence for a 0.2 J criterion is not justified by medicine
- moreover, with the advent of higher voltages and large amount of energy stored in up-to-date HV batteries, the 0.2 J criterion can become a burden for future progress in electromobility
- medicine shows that the decisive danger is not the transferred energy but the transferred charge

Justifications for new OICA proposal (2)

al version



As the corresponding diagram shows, a pure energy criterion can rule out technical solutions that don't lead to danger for human beings, but cannot rule out certain situations that can be hazardous for human beings.

Backup

Rationale for IEC 60479-2

IEC 60479-2 ("Biegelmeier curves")



Correct derivation of maximum values

SAE J1772-2017 (maximum capacitance for vehicle and charging column)



Please note: detailled derivation of formulae and figure can be found in SAE J 1772-2017, Appendix J. provisional version