

# CLEPA inputs for 12th VRU-Proxi IWG 26-28 November 2019

- The regulation should fulfill the GSR (General Safety Requirements) by EU for vehicle category M1, M2, M3, N1, N2, N3
- The current draft on reversing motion allows Vision and Detection system:



## Latest draft document VRU-11-12

Adobe Acrobat Document

## **Relevant for detection system:**

-Paragraph 17: Requirements for detection systems

-Annex 12: Test Methods for Detection Systems

- The approach for detection system is based on ISO 17386:2010 (Manoeuvring Aids for Low Speed Operation (MALSO)
- Scope of ISO 17386 are light-duty vehicles, e.g. passenger cars, pick-up trucks, light vans and sport utility vehicles

## 1.) Reference ISO Standard (ISO 17386:2010) is mainly designed for PC => does not address CV applications

Reversing aids and obstacle-detection devices on heavy commercial vehicles are not addressed by this International Standard; requirements for those systems are defined in ISO/TR 12155.

## 2.) Reference ISO Standard (ISO 17386:2010) has the focus on USS solution

MALSO systems use object-detection devices (sensors) for ranging in order to provide the driver with information based on the distance to obstacles. The sensing technology is not addressed; however, technology affects the performance-test procedures set up in this International Standard (see Clause 7). The current test objects are defined based on systems using ultrasonic sensors, which reflect the most commonly used technology at the time of publishing this International Standard. For other sensing technologies possibly coming up in the future, these test objects shall be checked and changed if required.

### 3.) Test object with no link to VRU (Vulnerable Road Users)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Monitoring range		Material	Diameter	Length			
All horizontal areas Test object H		Wood, metal or hard plastic	75 mm	1 <sub>+0,2</sub> m			
Vertical areas	Rear-1, rear-2, front	Wood, metal or hard plastic	75 mm	Length equal to width of test vehicle bumper plus 20 % to 40 %			
Test object ∨	Corners	Wood, metal or hard plastic	75 mm	1 <sub>+0,2</sub> m			

Table 4 — Test objects for ultrasonic-based systems

### 7.1.3 Radar-based systems

Reflectivity measurements on relevant objects have been conducted. The results of this testing proved that the following tubular test objects are suitable as representations of real objects that were detectable by systems using radar-based sensors.

### Table 5 — Test objects for radar-based systems

Monitoring range		Material	Diameter	Length
All horizontal areas Test object H		Metal	25 mm	1 <sub>+0,2</sub> m
Vertical areas	Rear-1, rear-2, front	Metal	25 mm	Length equal to width of test vehicle bumper plus 20 % to 40 %
Test object V	Corners	Metal	25 mm	1 <sub>+0,2</sub> m

Poles



(3) Over the past decades, developments in vehicle safety have contributed significantly to the overall reduction in the number of road fatalities and severe injuries. However, 25 300 people died on Union roads in 2017, a figure that has remained constant in the last four years. Moreover, 135 000 people are seriously injured in collisions every year. The Union should do its utmost to reduce or to eliminate accidents and injuries in road transport. In addition to safety measures to protect vehicle occupants, the implementation of specific measures to prevent fatalities and injuries of vulnerable road users, such as cyclists and pedestrians, is needed to protect road users outside of the vehicle, Without new initiatives on general road GSR with focus VRU!

According test object is considered in a.) BSIS (Blind Spot Information System)

Most probably will be considered in b.) MOIS (Moving Off Information System)



## 4.) Detection range (ISO 17386:2010) => focus on existing PC applications using USS



## 5.) No consideration of crossing scenario

## VRU-Proxi-11-15 Draft minutes

It was remarked that accident statistics indicates that moving pedestrian (crossing at the ٠ rear) ought to be addressed as Reversing Motion scenario. Chair took notice and proposed after consideration to move this to a second phase in order to avoid jeopardizing the deadline for submission of the draft regulation (April 2020). UK, J, F and the Industry agreed.

### Reference: VRU-Proxi-11-08 **Key Collision Characteristics: REV**

### Key Vehicle and VRU Manoeuvres Characterising Pedestrian Collisions

- Comparison of pedestrian manoeuvres for:
  - A. Reversing driver failed to look properly
- B. Reversing vehicle blind spot
- Reversing both contributory factors
- D. Reversing either contributory factor
- Key pedestrian manoeuvres:
  - Crossing from nearside/offside
  - In carriageway relatively small proportion
- Vehicle categories: •
  - M3 vehicle collisions primarily associated with vehicle blind spots - CMS needed?
- Other vehicles dominated by driver failing to look properly - information systems needed? © 2019 TRL Ltd







TIRL

Source: Internet



Monitoring area (six points) Monitoring area of paragraph 5.1.1 positions of

0.6

test object center grid 0.1 m x 0.1 m

0.15 m

10

### Copyright © 2019 CLEPA. All rights reserved. www.clepa.eu

# **POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS ON THE CONCERN POINTS**



## 1.) Reference ISO Standard (ISO 17386:2010) is mainly designed for PC => does not address CV applications

Reversing aids and obstacle-detection devices on heavy commercial vehicles are not addressed by this International Standard; requirements for those systems are defined in ISO/TR 12155.



To consider ISO/TR 12155 (e.g. detection range)

### ISO/TR 12155:1994



2.) Reference ISO Standard (ISO 17386:2010) has the focus on USS solution

To change from technology approach to use case approach, by adaption of monitoring area, test object and test scenario



## 3.) Test object with no link to VRU (Vulnerable Road Users)



**Road vehicles** — Test devices for target vehicles, vulnerable road users and other objects, for assessment of active safety functions -

Part 2: **Requirements for pedestrian targets** 

± 10

± 5

± 2

± 2

To use Dummy (proposal child dummy) like Euro NCAP Pedestrian





## 4.) Detection range (ISO 17386:2010) => focus on existing PC applications using USS





Combination of Test object 3.) and detection range 4.) for categories M2, M3, N2, N3



## Alternative:

- Poles or Dummy can be used
- Increased detection range



Dummy

## 5.) No consideration of crossing scenarios

To use crossing scenarios comparable to MOIS or AEB VRU systems (PC)

22 October 2019

VRU-Proxi-11-15 DRAFT

not known or not available.

- Outcome of discussions (all depending on the scenarios, speeds/locations):
  - Include pedestrians (adults and children) crossing/moving from nearside and offside with no obstructions;



MOIS

	Draft AEB Regulation for M1/N1 Detection of Pedestrians/	Cyclists during Forward Mot
•	Scope of regulation	
	<ul> <li>Vehicles: M1/N1; VRUs: Pedestrians/[Cyclists]</li> </ul>	
٠	Test Scenarios	
	<ul> <li>TP test: Forward VUT motion in straight line, at 20-60 kph speeds, with 6yo pedestrian target crossing at 5 kph from</li> </ul>	
	nearside with collision point at longitudinal centreline of VUT front end	<i>b</i> ¢

- VUT front end

  Tested at 3 different specified speeds (+ other speeds at TS
  discretion)

  FP test: As above, with pedestrian target stationary, facing
- VUT direction of travel and 1 m away from VUT nearside • Tested at 1 speed at TS discretion



## AEB VRU systems (PC)

7.2.9 Car-to-Pedestrian Reverse Adult



Figure 7-6: CPRA scenario, Pedestrian from Nearside (right) and Stationary (left)

