# The European Commission's science and knowledge service

Joint Research Centre

## JRC Opinion on vibration test procedure and profile

Andreas Podias, Vanesa Ruiz, Andreas Pfrang, Natalia Lebedeva

January 2021



## Outline

- Opinion basis
- Purpose of the vibration test (requirement) in GTR No. 20
- Overview of the different proposals by Contracting Parties
- Overview of vibration profiles and test conditions in standards and regulations
- JRC Opinion



## **Opinion basis**

Information gathered from the following sources and taken into consideration for the formation of the JRC opinion:

- Presentations shared by Contracting Parties (CPs), such as China, OICA and Japan
- Relevant standards and regulations
- Technical scientific data (open scientific literature)



### Purpose of the vibration test in GTR No. 20

### 6.2.2.1 in GTR No. 20

"The purpose of this test is to verify the safety performance of the REESS under a vibration environment, which the REESS will likely experience during the normal operation of the vehicle"



## **Current proposals of China and OICA**



(Adopted from "EVS19-HACT0410 [CN]Report on the discussion of vibration in CN-JP-OICA web-meeting")

 $(1 g = 9.81 m/s^2; 1 g^2/Hz = 96.24 (m/s^2)^2/Hz)$ 



### **Purpose/concept & grounds of each profile**

Items		China proposal	OICA proposal			
Concept of vibration test	Purpose of vibration test	Assurance over life time mileage	Detection of initial failures and design problems			
	Assumed load condition	Average vibration input to REESSs in the market vehicles Average value of PSD lines of test vehicles	Development of realistic minimum safety profile * LIVs of profiles are calculated and compare			
Test vehicle	Gild	22 types of vehicles	74 measurements on different vehicles of different size and battery and from 5 manufactures			
Rough road condition	Rough road types	9 types				
	Driving condition (speed, time, range)	Constant "DVC1410-401 puts" for details				
	Rational of road conditions for international regulation	See the EVS1419-401.pptx for details				
Profile development	G measuring points	At least 4 sensors are fixed distributed at different installation points	Will be supplied later			
	Data processing	Extraction of max. G data Making PSD profile for each road Calculation of average PSD				
Vibration test time	Test structure	Random_12hr * 3 directions +Sinusoidal_1hr * 3 directions				
	Time acceleration	Applied (Life time rough road driving time is accelerated to 12hrs)				

(Adopted from "EVS19-HACT0410 [CN]Report on the discussion of vibration in CN-JP-OICA web-meeting")



#### **Overview of vibration profiles and test conditions in** standards and regulations (1/3)

Region of applicability	International				EU and further countries	USA				India	China
S&R Vibration parameters	SAE J2929***		ISO 6469-1***	IEC 62660- 2(3)	UN ECE R100.02	UL 2580		USABC	Freedom CAR	AIS- 048 <sup>35</sup>	GB 38031:2020
Level (C, M, P)	CMP		RESS/RESS subsystem	RESS C CMP C M <sup>##</sup>		M## P	СМР		М	P or System	
Type of profile	Random	Sine wave	Random	Random	Sine wave logarithmic sweep	Random		### or Sine wave or Random		Sine wave	Random + (Sinusoidal at fixed frequency)
Frequency range (Hz)	10-190*	7-200*	5-200	10- 2,000 <sup>++</sup>	7-50**	10- 2,000 <sup>++</sup>	10-190*	10-190*		30-150	5-200 (24)
PSD wave random (m s <sup>-2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup> /Hz	0.4-11*	$\boxtimes$	0.0004- 0.5774	0.14- 20 <sup>++</sup>	$\boxtimes$	0.14- 20 <sup>++</sup>	0.4-11*	0.4-11*		$\times$	$\mathbb{N}$
Loading range sine wave (m s <sup>-2</sup> )	X	9.81-19.6 (>12 kg) <sup>+</sup> 9.81-78.5 (<12 kg) <sup>+</sup>		X	2-10**	X	X	7-49		30	z-/x-/y-axis 14.72/9.81/9.81

Test conditions for the vibration test at cell (C), module (M), pack (P) and vehicle (V) and System level 7 (Adapted from Ruiz et al. (2018) and updated to reflect the current landscape)

Europear

Commission

## **Overview of vibration profiles and test conditions in standards and regulations (2/3)**

Axis	Vertical, longitudinal, lateral	Three mutually perpendicular mounting positions of the cell	Vertical, longitudinal, transversal		Vertical		Vertical. longitudinal, lateral		Vertical axis and horizontal	loading sequence <sup>A</sup> , AA; z-axis random and z-axis fixed, y-axis random and y- axis fixed, x- axis random and x-axis fixed frequency
SOC (% rated capacity)	95-100% max. normal vehicle operation		Max. 8 normal ( operation 1 <u>SoC</u> (	80 (HEV) 100 (BEV)	>50 % normal operating range	80 (HEV) 100 (BEV)	100 & 20 (Z- sine, random) 60 (X & Y-sine, random)	100 & 20 (Z-sine, random) 60 (X & Y-sine, random)	100	>=50 % of normal working range specified by the manufacturer
Vehicle level (V)	SOC at no operation	ormal vehicle	$\geq$	X	$\square$	$\supset$			$\mathbb{X}$	$\geq$

PSD: Power spectral density, \* based on SAE J2380. \*\*Higher can be requested by manufacturer. \*\*\* A profile 'which reflects the application' may be used as alternative. <sup>+</sup>based on UN 38.3, <sup>++</sup>based on IEC 60068-2-64. <sup>##</sup> At the module level for those electric energy storage assemblies intended for use in applications larger than passenger vehicles. The module level testing shall be representative of the electric energy storage assembly. <sup>###</sup> Vibration endurance test in accordance with the anticipated end application vehicle vibration profile. ^ The testing bodies can also choose their own sequence to shorten the conversion time. ^^ The vehicle running direction is x-axis direction and other horizontal direction perpendicular to the running direction is Y-axis direction.

Test conditions for the vibration test at cell (C), module (M), pack (P) and vehicle (V) and System level 8 (Adapted from Ruiz et al. (2018) and updated to reflect the current landscape)

European Commissio

## **Overview of vibration profiles and test conditions in standards and regulations (3/3)**



Comparison of sine wave testing profiles for various standards and regulations (Adapted from Ruiz et al. (2018))



Comparison of random vibration profiles (PSD vs. Frequency – logarithmic plot) for various standards and regulations



European Commission

9

#### **Upper/lower frequency – field measurements comparison with ECE R 100.2 (and GTR 20)**



Japan test data: PSD vs. frequency plots (Adopted from "EVS18-E2VP-0100 [JP]Japan comments on REESS Vibration Test.pdf"; Tokyo, 6/2019)



Kjell, G., Lang, J.F., In: Proceedings of the World Electric Vehicle Symposium and Exhibition (EVS27), Barcelona, Spain, 17-20 November 2013; pp. 1-11.

ECE R100 (and GTR 20) test is only more severe than real service if the resonance frequencies of the test object is between 5 and 20Hz.





$$(1 \text{ g} = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2; 1 \text{ g}^2/\text{Hz} = 96.24 \text{ (m/s}^2)^2/\text{Hz})$$

### **REESS vibration test in 3 directions (1/2)**



Japan test data and comments on REESS Vibration (Adopted from "EVS18-E2VP-0100 [JP]Japan comments on REESS Vibration Test.pdf"; Tokyo, 6/2019) Testtrack - 40 km/h Electric - Battery front



Lang, J.F., Kjell, G., Int. J. Electric and Hybrid Vehicles, 7 (3) 2015

No comparable PSD values above 300 Hz in all 3 directions.

Vibration loads in x- and y-directions are almost 50-70% of the ones in z-direction, suggesting vibration test in 3 directions makes sense



European Commission

$$1 g = 9.81 m/s^2$$
;  $1 g^2/Hz = 96.24 (m/s^2)^2/Hz$ 

### **REESS vibration test in 3 directions (2)**



\*JARI acquired these data as a part of the program for the Promotion of New Energy Infrastructure Development. 'Research and development for international standardization on battery packs', entrusted by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Natural Resources and Energy / Mitsubishi Research Institute, Inc.

Japan test data: PSD vs. frequency plots (Adopted from "EVS18-E2VP-0100 [JP]Japan comments on REESS Vibration Test.pdf"; Tokyo, 6/2019) Resonance peaks at different frequencies in different directions can be seen, suggesting vibration test in 3 directions makes sense



European Commission

 $(1 g = 9.81 m/s^2; 1 g^2/Hz = 96.24 (m/s^2)^2/Hz)$ 

## JRC opinion (1/2)

Please refer to the JRC Opinion document at the GTR-EVS website

- The vibration test within the GTR-EVS is an "in-use" test of the REESS, which is likely to experience vibrations during its operation potentially resulting in a safety hazard
- JRC would agree to keeping a vibration test in GTR, considering it a minimum safety requirement - vibration test profile more representative of what a battery is typically exposed to in an electric vehicle
- JRC would be in favor to allow for manufacturer vibration test profiles to be applied, based on vehicle-specific vibration inputs



## JRC opinion (2/2)

Please refer to the JRC Opinion document at the GTR-EVS website

- Vibration test in 3 directions makes sense one could also explicitly suggest that vibration test could be done simultaneously in all 3 directions (if instrumentation allows)
- If a **random vibration test profile** would be considered as an alternative to the current GTR No.20 sine wave test profile, JRC could agree on the **lower and upper frequency values, i.e., 5 Hz and 200 Hz**, respectively
- JRC is in favor of performing the vibration test at:
  - the maximum normal operating SOC
  - room temperature, defined as 22°C±5°C (REESS-level tests; current GTR No.20)
- JRC would be in favor of requiring different vibration test parameters for category M1, N1 vehicles as compared to vehicles of other categories



## **Thank you for your attention!**

Andreas.PODIAS@ec.europa.eu

Additional information and references can be retrieved from the JRC Opinion document at the GTR-EVS website



European Commission

### **Stay in touch**



EU Science Hub: ec.europa.eu/jrc



Twitter: @EU\_ScienceHub



Facebook: EU Science Hub - Joint Research Centre



LinkedIn: Joint Research Centre



YouTube: **EU Science Hub** 

